UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INDICES



United Nations New York and Geneva, 2003

NOTE

The UNCTAD Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development serves as a focal point within the United Nations Secretariat for all matters related to foreign direct investment, transnational corporations, enterprise development, and science and technology for development. The current work programme of the Division is based on the mandates set at the Tenth Conference of UNCTAD, held in Bangkok in 2000, as well as on the decisions by the United Nations Commission on Science and Technology for Development, which is serviced by the UNCTAD secretariat. In its work in the area of science and technology and development, contributing to the elucidation of global issues raised by advances in science and technology; promoting international cooperation on science and technology among Governments, enterprises and academic sectors, particularly between those of developed and developing countries and transitional economies; and promoting technological capacity-building and enhancing entrepreneurship and competitiveness in developing countries, particularly the least developed among them.

This publication seeks to contribute to the exploration of current science and technology issues with particular emphasis on their impact on developing countries.

The term "country" as used in this study also refers, as appropriate, to territories or areas; the designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. In addition, the designations of country groups are intended solely for statistical or analytical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgement about the stage of development reached by a particular country or area in the development process.

UNCTAD/ITE/IPC/2003/1

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION Sales No. E.03.II.D.14 ISBN 92-1-112586-3

Copyright © United Nations, 2003 All rights reserved

CONTENTS

PREFA	ACE v
EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY vii
ABBR	EVIATIONS AND ACRONYMSix
INTRO	DDUCTION
1.	Theoretical framework and literature review
2.	ICT Development Indices
	2.1.ICT Indicators
3.	Discussion of results
4.	The digital divide
5.	Conclusions and way forward
6.	Appendices
	Appendix 1.ICT Development Indices (2001, 2000 and 1999)
REFE	RENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY
SELEC	CTED UNCTAD PUBLICATIONS ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
QUES	TIONNAIRE

Tables

1.	Theoretical Framework for Measuring ICT Development	8
2.	Construction of the ICT Development Indices	
3.	Analysis of the Index of ICT Diffusion by income	. 23
4.	Analysis of the Connectivity Index by income	. 23
5.	Analysis of the Index of ICT Diffusion by regional grouping	. 24
6.	Analysis of the Connectivity Index by regional grouping	
7.	Correlations within Indices between years	. 28
8.	Gini coefficients	. 31
9.	Relative movements in country rankings	. 32

PREFACE

In conjunction with the work programme of the Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) for the inter-sessional period 2001–2003 on "Technology development and capacity-building for competitiveness in a digital society", UNCTAD reviewed and evaluated existing work to measure ICT development from different sources, including academia, the private sector and international organizations (UNDP, UNIDO, OECD and ITU). On the basis of this earlier work, a theoretical framework has been formulated with a view to measuring ICT development, including indicators for connectivity, access, usage and policy. The framework was used to benchmark and analyse the diffusion of ICT capabilities across 160-200 countries for 1995-2001. This cross-country study compiles data and calculates ICT Development Indices for the following: connectivity (physical infrastructure for ICTs, in penetration rates of Internet hosts, PCs, telephone mainlines and mobile phones per capita); wider access to ICTs (literacy, GDP per capita and cost of local calls, as well as actual number of Internet users); usage of ICTs (incoming and outgoing telecom traffic, as an alternative to Internet data traffic flows in the absence of publicly available statistics on these); and policy environment (a wider policy framework conducive to the adoption and absorption of ICTs, which can be evaluated in terms of the presence of a domestic Internet exchange, as well as competition in the local loop, domestic long-distance and ISP markets). This study analyses country and regional rankings based on these index measurements, and reviews results over time to identify interesting trends. It also seeks to evaluate the extent and evolution of the digital divide, using basic measures of hardware equipment and numbers of Internet users in each country, to determine how the digital divide is evolving over time.

This paper was prepared by Ms. Philippa Biggs under the guidance of Mr. Mongi Hamdi of the UNCTAD secretariat. Comments were received from Ms. Lorraine Ruffing and Ms. Dong Wu. Production assistance was provided by Ms. Maria Lourdes Pasinos. The cover page was designed by Mr. Diego Oyarzun-Reyes.

Comments were also received during the various stages of preparation of the report from Sanjaya Lall, Calestous Juma, Jean Camp, Alan Porter and Larry Press, as well as from a number of CSTD members.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report analyses and evaluates information and communication technology (ICT) development using indicators of ICT diffusion across countries. It develops a conceptual framework for and selects key indicators measuring ICT development, with a specific focus on information and communication technologies (ICTs) as pervasive technologies of global impact, wide application and growing potential. Also, it benchmarks levels of existing infrastructure connectivity, as well as measures of future potential and important determinants affecting countries' abilities to absorb, adopt and make use of these rapidly evolving technologies.

The challenges in such a benchmarking exercise are manifold, in the selection of a representative set of indicators measuring the complex concept of technology development; in the "breadth versus depth" trade-off in the nature and number of these indicators; and in the integration of the results of benchmarking into policy analysis. Despite these challenges, used wisely and with caution, benchmarking can provide useful information and meaningful analysis for policy purposes. This cross-country analysis permits comparison between countries and monitoring of progress over time. Comparison with better-performing countries helps identify policies for further improvement and progression. Although benchmarking cannot investigate causation, it nevertheless allows straightforward identification based on evidence of "success stories" for closer investigation yielding policy conclusions. Approached thoughtfully, benchmarking is a useful input to policy analysis in allowing more informed and insightful study of policy and, ultimately, in promoting better, faster and more effective ICT development.

Classification of countries as falling behind, keeping up or getting ahead on the basis of rankings in these indices shows stable rankings over time, with strong regional influences apparent. As a generalization, African and South Asian countries are classified as falling behind, Latin American and transition economies as keeping up and OECD countries and South-East Asian Tigers as getting ahead. However, this classification masks considerable diversity in individual country experience, with Arab and "island States" as notable successes having good connectivity despite less competitive policy measures. Strong positive correlations are observed between connectivity and access and, to a lesser extent, connectivity and competitive telecommunications policy. Country rankings are stable and consistent over time, and in line with expectations based on income. Such stability in rankings is consistent with long-term time horizons required for telecommunications investment. It also implies that these indices are based on indicators measuring central ICT development.

The international digital divide regarding inequality in distributions of hardware equipment and Internet users across countries was also analysed and measured using Gini measures of inequality. Trends in connectivity over time suggest that, despite stable country rankings, there are small reductions in inequality in the distributions of hardware across countries, yielding the intriguing result of a diminishing digital divide. Gini analysis reveals some small, incremental reductions in inequality from highly unequal original levels. Our results show that more recent technologies such as the Internet (as measured by Internet hosts and Internet users) are more unevenly distributed relative to older technologies, such as fixed-line telephony. Our findings demonstrate "leapfrogging" in mobile telephony (with lower levels of inequality than expected, which decrease the fastest), suggesting greater potential for mobiles as more equally distributed technologies in bridging the digital divide. However, Gini coefficients are relative measures across the whole distribution and do not identify the origins of decreasing inequality. Therefore, relative movements in rankings were analysed to identify how countries and regions are faring in basic connectivity, in order to see which countries are contributing to reducing inequality, increasing inequality or preserving the status quo. On the basis of a regional analysis of relative rankings, OECD countries were found to be more tightly bunched in the upper "tail" of the distribution, while sub-Saharan African countries continue to occupy the lower tail of the distribution. It is therefore likely that the incremental reductions in Gini coefficient derive from the centre of the distribution of hardware equipment across countries. China in particular has a steady and significant rise in relative rankings that influences the Gini coefficient strongly, since China accounts for one fifth of the world's population. It is considered that, taken together, these analyses of the digital divide and the insights derived from benchmarking provide a detailed comprehensive picture of developments in the evolution in countries' ICT development.

Overall, these reductions represent small, incremental reductions in inequality from their original high levels. There is still considerable work to be done in extending ICTs to the large majority of the world's population, so as to bring them within reach of modern communications. However, the benefits of extending ICTs to the world's rural and poorer populations may be enormous.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CEE	Central and Eastern Europe
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CSTD	Commission on Science and Technology for Development
EIU	Economist Intelligence Unit
EU	European Union
FB	falling behind
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
GA	getting ahead
GDP	gross domestic product
GIT	Georgia Institute of Technology
HDI	Human Development Index (UNDP)
HDR	Human Development Report (UNDP)
HTI	High Technology Indicators (GIT)
ICT	information and communication technology
ICTs	information and communication technologies
IP	Internet Protocol
ISP	Internet Service Provider
IT	information technology
ITU	Information and Telecommunication Union
IX	Internet Exchange points
KU	keeping up
LAC	Latin America & Caribbean
MAEs	metropolitan area exchanges
MNC	multinational corporation
NAPs	network access points
NIEs	Newly Industrialized Economies
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PC	personal computer
PTO	Public Telephone Operator
SSA	sub-Saharan Africa
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNIDO	United Nations Industrial Development Organization
UNSD	United Nations Statistics Division
WAP	Wireless Access Protocol

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INDICES

INTRODUCTION

This report analyses and evaluates information and communication technology (ICT) development using indicators of ICT diffusion across countries. It develops a conceptual framework for and selects key indicators measuring ICT development, with a specific focus on ICTs as pervasive technologies of global impact, wide application and growing potential. Also, it benchmarks levels of existing infrastructure connectivity, as well as measures of future potential and important determinants affecting countries' abilities to absorb, adopt and make use of these new technologies.

In keeping with their complex nature and multiple applications, information and communication technologies (ICTs) may be viewed in different ways. The World Bank defines ICTs as "the set of activities which facilitate by electronic means the processing, transmission and display of information" (Rodriguez and Wilson, 2000). ICTs "refer to technologies people use to share, distribute, gather information and to communicate, through computers and computer networks" (ESCAP, 2001). "ICTs are a complex and varied set of goods, applications and services used for producing, distributing, processing, transforming information - [including] telecoms, TV and radio broadcasting, hardware and software, computer services and electronic media" (Marcelle, 2000). ICTs represent a cluster of associated technologies defined by their functional usage in information access and communication, of which one embodiment is the Internet. Hargittai (1999) defines the Internet technically and functionally as follows: "the Internet is a worldwide network of computers, but sociologically it is also important to consider it as a network of people using computers that make vast amounts of information available. Given the two [basic] services of the system - communication and information retrieval - the multitude of services allowed...is unprecedented". ICTs, represented by the Internet, deliver "at once a worldwide broadcasting capability, a mechanism for information dissemination, a medium for interaction between individuals and a marketplace for goods and services" (Kiiski and Pohjola, 2001).

ICTs have been in use for some time, for example in voice communications technology. However, recent advances such as the Internet are breaking new ground (and introducing new divisions) in the achievements and potential they offer. Cukier (1998a) notes that definition of the Internet "is very relevant to the peering debate [about the exchange of data traffic and interconnection agreements] as well as whether regulators [have] a role to play in Net matters". He points out that "the voice telecoms network is founded upon the principle of universal connectivity...The Internet, however, lacks a specific definition and it is uncertain whether the telephony model applies to it". According to this view, [spread of] "the Internet has unique important characteristics differentiating it from older technologies, such as telephony. This view has important implications for countries' policy approaches and the way in which they seek to encourage, monitor and regulate ICT adoption, interconnection and, ultimately, access."

It may be easier to define what ICTs are not: ICTs are not a panacea for development or a replacement for real-world processes. If the latter are flawed, deficient or absent, ICTs cannot make good the flaws or make up for the deficiencies. If a government process is bureaucratic, convoluted and subject to delays, moving it on-line may not make it any more efficient; and instant transmission may not necessarily make it any faster. If controls over financial systems are inadequate or missing, making systems electronic will not make them effective, and may in fact make it more difficult to trace the audit trail. This emphasizes the

importance of having well-thought-out, well-established, clear real-world processes before moving them on-line. According to this view, ICTs can be an effective "and", rather than a substitute "or".

ICTs may, however, reshape, reorganize and fundamentally restructure working methods, and ultimately the sectors in which they are used. They offer generic advantages of efficiency gains, information-sharing, communication and faster knowledge accumulation, dissemination and application, in support of the specific purposes for which they are used. They also permit new, collaborative work methods through their potential for networking. Communication and interaction between previously isolated agents pool their individually isolated resources, knowledge and experience to build a common knowledge base upon which all members can draw. ICTs can transform work and research methods by enabling group interactions based on central reserves of shared knowledge. The evidence suggests that we are still on the threshold of what ICTs may achieve, and that these collaborative networking methods will evolve further, as people learn to communicate, interact and work in new ways. This makes ICTs a very exciting "and", and one that may transform the equation altogether.

Despite the undoubted benefits offered by ICTs, significant barriers to their effective use exist in both developed and developing countries. These barriers must be addressed to allow realization of ICTs' full potential. Some barriers may be endemic (e.g. the generation gap, learning processes and gaining experience in ICTs). Developing countries face these or similar barriers to effective ICT use to a greater extent. In the *E*-Commerce and Development Report 2001, UNCTAD notes that "in developing countries, [government agencies] will have to deal with problems of telecoms infrastructure [including more restricted availability at higher prices], poor computer and general literacy, lack of awareness of the Internet and regulatory inadequacy, that also hinder other applications of the Internet there". (These obstacles are not uncommon in developed countries, with the European Union seeking to address some of these challenges.) Technological gaps and uneven diffusion in technology are not new – "older" innovations such as telephony and electricity are still far from evenly diffused - but what may be unprecedented is the potential size of the opportunity costs and benefits forgone by failure to participate in the new "digital society". Growth in the use of ICTs is highly uneven. There are significant disparities in access to and use of ICTs across countries. Developing countries risk being left further behind in terms of income, equality, development, voice and presence on an increasingly digitalized world stage. Developing countries must look forward prospectively, and participate actively in building technological capabilities to suit their needs. Technology itself also has a role to play in this. Just as technologies create them, so new innovations offer ways of bridging technological divides. Connectivity can build on existing infrastructure or bypass traditional means with technologies such as wireless. The availability of free software is transforming the information technology (IT) industry.

This report benchmarks the extent of ICT development across countries as an important contribution and input to policy-making. Benchmarking is important in measuring outcomes (but not causation) of policies, and in monitoring progress in ICT connectivity and access. It allows comparisons between countries and indicates how well countries are doing compared with others in terms of their adaptation, mastery and development of ICTs. A standard selection of indicators against which countries are measured allows comparisons and initial policy conclusions, between countries and over time. Comparison with better-performing countries helps identify policies for further improvement and progression. Although

benchmarking cannot investigate causation, it nevertheless allows more straightforward identification, based on evidence, of "success stories" for closer investigation, as an essential input to policy analysis.

Telecommunication Union (ITU) notes in its 2002 The International World Telecommunications Development Report that "over the last few decades, virtually every country has succeeded in improving its telecommunications sector. Thus, every country can show that its particular blend of policies has been successful". This implies that using absolute scores and absolute growth rates, nearly every country would register a gain in telecommunications infrastructure. The ITU concludes that "it is only by making international comparisons that it is possible to show which policies have been more successful than others...For this reason, an approach based on comparative rankings may be more meaningful than one that uses absolute growth rates". UNCTAD therefore uses a methodology based on a comparative analysis of relative rankings, rather than absolute scores. Indeed, with respect to ICT development, it is unclear what the reference points for absolute scores would be. Unlike in the case of literacy or life expectancy, there are no clearly established upper ceiling limits for ICT capacities. In this report, UNCTAD adopts a comparative approach based on relative country rankings to identify countries that are making progress in ICT development and those which are being left behind in the digital divide.

1. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW

In conjunction with the Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD), UNCTAD reviewed and evaluated existing work to measure ICTs from different sources, including academia, the private sector and international organizations (UNDP, UNIDO, OECD and ITU). This section provides a brief discussion of some of the main conceptual issues arising from a review of the literature on approaches to the measurement of ICT development.

Literature review of issues relating to ICT indices

The theoretical model and selection of indicators determine the quality and predictive power of the indices based thereon. A good example of a comprehensive, well-thought-out model which considers causation in technological development is the Industrial Performance Scoreboard (2002) of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO). Conversely, absence of or deficiencies in a model constrains and limits the scope of observations possible (e.g. the Economic Intelligence Unit's earliest e-readiness indicators yield only limited policy insights, owing to the lack of a theoretical framework in their first formulation). Press (1999) observes that "in tracking diffusion of the Internet, one must choose a balance between breadth and depth". He concludes that with a complex concept such as the Internet, "an index may be more robust than a [single] indicator in measuring a qualitative concept" (Press, 1999, p. 5). This introduces the idea of a composite cluster of associated technologies. The selection of these technologies and the indicators measuring them have important consequences for the study's results and conclusions. The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) uses a broad selection of technological indicators in its Technological Achievement Index (2001). UNDP's indices for agricultural and manufacturing technologies have the advantage of enabling UNDP to characterize

developing countries by technological criteria relevant to those countries' industrial achievements. Inclusion of older innovations permits longitudinal comparisons over time back to 1970.

In this report, UNCTAD opts for a narrower, more focused subset of indicators of ICTs. This restricts our time period to 1995 onwards, consistent with Hargittai (1999), who notes that use of the Internet only really became widespread after 1993. The selection of indicators also relates to the size of the country sample. For more basic connectivity indicators, 200 countries have been assessed. For more specialized data, notably for use of ICTs, samples are restricted to mostly OECD and South Asian countries. There is thus a "breadth versus depth" trade-off in the selection of indicators. This trade-off shows how standardized, detailed data are not available for large numbers of countries. From a practical perspective, if a wider sample coverage is sought, more basic indicators must be chosen for the index. For more detailed indicators such as usage and ICT take-up statistics, specialized data are only available for a smaller subset of countries. Furthermore, this type of data is most likely to come from country case studies, rather than the high-level, standardized data UNCTAD presents here. In the trade-off between "breadth versus depth", this study opts necessarily for breadth in number of countries, in pursuit of its cross-country benchmarking aims.

UNCTAD (1991) distinguishes between input, output and performance-related indicators for technology indicators as a whole. However, it is increasingly unclear to what extent this distinction applies in respect of ICT indicators. Is a personal computer (PC) to be viewed as an input (e.g. as a necessary piece of equipment for dial-up Internet access), as an output (e.g. in regression analysis, which has sought to explain the diffusion of PCs, as in Caselli and Coleman, 2001) or as part of the phenomenon to be studied? The distinction between input and output indicators (e.g. UNDP, 2001) finds a parallel in similar distinctions between exante and ex-post indicators (e.g. World Economic Forum, 2001), and determinants and performance indicators (e.g. UNIDO, 2002). It also partly relates to the sequential view of technology, in which one technology forms a basis or input to another in predefined steps. For example, UNDP (2001) justifies the inclusion of telephones and electricity as indicators in its Technological Achievement Index since "they are needed to use newer technologies and are pervasive inputs to a multitude of activities". Alternatively, technologies may be viewed as synergistic, in which a cluster or spectrum of technologies is necessary as simultaneous inputs to an outcome technology, for example electricity, digital code, PC or modem for Internet access. The question of whether inputs into the process of technology development are considered sequential as in UNDP (2001), or synergistic as in the "cluster" approach of McConnell International (2000, 2001), determines the form of index adopted (See section 2.2, "Index methodology").

Views of sequential and synergistic technologies partly reflect views of causation. Indices are not capable of determining or quantifying causation, for which more sophisticated statistical techniques are required. Causation may be conceptually embodied in the theoretical framework; for example, UNIDO (2002) distinguishes between "Determinants" and indicators of "Industrial Performance" and investigates causation by methods that include regression and cluster analysis. Indices provide a ready means of measuring a standard set of "symptoms", rather than their wider, more complex "causes". There is likely to be significant endogeneity within this model, which indices are not equipped to analyse.

The indigenous nature of technology is a consideration for some studies. The original Capacity for Innovation Index of Porter and Stern (1999) distinguishes between countries where "companies obtain technology by pioneering their own new products and processes", which receive the highest scores, and countries where "companies obtain technology exclusively from foreign countries", which receive less credit. This method values domestic innovation as more valuable than imported technology and diminishes the value of international technology transfer (TT), despite evidence to the contrary, for the success of channels of imported technology (notably Asian NIEs, such as the Republic of Korea). The World Economic Forum (WEF) also distinguishes between domestic and foreign technology in its study of national competitiveness, on the basis that "evidence suggests that without strong domestic technological activity, heavy dependence on foreign technologies leads to limited and shallow technology transfer" (WEF, 2000). The Mosaic Group (1996) assesses "indigenization" in its IT Capacity Framework, defined as the national origins and staffing of technology with indigenous personnel. However, in its subsequent "Global Diffusion" of the Internet framework, the Mosaic Group (1998) assesses worldwide diffusion of technology as a stand-alone, independent package that countries can import and apply, and no longer considers the national origins of technology, R&D or human capital.

To what extent it is relevant to talk of the national origins of a global technology such as the Internet is an intriguing question. The Economist Intelligence Unit notes that "the Internet is global, but local conditions matter" (EIU, 2001). "National" and cultural influences are apparent in the readiness with which consumers adopt new technology and in issues of multinational corporations (MNC) operations and foreign investment in technology transfer. There is an important role for the State in the development of policy and the telecoms and business environment. Governments can influence access to technology through connectivity, control over access and censorship. Important policies include telecoms policy and regulation, import policy, FDI, MNCs and technology transfer, political openness/censorship, e-governance, e-leadership, education, research, stable macrofundamentals and the legal environment. The academic question of the origins of technology has its practical significance in the adoption of national economies as the basic unit of analysis (see section 2, "ICT Development Indices").

Our review of work carried out to evaluate countries' ICT capabilities revealed a consistent underlying theoretical framework of indicators of connectivity, access, policy and usage across most studies, irrespective of the viewpoint from which they are written, as illustrated in table 1. UNCTAD uses the theoretical framework in table 1 to approach the measurement of ICT development and adopts this framework in the formulation of the ICT Development Indices, as described in the next section.

Index	UNCTAD (2002)	Mosaic Group (1998)	Mosaic Group (1996)	McConnell International (2001, 2000)	Economist EIU (2001, 2000)	Harvard University Guidelines (2000)	ITU (2001)
Perspective	Technological development	IT development	Defence	Commercial	Commercial	Sociological	Telecoms
Item measured	ICT development	Global diffusion of Internet	IT capability	E-readiness	E-readiness	Networked readiness	Internet access
1.Connectivity (physical capacity; infrastructure)	Internet hosts; telephone mainlines; PCs; mobile subscribers	Pervasiveness; connectivity infrastructure		Connectivity; infrastructure pricing	Connectivity (30%) fixed & mobile, narrow band/broadband	Information infrastructure; software and hardware	Hosts; servers; telephones; PCs
2. Access (wider determinants of access)	Internet users; literacy; average revenue; call costs	Pervasiveness; geographical dispersion	Pervasiveness	Access	Cost of access; availability; affordability	Availability; affordability	Users; subscribers
3. Policy environment	Competition: local loop, long distance, ISP markets; Internet exchange	Organizational infrastructure	Depth of development	E-leadership; E-business climate	Legal and regulatory environment (15%); Business environment (20%)	Legal environment: Telecom and trade policy	ISPs; prices; traffic
4. Usage	Telecom traffic: incoming; outgoing	Sectoral absorption; sophistication of use	Sophistication of usage	Information security	E-commerce (20%); consumer/business use; E-services (10%)	Content B2B; education B2C; E-commerce	
Other			Proximity to technological frontier; indigenization	Human capital	Social and cultural infrastructure (5%) Education/literacy	IT Sector; ICT training	

Table 1. Theoretical framework for measuring ICT development

ICT Development Indices

2. ICT DEVELOPMENT INDICES

The Index of ICT Diffusion is a simple arithmetic average of scores on the quantitative Connectivity and Access indices. Qualitative variables for policy indicators are presented separately for 2001–2002. The telecom usage index was reviewed and analysed, but is not presented, as telecom traffic showed a different profile and did not appear representative of Internet use. These indicators represent a selective subset of the full set possible, with other indicators omitted owing to limited data availability or difficulties in their measurement.

Index/dimension	Indicators	Sources		
1. Connectivity	Internet hosts per capita	• All data series from ITU (deflated by UNSD population data		
	• Number of PCs per capita	and compared with World Bank data for accuracy check)		
	• Telephone mainlines per capita			
	• Cellular subscribers per capita			
2. Access	• Internet users per capita	• ITU		
	• Literacy (% population)	• UNSD		
	• GDP per capita	• World Bank		
	• Cost of a local call	• ITU		
3. Policy	• Presence of Internet exchange	• UNCTAD research		
(Presented separately, as relates to 2001–2002).	Competition in local loop telecoms	• ITU		
	Competition in domestic long-distance	• ITU		
	Competition in ISP market	• ITU		
Usage: Telecom traffic (Analysed separately but not presented, as profile	• International incoming telecom traffic (minutes per capita)	• ITU		
appears unrepresentative and it is unclear to what extent this reflects global diffusion of ICTs and the Internet)	• International outgoing telecom traffic (minutes per capita)	• ITU		

Table 2. Construction of the ICT Development Indices

Appendix 1 presents the ICT Development Indices, the Index of ICT Diffusion and country rankings for 2001, 2000 and 1999 for all countries with data available. Indices and rankings for 1998 and 1995 were also calculated and are analysed in appendix 2.

2.1. ICT INDICATORS

2.1.1 Connectivity

Connectivity is narrowly defined as the physical infrastructure available to a country, as distinct from broader factors determining access (e.g. literacy, cost). It represents the basic "limiting factor" regarding access to and use of ICTs – without the essential physical hardware, ICT use is not possible. UNCTAD defined narrow "connectivity" as the minimum set of measures necessary for ICT access, comprising Internet hosts per capita, PCs per capita, telephone mainlines per capita, and mobile subscribers per capita. This excludes supporting infrastructure (such as electricity supply and transport), affordability and broadband access (which may be currently more relevant to developed countries, but is expected to become increasingly important to all countries in the future). McConnell International notes that "a multitude of factors must be in place...a weakness in any one can degrade a country's ability to take advantage of the economic potential of the Internet". This view sees connectivity as a cluster of technologies with synergies, rather than precedence, between different types of infrastructure. This is in contrast to UNDP's sequential logic of "old" (telephony and electricity) as opposed to "new" innovations (hosts, PCs) and "leapfrogging" between stages with an underlying sequential order.

1. Internet hosts per capita

The number of Internet hosts has been adopted as a measure of the Internet penetration of a country and the degree of national "connectivity". Network Wizards define a host as follows: "A domain name that has an IP address (A) record associated with it. This would be any computer system connected to the Internet (via full or part-time, direct or dial-up connections) i.e. nw.com, www.nw.com". OECD (2002) considers that "host count is the most precise available data on the presence of Internet in a country". Cross-country regression work has mainly used this variable as the most representative variable of Internet diffusion, for example Hargittai (1999), Kiiski and Pohjola (2001), and Robinson and Crenshaw (1999).

An increasing number of Internet hosts implies increased ability to handle, service and store large amounts of data. However, difficulties include:

- Ambiguity and overlap with Internet server functions: hosts may include name servers, mail servers and file servers;
- Measurement methods and difficulties in allocating hosts to nations.

Hosts are assumed to be in the country shown by their country code (e.g. .nl for Netherlands). However, "there is not necessarily any correlation between a host's domain name and its location. A host with a .NL domain name could easily be located in the U.S. or any other country. Hosts under domains EDU/ORG/NET/COM/INT could be located anywhere. There is no way to determine where a host is without asking its administrator" (Network Wizards). This is a major problem, with anomalous results; for example, the top country for Internet host penetration as at July 1999 was the Pacific island of Niue (ITU, 2001). The Solomon Islands had no hosts according to the July 1999 Networks Wizards survey, but has been connected to the Internet since 1996. The United States ranks 44th in

Internet penetration on the basis of the .us code. Most hosts in the United States use .com, .net or domains other than .us (ITU, 2001).

A single computer may host several domain names and a single domain name might be hosted by a group of computers (ITU, 2001). Figures have been adjusted for the physical location of the hosts. Data are subject to revision and there are often discrepancies between different surveys. In July 1999, OECD nations owned 93 per cent of hosts (Press, 1999).

2. PCs per capita

Telephone lines and *personal computers* are key components for Internet access before 3Generation and WAP mobile access become widely available, with significant implications for ICT adoption. Current access methods include dial-up access, using a telephone line, PC and modem. PCs therefore represent an upper limit for Internet access. Caselli and Coleman (2001) use the number of computer imports as a measure of "computer technology adoption".

PC estimates are available for developed countries, but measurement may be unreliable. Most ITU data are estimates of PC stocks from sales or import data. This is inaccurate for developing countries, where shipment data are scarce and significant channels for PC imports are omitted (e.g. smuggling, grey market, local assembly). Increased PC penetration rates should increase ICT connectivity. This is purely a numerical count and gives no indication of the power or quality of PCs, the use made of them or by which access method (e.g. shared Internet access, with multiple users for single PC).

3. Telephone mainlines per capita

This is a relatively reliable, basic "limiting factor" of connectivity and representative of potential, if not actual, levels of "dial-up" access. ITU statistics include telephone subscribers plus the number of payphones (data from telecom authorities or operators). Increased availability of telephone mainlines should increase Internet connectivity, assuming that dial-up access is available. However, this does not give an indication of the speed, reliability or cost of the connection, which are important considerations.

It is also important to be aware of the proxy variables that may be implicit in this measure. Telephone networks typically require large investments, and so average national income and the public resources available play a significant role in determining connectivity on a national basis. Population distribution, urban/rural dispersion and underlying geographical factors are important determinants of the extent of telephone networks; for example, Nepal and Cambodia have geographically limited mainline networks, while Turkey's is widely distributed.

4. Mobile subscribers per capita

Mobile connectivity and this measure will become increasingly important in the future. Current methods of Internet access emphasize PC-based applications, with 3G and WAP less widely adopted. Inclusion of mobiles allows leapfrogging in, for example, Cambodia (ITU case study, 2002) to be counted. However, the ITU notes that the Cambodian Government has neglected fixed lines, which are "more important for Internet access at this time". Inclusion of both fixed and mobile telephones reflects forms of ICT access that are important now and will remain so in the future.

2.1.2 Access

Jensen (2000) considers Internet connectivity from a more technical telecommunications perspective, noting that it "requires more than simply installing phone cables...the Internet is dependent on the telephone network ([comprising] cost of the line and cost of local and long-distance charges), availability and affordability of access equipment...and pervasiveness of telematics (mix of hard/software with human/organizational skills and knowledge transfer)". This introduces a broader definition of access and the factors determining use of ICTs, beyond narrowly defined connectivity.

1. Number of Internet users

This is an *ex-post* measure of the level of Internet use achieved by a nation in realized access to the Internet. However, Nua surveys and ITU (2001) point out different survey methods and definitions of Internet 'users':

The number of *subscribers* paying for Internet access is more precise than the number of users and implies a certain degree of usage in terms of realized actual users. It is also more measurable, but may not reflect full usage as it omits free or shared access. For developing countries, subscribers may constitute "elite" consumers and fail to include common types of usage (e.g. shared access and cybercafes).

Nua collects its data from national surveys that do not use consistent methodology, thus reducing their comparability. For consistency, UNCTAD used ITU estimates of Internet users, weighted by population to yield Internet users per capita. The estimates in ITU surveys are consistently lower than those in SangoNet surveys (Nua). However, to test how representative ITU estimates are, countries were ranked and compared using Nua and ITU user estimates. Comparison of rankings revealed similar country profiles across both sources so, irrespective of actual indicator values, we can have confidence in the country rankings.

2. Literacy

In the absence of widely available voice protocols, text-based protocols remain the most widely used Internet applications. Language barriers and illiteracy have been identified as common obstacles to Internet access. Language has been modelled using dummy variables for English-speaking former colonies (Robinson and Crenshaw, 1999). However, the rapid growth of other languages on the Internet means that the importance of this obstacle to access is diminishing all the time. According to GlobalReach, 43 per cent of on-line users and 68.4 per cent Web content use English, down from 80 per cent of Web-pages in English in the late 1990s. Literacy remains a pervasive barrier to access, particularly for developing countries. Basic literacy represents an important *ex-ante* capability for Internet access, of which only a small subset may be realized as the proportion of Internet users. "Depth" measures of human capital, such as tertiary education, are considered less relevant for basic Internet access. We therefore included basic literacy in our index as an important determinant of access.

3. Cost of a local call

Prices are an important measure and determinant of access, since people will not use the Internet if they cannot afford it. In Europe, the practice of per minute billing has been considered a major obstacle to Internet adoption (Center for Democracy & Technology, 2002). Some countries may have high Internet connectivity (e.g. high telephone and PC penetration) but relatively low user levels. The most widely used Internet access method is dial-up (U.S. Internet Council, 2000), with the following main charges:

- 1. Telephone charges (line rental and/or call charges paid to the PTO);
- 2. Internet access charges (paid to the ISP).

Internet pricing comparisons are complex (depending upon method of access, time and frequency of use), change rapidly and are often available only for developed countries. Given data constraints for developing countries, we adopted the cost of a local call as the most representative indicator of cost of access. However, *telephone charges* issues include the following:

- Local call charges: some telephone operators do not charge directly for local calls (including operators in North America and New Zealand). This has been considered an integral factor key to the expansion of ICTs in North America (Information Society, quoted in Center for Democracy and Technology, 2002);
- Operators may include a proportion of "free" local calls in subscription charges;
- Charges may be fixed regardless of call duration;
- Local call charges may differ depending on the time of day or the day of week, or whether the call is for Internet access;
- Operators may provide discounted calls to user-specified numbers.

The reduced cost of calls should facilitate the expansion of access to ICTs.

4. GDP per capita

Income is another key determinant of access and people's ability to afford hardware investment and ongoing call costs (that are often a significant proportion of the cost in accessing the Internet). \$1 an hour charged by a cybercafe is unaffordable for people whose average income is \$2 per day. Average national income is also a proxy variable for a country's level of development, often implicitly related to a country's level of investment and thus its connectivity and infrastructure. Kedzie (1997) notes that "economic development is a leading candidate for a compounding factor that affects both democracy and electronic communication networks simultaneously". However, in his study of democracy and interconnectivity based on simultaneous equations analysis growth in Internet nodes, "statistical test results do not support...economic development as a confounding third variable... neither democracy nor GDP proves to influence interconnectivity strongly".

2.1.3 Policy

The Policy Index relates to 2001–2002, as these data are current and ITU gives "real-time" data. Retrospective comparison is made with the other indices for 1995–2000. However, the stability of the rankings emerging (see section 3, "Discussion of results") gives us confidence that these are valid comparisons to make.

1. Presence of Internet exchanges

Abramson (2000) defines Internet exchange (IX) points – also called network access points (NAPs) or metropolitan area exchanges (MAEs) – as physical installations created by third parties to facilitate traffic exchange between ISPs. Telegeography defines IX as "services created to facilitate on-site interconnections between independent or third-party Internet networks". This definition can be ambiguous: ITU considers that Egypt has access to the functions of an IX (ITU, 2001), but Egypt is not listed as having an IX (Telegeography).

Internet exchanges are important for permitting domestic exchange of within-country traffic, without using valuable international bandwidth. Abramson (2000) notes that IX "provide focal points for local traffic exchange, enhancing local Internet infrastructure and reducing dependence on international links". Establishing an Internet exchange is an important policy decision in the allocation of resources for developing countries, keeping domestic Internet traffic within the country and saving international bandwidth for other uses.

For the majority of developing countries, Internet exchanges are nationally based, that is one per country (e.g. Kenya IX, Indonesia IX). Some countries have multiple exchanges serving major urban centres (e.g. Capetown IX, Johannesburg IX). In the United States, IX operate primarily at the State level or serve major urban centres, where MAE may be a more appropriate name.

Our policy variable is a dichotomous variable (1 for an IX, 0 for its absence) since, for the majority of developing countries, the establishment of an IX is a major step. The additional benefits arising from further exchanges at the urban level may be considered marginal. The establishment of an IX may also be indicative of a proactive ICT policy outlook.

2. Competition in the local loop/domestic long distance

Competition in a country's telecoms sector is an important policy choice. Current thinking holds that monopolies may hinder rapid development and advocates liberalization of the telecoms sector in promoting new entry and competition, lowering prices and expanding access. The OECD (2001) concludes that "countries that moved early to liberalise telecoms have much lower telecoms costs and a wider diffusion of ICTs than countries that were late to take action" (p. 9). It recommends that countries "facilitate the diffusion of ICT, by increasing competition in telecoms and technology (p. 22)...[with] policies to unbundle the local loop and improve interconnection frameworks" (p. 24). The structure and policy developments in the telecoms market affect the diffusion and absorption of ICTs within a country. Gorman and Malecki (2000) observe that "regulation and lack of telecommunication competition make it more expensive to operate through Asian and European providers (Gorman and Malecki, 2000; Cukier, 1998a). The high cost of infrastructure and connections in Europe makes a circuit from Washington DC to Paris, London or Stockholm cost less than direct lines (Paltridge, 1999). Although prices are

dropping as competition increases, leasing capacity on many intra-European leased lines remains more expensive than trans-Atlantic routes (Paltridge, 1999)".

However, evidence from the cross-country regression studies is conflicting. Kiiski and Pohjola (2001) found that Internet access cost best explained growth in computer hosts per capita; however, competition (lack of monopoly) in telecoms markets proved insignificant. This is in sharp contrast to Hargittai (1999), who found that monopoly in the telecoms sector had a considerable negative impact on Internet connectivity in OECD countries (but not via reduced prices in access costs, which proved statistically insignificant).

Competition in the local loop describes a country's telecoms market structure and government policy towards telecoms, irrespective of whether competition actually results in reduced prices. Based on data from ITU T-Reg Unit, our index scores competition in the local loop as 1, partial competition as 0.5, duopoly as 0.25 and monopoly as 0. It is important to be aware of the implicit value judgements inherent in this scoring system. The "monopoly" score of 0 does not recognize the potentially beneficial effects conferred by a "benign monopoly". SingTel is widely recognized to have been an efficient, proactive incumbent in Singapore's telecoms sector, with important benefits for the adoption of ICTs in Singapore (ITU, 2001). This contrasts with the Nepal Telecommunications Company in Nepal, which "was not customer-orientated in pricing, bandwidth or service" (ITU, 2000). In future work, the clear-cut monopoly/competition distinction could be replaced by analysis of actual country practice.

3. Competition in the ISP market

The ITU defines web servers as installations that provide end-user access to the Internet, disseminate information and sell products and services (ITU, 2001). However, Cukier (1998) identifies four different types of ISP — backbone, downstream, webhosting and online service providers. Competition in a country's ISP market is important for the domestic diffusion of ICTs. Competition in Internet service provision may reduce prices and installation time, and improve quality and availability of different services and customer care, thereby enhancing access. The beneficial effects of a vibrant ISP market are illustrated by Indonesia and Egypt, each with in excess of 60 ISPs, as opposed to Cambodia and Viet Nam, where a limited number of ISPs and higher market concentration arguably result in higher prices and reduced customer service (ITU case studies).

The number of ISPs in a country has been used as an indicator of market liberalization. However, as there are at least four different types of ISP (Cukier, 1998), the number of ISPs may be difficult to define and establish. Furthermore, markets may be fast-changing and there may be no legal requirement for ISPs to register. Also, it is necessary to distinguish between licensed ISPs and operational ISPs. This makes ISP counts inaccurate in large, liberalized markets. The number of ISPs has not been used in our index. UNCTAD uses ITU's T-Reg unit data to define this variable as a simple dichotomous variable (competitive scored as 1, monopoly as 0), rather than a continuous scale based on the number of ISPs.

2.1.4 Usage (omitted from the Index of ICT Diffusion)

UNCTAD sought to investigate Internet traffic data. However, these data are commercially sensitive for ISPs, who did not respond positively to our data requests. This is consistent with OECD (1998), which notes that an "absence of data on Internet traffic flows between

countries". Abramson (2000) notes that "meaningful Internet traffic statistics do not yet exist", despite widespread use of telephone traffic data. ITU (2001) notes that "Internet traffic data are scarce. Where available, they are compiled by telecom operators, ISPs, and some government agencies. Internet average daily usage in minutes reveals wide variations in average usage times across countries".

UNCTAD investigated existing data on telecom traffic as an indicator of usage. Telephone technologies form part of the ICT technological cluster. They are, however, mature technologies with established uses and may not be representative of usage patterns for more recent ICTs, including the Internet. Our usage index of telecom traffic is calculated as the average of incoming/outgoing telecoms traffic (minutes per capita population):

Usage index = (incoming traffic + outgoing traffic)/2

The results from our usage index based on telecom traffic reveal the interesting phenomenon of "offshore islands". These are a small but important sub-category of "island states" (including the Cayman Islands, the Bahamas and Bermuda) specialized in service industries such as offshore banking and financial services, which lend themselves to the use of ICTs. Renata Lebre La Rovere (1996) points out in her excellent review of Brazilian banking that "a bank's production process is particularly suited to benefit from IT diffusion, since it is organized around the storage and transfer of information". Banking is particularly suitable for automation and computerization because of the high volume of repeat transactions involved. These island economies specialized in banking have high PC and telephone penetration rates. However, their importance is apparent in the telecom usage statistics, where they have the highest average incoming/outgoing telephone traffic, exceeding that of many larger economies (see section 3, "Discussion of results").

The extent to which this phenomenon of usage patterns based on telecom traffic is representative of Internet data traffic flows is questionable. Comparison of country rankings in telecom traffic with rankings based on the number of Internet users (regardless of type of usage) reveals little similarity in country rankings. UNCTAD therefore retained this index separately and did not include it in the Index of ICT Diffusion. Current telecom traffic may suggest future patterns of usage of ICTs and how these more recent technologies may mature. However, for the time being, UNCTAD considers usage patterns based on its analysis of telecom traffic volumes to be less representative of ICT usage patterns, and has excluded the Usage Index from the ICT Development Indices.

2.2. INDEX METHODOLOGY

Index methodology

Edgeworth (1925) defines an index number as "a number [that] shows by its variations the changes in a magnitude which is not susceptible either [to] accurate measurement itself or [to] direct valuation in practice". Press (1999) observes that "in tracking diffusion of the Internet, one must choose a balance between breadth and depth" and concludes that "an index may be more robust than a [*single*] indicator in measuring a qualitative concept" (p. 5). This view of a cluster of technologies is consistent with that of the Mosaic Group, which suggests that individual technologies need to be evaluated, since countries seldom exhibit uniform capabilities across the broad spectrum of ICTs. Measures of breadth and depth are needed — a dilemma which the Mosaic Group resolves by the use of Kiriat or "wheel and

spoke" diagrams (Press, 1999) to reflect technology as a "multi-faceted concept". UNCTAD has reflected this balance between breadth and depth through use of an aggregate index with component sub-indices.

However, there are dangers inherent in the use of a disaggregated index. The Mosaic Group observes in its "Framework Analysis" paper (1997) that "while it is tempting to derive a single index to reflect a country's IT capability, such an approach is unlikely to provide the depth of understanding needed for policy decision-making". Press (1997) explicitly warns against the dangers of averaging, or "reducing a [*multi-faceted*] capability diagram down to a single number" (i.e. area), since capability diagrams with the same total area may have very different shapes, that is countries exhibit different profiles across the spectrum of ICT technological capabilities. Press (1999) notes further challenges for Internet indices: [they] "should be orthogonal, each measuring an independent aspect of the state of the Internet in a nation, but it is difficult to define indices that are both comprehensive and uncorrelated". Simple averaging of indicators in an index implicitly assumes equal weighting of indicators and the possibility of offset of one indicator by another (i.e. connectivity is assumed to be equivalent to access and policy). GIT (2000) notes that an "additive model implies that strength on any one of these dimensions could compensate for weakness on another".

Whether inputs into the process of technology development are considered sequential, as with UNDP (2001), or synergistic, as in the "cluster" approach of McConnell International (2001), determines the form of index adopted. A sequential concept of technological inputs implies an additive model in which factors with implied equivalence may offset each other. In other words, strength on one aspect can compensate for weakness on another, as above. This is also the perspective within which the idea of "leapfrogging" fits. For instance, Cambodia's lack of fixed mainlines may not matter, as its high mobile penetration rate is likely to offset this, implying "leapfrogging" by "skipping a step" in the sequence. In fact, determinants do not have the same or equivalent influence over IT capability. Connectivity is a limiting factor, while government policy impacts upon IT capability and may result in lower IT capability for a well-connected nation (e.g. in comparing Pakistan with India, the positive impact of early liberalization of telecoms licences is seen on Internet growth in Pakistan, compared with slower growth under public monopoly, private monopoly and finally liberal privatization in India).

Conversely, a synergistic view of a critical mass of associated technologies essential for a country's advancement in technology implies a multiplicative model in which weakness in any one input may hinder and impede effective development on the basis of non-equivalent inputs. This is the view put forward by McConnell International (2001) in the context of the Internet, stating that a multitude of factors must be in place in order to take full advantage of the economic potential of the Internet, and that weakness in one area can seriously obstruct the realization of potential benefits. GIT (2000) also describes a synergistic view of technological development by highlighting the fact that all four dimensions in its model, namely national orientation, socio-economic infrastructure, technological infrastructure and productive capacity, have to be strengthened for a nation to enhance its technology-based export competitiveness.

Despite these two differing views and methodologies, indices have usually followed simple additive averaging models. UNCTAD also opts for such a model mainly for two reasons. First, our review of work to date indicated that results calculated using both methodologies do not differ significantly from each other. Second, the additive model is more widely used

because of its relative simplicity. UNCTAD uses the aggregated index approach, with component indices (similar to UNDP's HDI). Countries' overall scores may be disaggregated into component indices of interest, permitting finer discernment between nations with different profiles across the spectrum of ICT capabilities. Attention should not focus on final index scores, but on scores across country profiles.

Relative or absolute indices

The ITU notes in its 2002 World Telecommunications Development Report that "over the last few decades, virtually every country has succeeded in improving its telecommunications Thus, every country can show that its particular blend of policies has been sector. successful". In absolute scores, therefore, nearly all countries will show increases in telecommunications connectivity. The ITU concludes "it is only by making international comparisons that it is possible to show which policies have been more successful than others...For this reason, an approach based on comparative rankings may be more meaningful than one that uses absolute growth rates" [italics added]. The ITU argues that relative growth rates are more insightful for policy analysis than absolute growth rates. UNCTAD therefore uses a methodology based on relative rankings, rather than absolute scores. Using relative rankings, countries' index scores are calculated as a proportion of the maximum score achieved by any country in any one year (see next section). This method has the advantage that reference points derive from real-world achievements realized by any country (listed in appendix 5). However, it has the drawback that reference countries change year on year, thus reducing inter-year comparability. Only country rankings can be compared between years, consistent with the ITU's recommendations, rather than direct comparisons of countries' scores (since the reference points are changing). In this report, UNCTAD adopts a comparative approach based on comparisons of relative country rankings between years to identify countries that are making progress in ICT uptake, and those that are being left behind in the digital divide.

Evidence from other studies illustrates some issues that may arise using relative indices. GIT (2000) notes that relative indexing "is a relative scaling so that an apparent 'decline' over time or low score is only relative to other countries". GIT's HTI "are *relative* indicators. Hence, a 'decline' on an indicator does not imply an actual drop, just that competing countries have advanced faster". Thus, "Germany is considerably closer to other leading nations than to the U.S. and Japan...this distancing is not due to any decline in Germany, but rather to the remarkable gains by the U.S" (GIT 2000). UNIDO (2002) also notes that "movements in rankings are relative, not absolute. Many [countries] like Kenya are not particularly technology-intensive exporters – they move up the scale because their exports are more complex than their other measures relative to other countries in their vicinity".

These observations support the idea that, in general, it is more meaningful to talk about countries' rankings than about a country's index score. Countries tend to group or "bunch" together (particularly around the centre of the index distribution), where a score interval of 0.1 may be equivalent to several places in the rankings. Conversely, countries that stand out in the lead or fall behind in the tails of the distribution may have relatively large gaps between country scores, such that a significant improvement in index score is necessary in order to catch up leaders, or for those behind to catch other countries up. In general, it will thus be more meaningful to talk about countries' rankings than about their absolute index scores.

Reference points

The question of approach in using relative versus absolute indices is closely connected with the issue of reference points. Indices with absolute scores are calculated as a proportion of fixed reference points. This has the advantage of permitting direct year-on-year comparability of scores (although, for the reasons cited above, the significance of a country's score depends upon its place in the index distribution), but it is unclear what these reference points should be for ICT achievements. With some indicators, maximum achievements are relatively straightforward: for example, 100 per cent literacy rate, 100 per cent Internet user rate. For other indicators, maximum achievements are less obvious. Mobile penetration may reach over 100 per cent (e.g. for subscribers with more than one phone, or two Subscriber Identification Module cards per phone). There are no established a priori ceiling limits for Internet host penetration.

The problem of an outlying "star performer" is also illustrated in GIT's work, where the country with the maximum reference value forges ahead. "The U.S. increased [its electronics production] by \$71B from 1996 to 1999. The U.S. position is so strong that even China's remarkable doubling of electronics production from \$33B to \$65B increases its score only from 12 to 19" (out of 100). This is partly apparent from appendix 5, where the maximum reference values for ICT parameters are increasing at very rapid rates. The use of fixed reference values, as happened with UNDP's HDI, could resolve this problem. However, with fast-changing ICT indicators, it is not evident what these fixed reference values should be (compared with life expectancy/literacy, where well-established upper ceiling values exist).

Indicator scores methodology

Scores are derived as an index relative to the maximum and minimum achieved by countries in any indicator:

Index score = (Value – Minimum)/(Maximum – Minimum)

Since the minimum value achieved is zero¹ for most indicators, scores amount to a percentage of maximum values:

Index score: = (Value – 0)/(Maximum – 0) = Value / Maximum

Maximum reference values are given in appendix 5 for connectivity. Indicators for which minimum values were not zero were telecoms traffic and telephone mainlines. However, these scores were calculated as a percentage of maximum values for consistency. Appendix 1 presents the Index of ICT Diffusion calculated on the basis of the Connectivity and Access Indices for 2001, 2000 and 1999. On the basis of these rankings, countries are classified as "falling behind" (FB), "keeping up" (KU) and "getting ahead" (GA) corresponding to first, second and last thirds in rankings. Segmental analysis was carried out in appendices 3 and 4 for 2001, dividing countries into these categories on the basis of rankings. This permits

¹ The statistically desirable property of "reversibility" that the index calculated forwards and the index calculated backwards should be reciprocals of each other (Fisher, 1922), namely, is not fulfilled owing to use of arithmetic averages in the indices. Use of "zero" minimum values means that this "reversible property" yields mathematically undefined answers (reciprocals of zero). However, that does not have significant consequences for this index.

categorical analysis of results by income level, region or culture. It also allows analysis of the scatter of observations, with frequency given in brackets after the title.

Additive model and averaging

There is no a priori logic for weighting indicators in their aggregation into the index. Simple averaging of indicators in an index implicitly assumes equal weighting of indicators and the possibility of offset of one indicator by another (i.e. mobiles are assumed to have equal importance to telephones, PCs and Internet hosts; connectivity is assumed to be equivalent to access and policy). GIT (2000) notes that an "additive model implies that strength on any one of these dimensions could compensate for weakness on another". This is consistent with a sequential view of ICTs, rather than a synergistic one (where any weakness in the cluster reduces overall technological capabilities, i.e. a multiplicative model as discussed previously).

Furthermore, use of simple averages across scores results in averaging effects. GIT (2000) recognizes that "a given indicator combines several scores [so] typically no country will score 100 on the resulting indicators". In general, distributions are averaged into the centre of the scoring range. Averaging effects are noted by UNIDO (2002), which recognizes the possibility of "offset...at least for some countries [where] use of two benchmarks together biases the results against them in that their average capabilities appear lower".

Time period

Hargittai (1999) notes that "the World Wide Web was invented only in 1990 and web browser in 1993, [which] significantly accelerated [the Internet's] spread both in the U.S. and internationally. Significant Internet diffusion is observed worldwide only in the past few years". UNCTAD therefore compiled indicators and calculated indices for 1995, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001. ICT Development Indices and Connectivity Indices are presented for 2001, 2000 and 1999. The Policy Index relates to 2001–2002, as ITU's data are constantly updated. Comparisons with the Policy Index are thus retrospective.

Unit of analysis

Our units of analysis are nation States, countries or territories defined by national boundaries. Technological hubs, or "centres of excellence" with extensive hinterlands (Telegeography, quoted in UNDP's HDR, 2001) are aggregated into national-level statistics and it is important to be aware of the significant averaging effect this has on our results. Adoption of nations and territories as our unit of analysis gives added pre-eminence to Singapore, as both a nation state and a "large city" (ITU, 2000), compared with, for example, a lower ranking for India, comprising Bangalore as a technological hub. Were New York or Bangalore to be separated out from their hinterlands, very different results would emerge. New York has more Internet hosts available to it than the whole of Sub-Saharan Africa, which means that a city ranking, or ranking of nations by cities, would yield different results. The survey by Telegeography (2001) gives some indication of what a ranking by cities looks like.

Bridges.org (2001) observes that *international digital divides* have been assessed by comparisons of connectivity hardware between countries (PCs, hosts, servers, telephones), whereas *domestic digital divides* are assessed by measures of access by different groups (ethnicity, gender, age, income). The concept of disparities in access to ICTs is the same in

both cases, but the unit of analysis as the nation state determines the choice of variables and method. The Mosaic Group (1996) measured the 'indigenisation' of IT capability, or "involvement by nationals...in installation, use, operation, maintenance, management and adaptation of technology...performed by indigenous personnel". Its later (1998) theoretical framework assesses absorption of ICT technologies as independent, stand-alone technologies. The national origin of technology is not considered. Analysis of technology along national lines measures "national differences" in the adoption and absorption of IT. However, whether such differences are national or cultural may be indeterminate (boundaries of nation States and culture may coincide, but this is not always the case). Expatriate communities are often important in promoting technological adoption in their homelands (e.g. communication needs of overseas Vietnamese, the accumulated human capital of Indian software specialists in United States).

National size effects

GIT (2000) notes that the Innovation Index of Porter and Stern (1999) "is normalized (per capita measures), whereas [GIT's] is not (most of the statistical components reflect national totals). HTI address national technological competitiveness without particular concern for an economy's size". However, it does not explore the consequences of this for its results. In fact, this may introduce bias into results. UNIDO (2002) notes that "the use of a population deflator works against large countries, but remains a good way to adjust for country size". This may be particularly true for infrastructure, where a certain minimum threshold infrastructure in the network may be required, irrespective of the size of the country. Further expansion of the network may result in economies of scale in larger countries, resulting in proportionately reduced levels of infrastructure per capita. Population dispersion and geographical dispersion of the network are intimately related to country size. It is unlikely that these effects can be corrected for; however, it is important to remain aware of their existence and the fact that averaging measures across per capita population may implicitly work against larger countries, lowering their relative rankings.

In fact, the most important consequences of using normalized per capita measures in our Indices arise for developing countries. Where countries have high rates of population growth, Indices based on per capita indicators of telecommunications development mean that any growth in telecommunications infrastructure must outstrip population growth to result in an improved indicator value and index score. This may explain why in appendix 5, the minimum values for some per capita connectivity measures remain close to zero. For certain countries, absolute gains in telecommunications infrastructure are failing to keep up with the increase in their population, resulting in unchanged infrastructure per capita values.

Data omission effects

The treatment of data omissions is central in determining the results of an index. In calculating the Indices, final scores must be adjusted for the number of data observations and weighted, so as to eliminate the impact of data omissions. Failure to do so effectively "dilutes" the final index score by the number of omissions. However, data omissions are more likely for poorer countries. This poses a problem for our results, the extent of which is unclear. For some indicators (e.g. telephone mainlines and mobiles, in the Connectivity Index), 201 countries have been covered to a reasonable extent. However, some indicators (e.g. local call costs, in the Access Index) have more limited data availability that varies from year to year. Rodriguez and Wilson (2000) note that their "results almost surely err on the

side of optimism, as countries with poor or no available data are most likely to be the same countries that are being left behind by the information revolution". This caution also applies to our study. The omission of primarily poorer countries with low data availability means that absent or negligible observations are omitted. Our sample essentially comprises those countries with a degree of connectivity infrastructure in the first instance. This introduces bias from sample truncation into our findings, but it is difficult to establish the extent of this bias or how to correct it.

3. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results in this section are discussed by:

- 1. Income (UNDP codes of high-, middle- and low-income, others);
- 2. Regional groupings (UNDP codes of Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), OECD, Arab States, East Asia, South Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, sub-Saharan Africa, others);
- 3. Other regions, where geographical factors are important (e.g. "island states").

Comparisons are also made between:

- 4. Connectivity and Access Indices and their relationship in appendix 3;
- 5. Policy and Connectivity Indices to study the impact of policy in enhancing ultimate connectivity in appendix 4;
- 6. Evolution of indices over time;

as important comparisons within the ICT Development Indices. Since telecommunications policy is expected to impact mainly upon user numbers and cost variables in the Access Index, and less so on other variables of literacy and income, this comparison was not analysed in detail. Positive correlations between sub-indices in appendix 2 are illustrated by the overweight positive diagonals in appendix 3. Random scatters of observations would yield equal weightings across boxes. However, frequency of observations (given by the figures in brackets) illustrates a positive correlation in weightings. The high correlations in our results may suggest that the Indices are measuring consistent indicators of central "technological development". However, indices do not address the question of causation. These indicators may also represent proxy variables for key drivers underlying technological development (e.g. average income and/or level of development, levels of investment). Causation cannot be determined by correlations and should be investigated by more sophisticated statistical techniques such as regression.

1. Income

UNDP codes were used to classify up to180 countries into four categories of high-/middle-/low-income and "others" for ICT Indices. To some extent, this analysis is partly dependent upon these classifications. Analysis of ICT rankings reveals that:

Index of ICT Diffusion	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
High: Best	United States 1	United States 1	Norway 1	Norway 1	Finland 1
Worst	Qatar 45	Bahamas 48	Qatar 37	Bahamas 55	Bahamas 46
Average	18.6	18.7	17	18	17
Middle: Best	Rep. of Korea 23	Rep. of Korea 23	Rep. of Korea 21	Rep. of Korea 26	Rep. of Korea 26
Worst	Albania 161	Albania 176	Eq. Guinea 144	Gabon 142	Egypt 154
Average	77	82	73	74	78
Low: Best	Armenia 62	Indonesia 72	Tajikistan 50	Ukraine 66	Viet Nam 61
Worst	Eritrea 171	Eritrea 180	Central Af. Rep. 159	Niger 159	Central Af. Rep. 156
Average	132	137.6	126	128	120
Others: Best	Macao (China) 31	Guam 25	Greenland 27	Greenland 25	Macao (China) 28
Worst	Sol. Islands 167	Sol. Islands 174	Vanuatu 148	Sol. Islands 141	Saint Lucia 143
Average	109.4	106	84	76	70

 Table 3. Analysis of the Index of ICT Diffusion by income

Average rankings conform to expectations. "High" income countries consistently capture the top rankings, with an average ranking of 17–18.7. This average ranking is 55–60 places ahead of "middle" income countries, which have a consistent average ranking between 73 and 81 over the period 1995–2001. "Low" income countries show some decline in average ranking over this period, from 120 to 132 in 2001. "Others" are too varied to yield meaningful conclusions. This pattern is apparent from the Connectivity Index for 200 countries using these categories:

 Table 4. Analysis of the Connectivity Index by income

Connectivity Index	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
High: Best	United States 1	United States 1	United States 1	Finland 1	Finland 1
Worst	Brunei 82	Bahamas 62	Bahamas 64	Bahamas 62	Qatar 57
Average	21.2	22.5	23.6	22.6	22.5
Middle: Best	Rep. of Korea 26	Rep. of Korea 29	Rep. of Korea 28	Rep. of Korea 28	Rep. of Korea 30
Worst	Gabon 155	Djibouti 159	Eq. Guinea 183	Eq. Guinea 165	Eq. Guinea 170
Average	86.5	94.3	97	97.5	99.5
Low: Best	Georgia 89	Ukraine 100	Ukraine 100	Ukraine 98	Armenia 90
Worst	Niger 193	DR of the Congo 200	Guinea-Bissau 201	DR of the Congo 201	Chad 201
Average	158.6	163.7	165	165	163
Others: Best	Bermuda 11	Bermuda 5	Bermuda 5	Bermuda 7	Bermuda10
Worst	Somalia 195	Afghanistan 199	Afghanistan 197	Afghanistan 200	Yugoslavia 200
Average	94.6	85.6	81	81.6	111

"High" income countries again capture the top connectivity rankings, with an average ranking of 21–23.6. This average ranking is 77 places ahead of that of "middle" income countries, whose constant average ranking improves from 99.5 to 86.5 from 1995 to 2001. "Low" income countries also show some improvement in average ranking over this period

from 163 to 158.6. Again, "others" is too varied a category to draw meaningful conclusions. These results are however constrained by the broadness of these categories — for example, Djibouti, Gabon, Equatorial Guinea and Côte d'Ivoire are classified as middle-income countries, and Georgia, Ukraine and Armenia as low-income countries. The definitions of these categories constrain possible conclusions, and so it is interesting to look at narrower regional classifications for more focused analysis.

2. Regional groupings

UNDP codes were used to classify up to 180 countries into eight categories of Eastern Europe and CIS, OECD, Arab states, East Asia, South Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, sub-Saharan Africa and "others". Analysis of ICT diffusion rankings by these categories reveals that:

Index of ICT Diffusion	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
1. OECD: Best	United States 1	United States 1	Norway 1	Norway 1	Finland 1
Worst	Mexico 75	Mexico 73	Mexico 72	Mexico 71	Mexico 116
Average	22.2	22.7	22	21.5	27
2. EE & CIS: Best	Slovenia 27	Slovenia 28	Slovenia 30	Estonia 28	Slovenia 27
Worst	Uzbekistan 166	Albania 176	Azerbaijan 140	Azerbaijan 140	Uzbekistan 142
Average	94.8	107.6	71	70	78
3. LAC: Best	Bahamas 44	Costa Rica 46	Barbados 38	Uruguay 45	Guyana 41
Worst	Belize 128	Belize 131	Dominican Rep. 120	Dominican Rep. 125	Bolivia 146
Average	71.7	77.6	79	79.5	78.6
4. E. Asia: Best Worst Average	Hong Kong (China) 9 Cambodia 169 88.7	Hong Kong (China) 8 Cambodia 179 89.3	Hong Kong (China) 8 Myanmar 146 80	Hong Kong (China) 11 Lao PDR 156 77	Hong Kong (China) 11 Mongolia 153 74.5
5. Arab: Best	UAE 25	UAE 26	UAE 26	UAE 29	Kuwait 31
Worst	Yemen 140	Yemen 145	Sudan 127	Yemen 128	Egypt 154
Average	88.8	91	80	82	89
6. S. Asia: Best	Maldives 70	Maldives 70	Maldives 56	Maldives 77	Maldives 86
Worst	Bangladesh 148	Bangladesh 154	Bangladesh 133	Sri Lanka 134	Nepal 137
Average	111.6	113.3	104	113	112
7.SSA: Best	Mauritius 57	Mauritius 65	Mauritius 54	Mauritius 50	Mauritius 39
Worst	Eritrea 171	Eritrea 180	Niger 159	Niger 159	Central Af. Rep. 156
Average	126.3	131.3	127	126.4	117
Others: Best	Israel 22	Israel 21	Israel 20	Israel 17	Israel 19
Worst	Sol. Islands 167	Sol. Islands 174	Vanuatu 148	Sol. Islands 141	Saint Vincent & G 143
Average	98.8	99.3	74.4	66	62.5

 Table 5. Analysis of the Index of ICT Diffusion by regional grouping

Average rankings conform to expectations. OECD countries consistently capture the top rankings, with average ranking improving from 27 to 22.2 from 1995 to 2001. Eastern Europe and CIS also improve in average ranking from 78 to 71 from 1995 to 1999, but decline afterwards to 94.8 in 2001. Latin American and Caribbean countries have a consistent ranking of 78.6–79 from 1995 to 1999, which improves to 71.7 in 2001. "East

Asian countries" is a diverse category, encompassing Asian Tigers (e.g. best-performing Hong Kong (China) at 11–8) and Cambodia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic and Myanmar as countries falling behind. East Asia shows some decline in average ranking over this period from 74.5 to 88.7. Arab countries are similarly diverse, encompassing countries getting ahead (such as the United Arab Emirates and Kuwait) and countries falling behind (Yemen and Sudan), and have roughly constant ranking over this period, with average ranking varying between 80 and 90, and 88.8 in 2001. South Asia also shows an improvement from an average of 112 in 1995 to 104 in 1999, before slipping back to 111.6 in 2001. Sub-Saharan Africa's average ranking is consistently last but stable from 117 in 1995 to 126.3 in 2001, although its best performers — Mauritius and South Africa — generally rank between 50–65. "Others" is a varied category. These trends are again apparent from the connectivity rankings (see also the regional groupings in the section on the digital divide):

Connectivity Index	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
1. OECD: Best	United States 1	United States 1	United States 1	Finland 1	Finland 1
Worst	Mexico 74	Mexico 88	Mexico 93	Mexico 97	Mexico 95
Average	22	25.4	26.6	27	29
2. EE/CIS: Best	Slovenia 25	Slovenia 28	Slovenia 31	Slovenia 36	Slovenia 40
Worst	Kyrgyztan 175	Tajikistan 153	Tajikistan 152	Albania 152	Albania 158
Average	90	96.9	99	95.7	92
3. LAC: Best	Bahamas 49	Barbados 59	Barbados 57	Barbados 55	Barbados 51
Worst	Nicaragua 147	Nicaragua 147	Nicaragua 145	Nicaragua 144	Nicaragua 142
Average	86.4	93.9	95	97	100
4. Arab: Best	UAE 30	UAE 35	UAE 39	Qatar 51	Kuwait 44
Worst	Sudan 164	Sudan 169	Sudan 172	Sudan 178	Sudan 187
Average	103	107.4	109	108	108
5. E.Asia: Best Worst Average	Hong Kong (China)12 Myanmar 188 106	Singapore 11 Myanmar 192 111.4	Hong Kong (China)12 Myanmar 184 114	Hong Kong (China)11 Myanmar 186 115	Hong Kong (China)13 Myanmar 180 113
6. S. Asia: Best Worst Average	Islamic Rep. of Iran 97 Bangladesh 184 148.3	Islamic Rep. of Iran 104 Bangladesh 190 153.6	Islamic Rep. of Iran 101 Bangladesh 185 150	Islamic Rep. of Iran 99 Bangladesh 189 150	Islamic Rep. of Iran 101 Bangladesh 191 151
7.SSA: Best	Mauritius 57	Mauritius 69	Mauritius 75	Mauritius 70	Mauritius 79
Worst	Somalia 195	DR of the Congo 200	Guinea-Bissau 201	Chad 201	Chad 201
Average	156.7	162	166	166	167
8. Others: Best	Bermuda 11	Bermuda 5	Bermuda 5	Bermuda 7	Bermuda 5
Worst	Afghanistan 194	Afghanistan 199	Afghanistan 197	Afghanistan 200	Afghanistan 198
Average	90.4	83	78.7	79	77.8

 Table 6. Analysis of the Connectivity Index by regional grouping

For 1995–2001, average connectivity rankings conform to expectations and show remarkable consistency between periods. Furthermore, the same countries are consistently best or worst in their categories, which partly reflects the long time scales needed to significantly improve levels of infrastructure. OECD countries consistently capture the top rankings, with average

ranking improving from 29 to 22 from 1995 to 2001. Eastern Europe and CIS show a decline in average ranking from 92 to 99 from 1995 to1999, and an improvement thereafter from 99–90 (overall unchanged), while Latin American and Caribbean countries show a steady improvement in average ranking from 100 to 86.4. In terms of connectivity, Arab countries outperform East Asian countries (the reverse is true of wider ICT access and diffusion), with average Arab connectivity of 108–103 (compared with 113–106 for East Asia). Arab countries encompass countries "getting ahead" (UAE, Qatar and Kuwait) and Sudan as their worst-performer, although Sudan improves steadily from 187 to164. East Asian countries include best-performing Hong Kong, China (between 11 and 13) and Myanmar as the worst-performing (at 180–192). South Asia has a constant average of around 150, with the consistent best-performer, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and lower-performing Bangladesh. Sub-Saharan Africa's average ranking is consistently last but shows some improvement, from 167 to 156.7, with the best performers — Mauritius and South Africa — generally ranking between 57 and 85. Chad and Guinea-Bissau are the lowest performers at 201. "Others" is again a varied category.

3. Other regions

Regional classifications are reflected in the above UNDP categories of Eastern Europe and CIS, OECD, Arab States, East Asia, South Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, and sub-Saharan Africa. However, for our purposes, further interesting results emerge from the Usage Index of telecom traffic, where the importance of "island economies" is also apparent. These are small, relatively remote but highly connected islands such as Cayman Islands and Bermuda, specialized in service industries. They score highly in connectivity, beyond what might be expected from their geography, but in line with their specialization in ICT-intensive service sectors. The negative impact of geography is also evident, for example in respect of Nepal and Bhutan, where mountainous terrain prevents extensive network infrastructure. These countries score poorly in the regional classification of South Asia, and the satellite technology that can help overcome such terrain is not included in our index.

4. Connectivity and Access Indices – appendix 3

Connectivity and access show high correlations of 0.786 (2001), 0.764 (2000), 0.776 (1999), 0.833 (1998) and 0.686 (1995), as shown in appendix 2. The strong correlation of the Access Index (comprising users, literacy, call costs and average income) with connectivity is embodied in the Index of ICT Diffusion, as the average of these two indices.

Appendix 3 illustrates this correlation, with countries lying mainly on the positive correlation diagonal and less so on the inverse diagonal. It is expected that good connectivity provides a basic foundation for and enhances good access (GDP income is an important underlying determinant of both access and connectivity infrastructure). Good access despite poor connectivity is counter-intuitive against expectations — only one country has good access (Costa Rica, owing to good literacy rates and low call costs), with 15 countries having adequate access despite poor connectivity. Despite their relative rarity, these countries exemplified by Costa Rica illustrate the possibilities for Governments to enhance access beyond narrowly defined ICT connectivity with good literacy and low call costs. Transition economies generally enjoy strong literacy and education, thus improving access. Connectivity decreases as one moves further eastwards from Central and Eastern Europe towards the Central Asian republics. This contrast is observed in the rankings in appendix 3. The absence of good connectivity may make widespread access difficult to achieve, with a

large "vicious circle" (FB Con, FB Acc), populated largely by African and Asian subcontinent countries.

5. Policy and Connectivity Indices – appendix 4

The scores of the Policy and Connectivity Indices show a reasonable correlation in appendix 2 of 0.516 (2001), 0.4297 (2000), 0.430 (1999), 0.426 (1998) and 0.403 (1995), although this is a retrospective comparison to make, since the policy variable relates to 2001–2002. This may also explain the decreasing correlation the further back one goes in time. The positive diagonal in appendix 4 is again overweight in country observations, as expected from these correlations.

Segmental analysis of rankings presented in appendix 4 illustrates strong regional groupings. The "GA Con-GA Pol" box contains primarily OECD countries, engaged in a "virtuous circle" with competitive telecoms sectors and good infrastructure. "GA Con-KU Pol" contains Mediterranean and some Eastern European countries. "GA Con-FB Pol" contains Arab and island States with good infrastructure, but less liberalized telecommunication policies. It is important to note that for offshore islands with small populations, a competitive telecoms sector may not be appropriate, contrary to the value judgements implicit in the scores.

The "KU Con-GA Pol" box contains mostly Latin American and some Asian countries that may have implemented competitive policies, but have yet to witness the full benefits. The middle segment, "KU Con-KU Pol", contains the bulk of Central and Eastern European countries that have been cautious about or delayed telecom sector reforms. African countries dominate the last third of rankings in the connectivity column.

However, countries in the "FB Con-GA Pol" box offer the most potential. These are countries that may have recently implemented reforms (e.g. India, in the transition from monopoly to a more liberal market structure) and are waiting to reap the benefits, or countries that have had competitive market structures for some time, but lack the resources to invest heavily in infrastructure. However, a coherent competitive policy framework is in place, and these countries may therefore be in a position to profit from their policies in the future. These regional groups in rankings highlight different types of economies under consideration and suggest that policy recommendations must be tailored to the different types of economy.

6. Evolution over time

Comparison of the Indices reveals that country rankings are relatively stable year on year. Comparisons of progress in country connectivity between years demonstrate relatively little movement between categories. This is consistent with high correlations between years:

Index of ICT Diffusion	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
2001	1	0.9918	0.9507	0.9476	0.9084
2000		1	0.9591	0.9590	0.9182
1999			1	0.9849	0.9421
1998				1	0.9553
1995					1
Connectivity Index	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
2001	1	0.9617	0.9554	0.9483	0.9112
2000		1	0.9893	0.9798	0.9461
1999			1	0.9893	0.9579
1998				1	0.9789
1995					1
Access Index	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
2001	1	0.9867	0.8133	0.8297	0.7057
2000		1	0.8211	0.8488	0.7167
1999			1	0.9338	0.7725
1998				1	0.8000
1995					1

 Table 7. Correlations within Indices between years

These high correlations are consistent with "considerable stability in Industrial Performance Scoreboard rankings...supporting the argument that capability building is a slow and incremental process" (UNIDO, 2002). They could thus reflect the long-term nature of investments and prolonged time scales involved in expansion of telephone mainline networks. This also suggests that it may be difficult to break out of a "vicious circle", but that benefits conferred by establishing a "virtuous circle" with competitive policies may be long-term.

Appendices 3 and 4 provide a segmental categorization of rankings for 2001. Only 2001 is presented, but a review of movements over the period 1995–2001 yields further insights. China moved up the connectivity rankings from the lowest third in rankings to the middle third in 1998, following liberalization of its telecoms sector to full competition in long-distance and partial competition in its local loop. China also experienced considerable inward investment in this period. India and Pakistan remain in the last third for connectivity. "The growth of telecommunications infrastructure in S.Asia has not been demand-driven, unlike that of other countries where the infrastructure has been built and services operated by private investors...but almost entirely investment-driven, dependent on priority level...from limited public resources...[In India] connectivity remains low and unevenly distributed" (Indian *Economic and Political Weekly*, 1999). However, different policy paths were

pursued: "In Pakistan, the private sector dominates; in India the government [was] monopoly service provider [until the end of 1998/early 1999]; in Nepal, high cost of international communications was circumvented by a country-wide Intranet". These different policy paths do not appear to have had a strongly differentiated impact on respective connectivity. (Note, however, that India subsequently liberalized its telecom sector, which accounts for its maximum policy score of 4 in 2001–2002). Reforms and later benefits in connectivity are also strongly differentiated in the former USSR. In keeping with the studies of economies in transition by the World Bank and the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the sharp contrast between the CEE and Central Asian regions is observed in our rankings. In the next section, we analyse the evolution of connectivity indicators from 1995 to 2000, which are of particular importance owing to their relation to the digital divide.

4. THE DIGITAL DIVIDE

In their review of work carried out to assess the digital divide, Bridges.org (2001) observes that the digital divide between countries has typically been assessed by counts of hardware and connectivity (such as hosts, PCs, telephones and mobiles). The distribution of data on these variables was analysed to investigate how it evolves, so as to see whether the "uneven diffusion" of technology (UNDP) is increasing or decreasing over time. Definitions of the "digital divide" include:

- 1. Absolute measures: the absolute gap between the most advanced country with the highest hardware concentrations and the country with the lowest;
- 2. Relative measures: measures of whether the distribution as a whole is growing more convergent or less convergent with time;
- 3. Categorical measures: whether the group of "low-income" countries is converging with (relative to) the group of "high-income" countries.
- 4. Convergence: relative convergence or divergence in rates of growth of hardware, as investigated by Rodriguez and Wilson (2000).

UNCTAD used the first three of these methods to analyse indicators of hardware connectivity and numbers of Internet users. These are only basic indicators of the digital divide, which may be defined with more sophistication as access to and use of ICTs. It may not be the amount of hardware that is most important, but ultimately the use that is made of this hardware and overall changes in the way the economy works. However, analysis of connectivity as the basic "limiting factor" with regard to ICT access and of actual numbers of Internet users evaluates bottlenecks and disparities in the initial stages of access to ICTs.

1. Absolute measures

In appendix 5, which presents basic statistics describing indicator data populations, absolute measures of the digital divide reveal steadily increasing maxima, medians and averages across all populations over the period 1995–2000. These populations indicate rapidly increasing maximum observations as the countries in the lead continue to forge ahead as "star performers". In contrast, minimum observations remain at or close to zero in most

cases. This is explained by these data describing per capita penetrations. Absolute gains in telecommunications sectors cited by the ITU are negated by gains in populations in some developing countries. It is often this "absolute perceived gap" that is cited in popular observations about the digital divide — the gap between the most ICT-developed economies and the least ICT-developed appears to be wide, obvious and growing.

In terms of relative disparities, the evidence is rather more mixed. The distributions of indicator populations are highly skewed, as indicated by significant standard deviations (as a percentage of mean) and discrepancies between the median and mean (both measures of central tendency, but the mean is more influenced by outliers). Appendix 5 indicates that skewness in these distributions of averaged indicator penetrations per capita is decreasing marginally over time. The picture is one of digital leaders forging ahead in their absolute lead; however, newcomers may be catching up in terms of relatively less skewed distributions of hardware across countries, on the basis of average hardware penetrations across countries as the basic unit of analysis.

Such average scores are only partially representative, however. These indicators are averages of total hardware equipment divided by total population for each country. They do not take account of the relative proportion of the world's population living in each country. The Gini measure of inequality weights the distribution of hardware equipment or Internet users by the relative proportion of the world's population for each country to produce a relative population-weighted measure of inequality.

2. Relative measures: Gini coefficients

Preliminary analysis of Gini coefficients of inequality in levels of hardware equipment across nations reveals that levels of inequality in the distribution of hardware equipment are very high at 0.7–0.9, approximately twice the average level of income inequality observed for countries (between 0.3 and 0.4). Inequality in the distribution of technology across countries is undoubtedly high and substantial. Gini coefficients further reflect the relative age of the technologies, with greater inequality observed for more recently introduced technologies, such as Internet hosts (around 0.91) and Internet users (between 0.87 and 0.73). Internet users are more evenly distributed than either PCs or Internet hosts, which emphasizes that access may differ from basic connectivity to the Internet. Telephones, as the oldest technology examined, consistently have the lowest Gini coefficients of all these technologies from 0.69 to 0.57 over the period 1995–2001. Mobiles are a notable exception to the age rule, with lower Gini coefficients than expected given the relative youth of this technology, which further decrease the fastest, from 0.82 to 0.66 over the period 1995-2001. This reflects the rapid catch-up and "leapfrogging" in mobile technology noted by ITU and UNDP. The "digital divide", as measured by hardware equipment and Internet users, is undoubtedly wide and substantial, but may be differentiated according to different types of technology.

Variables	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995
Internet	0.9157	0.9205	0.9166	0.9137	0.9126	0.9022	0.9102
hosts	(193)	(199)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(198)	(199)
Internet	0.7326	0.7544	0.8100	0.8764	0.8509	0.8594	0.8707
users	(160)	(183)	(195)	(187)	(181)	(171)	(136)
PCs	0.7540	0.7541	0.7710	0.7672	0.7938	0.7918	0.7908
	(144)	(156)	(155)	(148)	(126)	(116)	(110)
Mobile	0.6584	0.7035	0.7315	0.7752	0.7883	0.8167	0.8222
subscribers	(175)	(195)	(184)	(197)	(194)	(195)	(195)
Telephone	0.5703	0.5891	0.6455	0.6668	0.6792	0.6968	0.6882
mainlines	(174)	(196)	(193)	(200)	(200)	(200)	(200)

The question of how the "digital divide" is evolving, and whether it is growing or decreasing over time, is more complex. Gini analysis reveals relatively little overall change in the inequality of these distributions, with their evolution over time representing small, incremental reductions from their highly unequal levels. However, Gini coefficients as relative measures across the whole distribution do not identify exactly where contributions to reducing inequality come from. Given that Gini measures are weighted by population, countries with substantial populations, such as China and India, have greater influence in determining the overall Gini coefficient. It is doubtful that contributions to reducing inequality derive from the tails of the distribution. As described from the absolute measures, "best performers" are in fact increasing their lead, whilst some countries in the lower tail remain at or close to zero. Thus, these reductions in inequality are likely to derive from the centre of the distribution, with Gini coefficients reflecting the greater importance of more populated countries, and China in particular.

In the next section, UNCTAD analyses relative movements in regional categories of rankings to identify how countries and regions are faring in basic connectivity, and to determine which countries are contributing to reductions in inequality, increasing inequality or merely preserving the status quo. It is considered that, taken together these relative measures of the digital divide and the insights derived from benchmarking provide a more detailed picture of the evolution of countries' ICT development.

3. Categorical measures: Relative movements in country rankings

Country rankings have two main characteristics: their current level and trend over time. To analyse relative movements in country rankings, connectivity rankings were divided into quartiles of "Excellent" (1–50 places); "Good" (51–100); "Poor" (101–150) and "Disadvantaged" (151–201). Trends in connectivity rankings from 1995–2001 were assessed to determine whether they were "Improving", "Similar" or "Declining". In a sample of 201 countries, if 100 is taken as the median, the impact of trends in inequality on the status quo may be viewed as:

Level	Trend	Relative impact on inequality
Excellent	Improving	Exacerbates inequality
(50 countries)	Similar	Preserves the status quo
	Declining	Reduces inequality: trend towards median
Good	Improving	Exacerbates inequality
(51 countries)	Similar	Preserves the status quo
	Declining	Reduces inequality: trend towards median
Median	_	100 th place
Poor	Improving	Reduces inequality: trend towards median
(52 countries)	Similar	Preserves the status quo
	Declining	Exacerbates inequality
Disadvantaged	Improving	Reduces inequality: trend towards median
(49 countries)	Similar	Preserves the status quo
	Declining	Exacerbates inequality

 Table 9. Relative movements in country rankings

(This is judgemental and not an exact science: countries may be "borderline" between categories, and move from one to another, accounting for the inexact numbers of countries in each category.) The conclusions from such a review depend very much on the regional classifications. UNDP classifications of regional and cultural groupings were used. Analysis of country rankings by categories reveals the trends described below.

1. OECD countries

Consistent with the observations in section 3 about regional rankings, OECD countries consistently rank as the best-performing countries in terms of ICT development. They have only "excellent" or "good" connectivity and always take first place (Finland, replaced by the United States) as having the highest possible ranking, but with decreasing minimum rankings from 95th to 74th place (Mexico). Consequently, the OECD average ranking decreases from 29 to 22 place from 1995 to 2001. Only three OECD countries show marginal declines in rankings (Finland, Australia and Canada), reflecting loss of first-mover advantages and catch-up by other OECD countries. The standard deviation in rankings decreases correspondingly from 26 to 18, indicating a more closely bunched grouping at the upper end of the distribution. OECD countries are effectively differentiating and separating out from the body of the distribution of country rankings, in an increasingly polarized distribution of hardware across countries with the OECD countries ahead.

2. Eastern European countries and the Commonwealth of Independent States

Eastern European countries and the Commonwealth of Independent States demonstrate considerable polarization within their region. The best ranking improves (Slovenia rockets up the rankings, from 40th place to 25th place from 1995 to 2001). However, minimum rankings slip from 158th place in 1995 (Albania) to 175th place in 2001 (Kyrgyztan Republic). This leaves their overall average ranking unchanged, between 92 and 90, but leads to a steady increase in Eastern European and CIS standard deviation in rankings, from 18 to 40. The Eastern European and CIS region demonstrates increasing polarization and variation, around approximately the same mean. (It is worth noting that variation would be

further increased if Poland, Hungary and the Czech Republic were to be categorized under the CEE region, rather than under the OECD).

3. Latin America and Caribbean

Latin American and Caribbean countries show a steady improvement in average ranking from 100 to 86.4. Barbados and Bahamas have stable rankings at around 51–49th from 1995 to 2001. The minimum ranking (Nicaragua) declines slightly from 142th to 147th place from 1995 to 2001. Despite unchanged maximum and minimum rankings, 10 other countries have improving rankings (including Costa Rica, Brazil and Jamaica) and 12 countries have similar rankings, resulting in an improving average. Standard deviation remains the same at 25. Overall, Latin America retains a stable distribution around a slowly improving average.

4. Arab countries

Arab countries encompass best-performing Qatar, Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates (UAE), whose rankings improve from 44th to 30th place, and Sudan with the lowest ICT development, although Sudan improves steadily from 187th to 164th place from 1995 to 2001. This does not impact on the average ranking and standard deviation, which remain unchanged at around 108 and 41 respectively. Four countries (Bahrain, Jordan, Sudan and UAE) do better; four countries' performance declines over time (Algeria, Djibouti, Kuwait and Syrian Arab Republic). Overall, the contribution of Arab countries is therefore to maintain the status quo, with no major contributions to reducing inequality.

5. East Asia

East Asian economies include best-performing Hong Kong (China) and Singapore (between 11 and 13) and Myanmar as the worst-performing, declining from 180 to 192 from 1995 to 2001. This leaves the overall average unchanged at 113–111 between 1995 and 2001, although there is some improvement to 106 in 2001, while the standard deviation hovers around 55. However, the most remarkable individual success story in East Asia is China, which rockets up the rankings in connectivity from 136th place in 1995 to 93rd in 2001. Given that it is host to one fifth of the world's population, China's steady rise in relative ranking contributes substantially to the reduction in inequality showed by the Gini coefficients.

6. South Asia

South Asian countries are all in the lower two quartiles of rankings and demonstrate no real change overall, essentially preserving the status quo. The best maximum ranking varies between 101 and 97 (Islamic Republic of Iran) from 1995 to 2001, and the lowest between 191 and 194 (Bangladesh). This leaves overall average ranking preserved at 151–148 in the lower tail of the distribution, while standard deviation remains the same around 30. India, the most populous country with one sixth of the world's population, shows a slight improvement in ranking from 158 to 151 between 1995 and 2001. This may reinforce the reductions in Gini coefficient, representing a small reduction in inequality, but it seems likely that overall the South Asian region does not contribute to any major changes in overall inequality.

7. Sub-Saharan Africa

Africa demonstrates a wide variation in performance, between best-performing Mauritius, which improves from 79th place to 57th place from 1995 to 2001, and the bulk of sub-Saharan African countries in the lower tail of the distribution, including Chad, Guinea-Bissau, Congo and Somalia, which occupy last place. The overall average ranking shows a small improvement in rankings, from 167 to 157, while standard deviation in rankings increases to reflect the growing variation from 28 to 32. Overall, there is thus an increasing deviation about a minimally improving average. However, African countries remain clustered towards the bottom of the distribution, so that the overall contribution of sub-Saharan Africa is to maintain the status quo, with no major contributions to reducing inequality.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND WAY FORWARD

There are different aspects to "multi-faceted" technology clusters, and ICTs may be measured from several perspectives. Measurement across multiple aspects is necessary in order to give rounded country profiles across the spectrum of ICT capabilities. On the basis of a review of previous work, UNCTAD chose connectivity, access, usage and policy as key components in the measurement of ICT development across countries. In this study, UNCTAD measures countries' technological profiles using an aggregated index of ICT diffusion, with component indices for connectivity, access and policy in the ICT Development Indices.

These different aspects are related, with strong positive correlations observed between connectivity and access and, to a lesser extent, competitive telecoms policy and connectivity. This suggests that these Indices measure central measures of "technological development", although causation cannot be addressed with benchmarking indices. The qualitative policy index contains an implicit value judgment in favour of competition in the telecoms sector, which does not allow for "benign monopolies" or small economies where economies of scale may be appropriate. The policy index is, however, correlated with connectivity, which implies beneficial effects for telecoms liberalization in terms of improved connectivity.

Classification of countries as falling behind, keeping up and getting ahead on the basis of the ICT Development Indices shows consistent rankings over time, with high correlations between periods. This partly reflects the long-term nature of infrastructure investments and policy reforms. Rankings are in line with expectations based on previous performance and income. Strong regional influences are apparent. In terms of relative rankings, African and South Asian countries are classified as falling behind, Latin American and transition economies as keeping up, and OECD countries and some South-East Asian Tigers are getting ahead. However, this masks considerable diversity in individual success stories, such as Costa Rica and China, and the notable successes achieved in connectivity by Arab and island States, despite less competitive telecom policies. For island States, this may arise, however, from their geographical situation and specialization in service industries.

A review of the evolution of the digital divide, defined by hardware equipment and numbers of Internet users, was undertaken using absolute measures, Gini coefficients and analysis of movements in country rankings. Taken together, this review suggests small, incremental reductions in inequality in the distributions of hardware and Internet users across countries, yielding the intriguing result of a diminishing digital divide. Our results show that more recent technologies such as the Internet are more unevenly distributed relative to older technologies, such as fixed-line telephony. Our findings also demonstrate "leapfrogging" in mobile telephony (with lower levels of inequality than expected, given the youth of this technology, which decrease the fastest), suggesting greater potential for mobiles as more equally distributed technologies in bridging the digital divide.

From regional analysis of relative rankings, UNCTAD reviewed levels of and trends in connectivity for different regions. Our results show that the "tails" of the distributions are becoming more polarized, with OECD countries becoming more tightly bunched at the front of the distribution and differentiating out ahead from the body of the distribution of countries. Conversely, sub-Saharan African countries remain grouped at the lower end of the distribution. These patterns are also found in and consistent with the absolute scores, where "best performers" are in fact increasing their lead, whilst some countries in the lower tail remain at or close to zero (appendix 5). The incremental overall reductions in relative inequality observed using Gini coefficients derive from the centre of the distribution, and China in particular, which accounts for a fifth of the world's population and shows a steady and significant rise up the rankings.

In future work, it would be interesting to compare the UNCTAD ICT Development Indices and the changes in the rankings therein with other indices (for example, UNIDO's Infrastructure Index, McConnell International's and EIU's connectivity rankings). In further work, the challenging question of causation could be addressed to forge a link between the trends in outcomes observed and underlying policy measures implemented in practice. Regression work could include a consideration of economies' sectoral composition, in the technological structure of services and exports and channels of technology transfer, as important drivers of and influences on countries' uptake and absorption of ICTs.

6. APPENDICES

The following appendices are presented:

- 1. Appendix 1 ICT Development Indices (2001, 2000 and 1999), listed by country and by ranking;
- 2. Appendix 2 Correlation tables of component indices;
- 3. Appendix 3 Comparison of Connectivity/Access Indices for 2001;
- 4. Appendix 4 Comparison of Connectivity/Policy Indices for 2001;
- 5. Appendix 5 Descriptive statistics;
- 6. Appendix 6 Connectivity Index (2001, 2000 and 1999).
- 7. Appendix 7 Data definitions and sources.

Appendix 1 presents ICT Development Indices and the Index of ICT Diffusion calculated as discussed above, in the section on index methodology for 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Appendix 2 presents correlation tables for the three main component indices (Connectivity, Access and Policy Indices) calculated using the correlation function in Excel for the years 1995, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001.

Appendices 3 and 4 represent a relative analysis of rankings in the Connectivity/Access Indices and Connectivity/Policy Indices for 2001, by comparing and categorizing countries as falling behind (FB), keeping up (KU) and getting ahead (GA). For connectivity, countries were divided into thirds, with the first third (1-67) classified as getting ahead, second third (68-124) as keeping up and the last third (125-201) as falling behind. For access, countries were also divided into thirds, with the first third (1-53) classified as getting ahead, second third (54-106) classified as keeping up and the last third (107-156) as falling behind. For policy, thirds closely corresponded to scores, so that the first third includes policy scores in excess of 0.5, the second third 0.5/ x >0 and last third scores of zero. This allows the segmental classification and analysis of Connectivity with Access Index and Connectivity with Policy Index, to determine whether there is any correlation and correspondence between them.

Appendix 5 provides exploratory data analysis for base data populations of Internet hosts, PCs, telephones and mobiles to present a preliminary analysis of the digital divide.

Appendix 6 provides a separate listing of the Connectivity Index, for 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Appendix 1.	ICT Development Indices (2001)
-------------	---------------------------------------

COUNTRY	2001 CONNECTIVITY	2001 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2001 ICT DIFFUSION
Afghanistan	0.0005		0.1667	
Albania	0.0500	0.0161	0.5000	0.033066658
Algeria	0.0209	0.2248	0.0000	0.122837535
American Samoa	0.0321		0.0000	
Andorra	0.2675		0.0000	
Angola	0.0038	0.0110	0.6250	0.007400343
Antigua and Barbuda	0.3567		0.1667	
Argentina	0.1382	0.4221	1.0000	0.280129439
Armenia	0.0446	0.4959	0.2500	0.270259284
Aruba	0.3153		0.0000	
Australia	0.5814	0.6396	1.0000	0.610462268
Austria	0.4904	0.6728	1.0000	0.581640716
Azerbaijan	0.0664	0.0094	0.1667	0.037865117
Bahamas	0.2171	0.4701	0.0000	0.343632715
Bahrain	0.2560	0.6660	0.0000	0.461000488
Bangladesh	0.0027	0.1399	0.0833	0.071297108
Barbados	0.0754	0.6007	0.0000	0.338087047
Belarus	0.1106	0.0714	0.3333	0.090978728
Belgium	0.4717	0.6444	0.8750	0.558049134
Belize	0.1325	0.0975	0.0000	0.114972247
Benin	0.0083	0.1280	0.0000	0.068150287
Bermuda	0.5614		0.0000	
Bhutan	0.0029	0.1591	0.0000	0.080999773
Bolivia	0.0475	0.4419	0.2500	0.244698065
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0618	0.0207	0.2500	0.041264407
Botswana	0.0841	0.4252	0.2500	0.254646597
Brazil	0.1352	0.4706	0.7500	0.302877114
Brunei Darussalam	0.0950	0.6903	0.0000	0.392647369
Bulgaria	0.2092	0.3755	0.5000	0.29235306
Burkina Faso	0.0036	0.0791	0.2500	0.041374387
Burundi	0.0022	0.1613	0.6667	0.081746558
Cambodia	0.0056	0.0034	0.3750	0.004493064
Cameroon	0.0087	0.3939	0.0000	0.201302836
Canada	0.4385	0.7179	1.0000	0.578170681
Cape Verde	0.0782	0.2667	0.2500	0.172483465
Cayman Islands	0.0362		0.0000	
Central African Rep.	0.0022	0.1556	0.0000	0.078917352
Chad	0.0017	0.1816	0.0000	0.09164793
Chile	0.1944	0.4532	1.0000	0.323813524
China	0.0759	0.3026	0.8750	0.189220187
Colombia	0.0847	0.3350	1.0000	0.209830044
Comoros	0.0056	0.2583	0.0000	0.131974466
Congo	0.0159	0.4154	0.6667	0.215660292
Congo (Democratic Rep. of the)	0.0011		0.7500	
Costa Rica	0.1538	0.5274	0.0000	0.34057669
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0190	0.1633	0.2500	0.091152173
Croatia	0.2368	0.5379	0.5000	0.387370568
Cuba	0.0224	0.4877	0.2500	0.255070121
Cyprus	0.3447	0.6219	0.5000	0.48328467
Czech Republic	0.3844	0.4525	1.0000	0.418420835
Denmark	0.6203	0.7859	1.0000	0.703105322

COUNTRY	2001 CONNECTIVITY	2001 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2001 ICT DIFFUSION
Djibouti	0.0099	0.1793	0.0000	0.094609149
Dominica	0.1721		0.0000	
Dominican Rep.	0.0889	0.3091	0.7500	0.19896696
Ecuador	0.0558	0.3273	0.1250	0.191539414
Egypt	0.0433	0.3895	0.2500	0.216381019
El Salvador	0.0671	0.4197	0.7500	0.243382443
Equatorial Guinea	0.0144	0.4164	0.0000	0.21541592
Eritrea	0.0031	0.0038	0.2500	0.003456059
Estonia	0.3217	0.5113	0.7500	0.41651666
Ethiopia	0.0019	0.1310	0.0000	0.066449659
Faeroe Islands	0.0929		0.0000	
Fiji	0.0795	0.4493	0.0000	0.264381982
Finland	0.6402	0.7220	1.0000	0.681065837
France	0.4596	0.6296	1.0000	0.544591168
French Guiana			0.0000	
French Polynesia	0.1861	0.2460	0.0000	0.216058916
Gabon	0.0096	0.4020	0.3750	0.205804468
Gambia	0.0208	0.1289	0.2500	0.074802833
Georgia	0.0817	0.0102	0.7500	0.045955985
Germany	0.4995	0.6874	1.0000	0.593480312
Ghana	0.0074	0.2365	0.5000	0.121954517
Gibraltar			0.0000	
Greece	0.3817	0.4692	1.0000	0.425445318
Greenland	0.3172	0.5042	0.0000	0.410678569
Grenada	0.1715	0.0885	0.0000	0.130016424
Guadelope			0.0000	
Guam		0.9950	0.0000	
Guatemala	0.0485	0.3616	0.5000	0.205047156
Guinea	0.0042	0.1405	0.2500	0.072344169
Guinea-Bissau	0.0037	0.1264	0.7500	0.065044672
Guyana	0.0548	0.3939	0.0000	0.224367984
Honduras	0.0274	0.5036	0.0000	0.265523508
Hong Kong (China)	0.5556	0.7826	1.0000	0.66911458
Hungary	0.2858	0.4917	0.5000	0.388732272
Iceland	0.7065	0.9138	1.0000	0.810114573
India	0.0134	0.1937	1.0000	0.10351466
Indonesia	0.0211	0.4592	0.5000	0.240136052
Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	0.0701	0.4392	0.0000	0.170240055
Iraq	0.0107		0.0000	
Ireland	0.5018	 0.6393	1.0000	 0.570576017
Israel	0.4790	0.5796	0.5000	0.529289132
		0.6056		0.5213067
Italy	0.4370	0.3294	1.0000	
Jamaica	0.1449		0.2500	0.237133091
Japan	0.4918	0.8396	1.0000	0.665655189
Jordan Kazakhatan	0.0883	0.3335	0.2500	0.210880945
Kazakhstan	0.0194		0.6667	
Kenya	0.0092	0.2837	0.6250	0.146437143
Kiribati	0.0195	0.0233	0.0000	0.021368796
Korea, Dem. People's Rep.	0.0276		0.0000	
Korea (Rep. of)	0.4023	0.6522	0.8750	0.527257657
Kuwait	0.1850	0.6555	0.1667	0.420259543
Kyrgyzstan	0.0040		0.3750	
Lao PDR	0.0053	0.2101	0.0000	0.107717538
Latvia	0.2216	0.3904	0.5000	0.306005956

COUNTRY	2001 CONNECTIVITY	2001 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2001 ICT DIFFUSION
Lebanon	0.0479	0.4856	0.0000	0.266724449
Lesotho	0.0082	0.2847	0.0000	0.146458491
Liberia	0.0010	0.2652	0.0000	0.133137566
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0455	0.4027	0.0000	0.224073714
Liechtenstein	0.2909		0.0000	
Lithuania	0.1871	0.4821	0.2500	0.334596136
Luxembourg	0.7028	0.7754	1.0000	0.739124756
Macao (China)	0.2934	0.6274	0.0000	0.460417848
Macedonia, FYR	0.1372	0.3527	0.2500	0.244990376
Madagascar	0.0043	0.0045	0.7500	0.004383419
Malawi	0.0030	0.2020	0.7500	0.102499833
Malaysia	0.1949	0.5627	0.6250	0.37876599
Maldives	0.0493	0.4531	0.0000	0.251210599
Mali	0.0027	0.1362	0.5000	0.069431727
Malta	0.3470	0.6423	0.5000	0.494686344
Marshall Islands	0.0523	0.0345	0.0000	0.04340254
Martinique			0.0000	
Mauritania	0.0080	0.1373	0.3333	0.072625314
Mauritius	0.1856	0.3752	0.0000	0.28041522
México	0.1230	0.3655	0.7500	0.244251098
Micronesia (Fed. States of)	0.0345		0.0000	
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0638	0.3391	0.2500	0.20141817
Mongolia	0.0385	0.0158	0.5000	0.027162433
Morocco	0.0564	0.1784	0.2500	0.11741058
Mozambique	0.0052	0.2173	0.2500	0.111234232
Myanmar	0.0022	0.4251	0.0000	0.21365745
Namibia	0.0491	0.3009	0.2500	0.17501665
Nepal	0.0051	0.3452	0.2500	0.175167267
Netherlands	0.6528	0.6731	0.7500	0.662953275
Netherlands Antilles	0.2106		0.0000	
New Caledonia	0.0577		0.0000	
New Zealand	0.5281	0.6810	0.8750	0.604538239
Nicaragua	0.0157	0.3255	0.2500	0.170634801
Níger	0.0008	0.0552	0.6667	0.027999867
Nigeria	0.0047	0.3242	0.7500	0.164460937
Norway	0.6690	0.8838	1.0000	0.776393593
Oman	0.0711	0.3930	0.0000	0.232065713
Pakistan	0.0097	0.3517	0.8750	0.180705636
Panama	0.1117	0.5007	0.5000	0.306185889
Paraguay	0.0726	0.4368	0.2500	0.254720915
Peru	0.0565	0.4711	1.0000	0.263810316
Philippines	0.0555	0.5027	1.0000	0.279069106
Poland	0.1927	0.4129	0.8750	0.302756919
Portugal	0.3908	0.5603	1.0000	0.475541941
Puerto Rico	0.2310	0.5795	0.0000	0.405294354
Qatar	0.2315	0.4552	0.0000	0.343337767
Romania	0.1113	0.3609	0.5000	0.236102424
Russian Federation	0.1012	0.3578	0.5000	0.22947641
Rwanda	0.0039	0.3909	0.0000	0.197424446
Samoa	0.0482	0.0310	0.0000	0.039630734
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0205	0.0503	0.0000	0.035393645
Saudi Arabia	0.1011	0.3953	0.5000	0.248199984
Senegal	0.0330	0.1319	0.2500	0.082455664
Seychelles	0.2737	0.1704	0.0000	0.222053146

COUNTRY	2001 CONNECTIVITY	2001 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2001 ICT DIFFUSION
Sierra Leone	0.0039	0.1219	0.5000	0.062903588
Singapore	0.5491	0.6617	1.0000	0.605399974
Slovakia	0.2511	0.5661	0.5000	0.408642678
Slovenia	0.4298	0.5501	0.2500	0.489971049
Solomon Islands	0.0247	0.0103	0.0000	0.017500514
Somalia	0.0000		0.6667	
South Africa	0.1168	0.3397	0.3333	0.228270209
Spain	0.3700	0.5243	1.0000	0.44716507
Sri Lanka	0.0251	0.4494	0.5000	0.237257064
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.1683		0.0000	
Saint Lucia	0.1238		0.0000	
St. Vincent & the Grenadines	0.0916		0.0000	
Sudan	0.0063	0.1937	0.7500	0.099977804
Suriname	0.1378	0.4950	0.5000	0.316396106
Swaziland	0.0379	0.4251	0.2500	0.231484438
Sweden	0.6918	0.7847	1.0000	0.73828085
Switzerland	0.5857	0.7909	1.0000	0.688307363
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0402	0.2564	0.0000	0.148293031
Taiwan Province of China			1.0000	
Tajikistan	0.0138	0.3315	0.0000	0.172642523
Tanzania (United Rep. of)	0.0056	0.2561	0.2500	0.130824524
Thailand	0.0683	0.3619	0.6250	0.215103892
Тодо	0.0168	0.1974	0.2500	0.107076493
Trinidad and Tobago	0.1432	0.4138	0.2500	0.278488098
Tunisia	0.0512	0.2729	0.2500	0.162011465
Turkey	0.1713	0.3245	0.2500	0.247935095
Turkmenistán	0.0456	0.0121	0.3333	0.028825513
Uganda	0.0054	0.2266	0.5000	0.115994873
Ukraine	0.0810	0.3409	0.0833	0.210987261
United Arab Emirates	0.3786	0.6247	0.2500	0.501655426
United Kingdom	0.5396	0.7131	1.0000	0.626315848
United States	0.8005	0.8462	1.0000	0.823370778
Uruguay	0.1776	0.4312	0.1250	0.304377226
Uzbekistán	0.0256	0.0107	0.1667	0.018103136
Vanuatu	0.0147	0.1765	0.0000	0.095633432
Venezuela	0.1207	0.4346	0.7500	0.277639578
Viet Nam	0.0189	0.3156	0.2500	0.167252298
Virgin Islands (US)	0.0543		0.0000	
Yemen	0.0090	0.1575	0.2500	0.083246802
Yugoslavia	0.1243		0.6667	
Zambia	0.0075	0.2633	0.2500	0.135412716
Zimbabwe	0.0174	0.3183	0.7500	0.167861436

RANKING	COUNTRY	2000 CONNEC	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
AN					
		0.0005	0.0400	1 0000	0.000.4
1 2	United States	0.8005 0.7065	0.8462 0.9138	1.0000 1.0000	0.8234 0.8101
23	Iceland Norway	0.6690	0.8838	1.0000	0.8701
3 4	Luxembourg	0.7028	0.8838	1.0000	0.7391
5	Sweden	0.6918	0.7847	1.0000	0.7383
6	Denmark	0.6203	0.7859	1.0000	0.7031
7	Switzerland	0.5857	0.7909	1.0000	0.6883
8	Finland	0.6402	0.7220	1.0000	0.6811
9	Hong Kong (China)	0.5556	0.7826	1.0000	0.6691
10	Japan	0.4918	0.8396	1.0000	0.6657
11	Netherlands	0.6528	0.6731	0.7500	0.6630
12	United Kingdom	0.5396	0.7131	1.0000	0.6263
13	Australia	0.5814	0.6396	1.0000	0.6105
14	Singapore	0.5491	0.6617	1.0000	0.6054
15	New Zealand	0.5281	0.6810	0.8750	0.6045
16	Germany	0.4995	0.6874	1.0000	0.5935
17	Austria	0.4904	0.6728	1.0000	0.5816
18 10	Canada Ireland	0.4385	0.7179	1.0000	0.5782 0.5706
19 20		0.5018	0.6393	1.0000	
20 21	Belgium France	0.4717 0.4596	0.6444 0.6296	0.8750 1.0000	0.5580 0.5446
21	Israel	0.4790	0.5796	0.5000	0.5293
23	Korea (Rep. of)	0.4023	0.6522	0.8750	0.5273
24	Italy	0.4370	0.6056	1.0000	0.5213
25	United Arab Emirates	0.3786	0.6247	0.2500	0.5017
26	Malta	0.3470	0.6423	0.5000	0.4947
27	Slovenia	0.4298	0.5501	0.2500	0.4900
28	Cyprus	0.3447	0.6219	0.5000	0.4833
29	Portugal	0.3908	0.5603	1.0000	0.4755
30	Bahrain	0.2560	0.6660	0.0000	0.4610
31	Macao (China)	0.2934	0.6274	0.0000	0.4604
32	Spain	0.3700	0.5243	1.0000	0.4472
33	Greece	0.3817	0.4692	1.0000	0.4254
34	Kuwait	0.1850	0.6555	0.1667	0.4203
35	Czech Republic	0.3844	0.4525	1.0000	0.4184
36	Estonia	0.3217	0.5113	0.7500	0.4165
37 38	Greenland	0.3172 0.2511	0.5042	0.0000	0.4107
38 39	Slovak Republic Puerto Rico	0.2310	0.5661 0.5795	0.5000 0.0000	0.4086 0.4053
39 40	Brunei Darussalam	0.0950	0.6903	0.0000	0.3926
40	Hungary	0.2858	0.4917	0.5000	0.3887
42	Croatia	0.2368	0.5379	0.5000	0.3874
43	Malaysia	0.1949	0.5627	0.6250	0.3788
44	Bahamas	0.2171	0.4701	0.0000	0.3436
45	Qatar	0.2315	0.4552	0.0000	0.3433
46	Costa Rica	0.1538	0.5274	0.0000	0.3406
47	Barbados	0.0754	0.6007	0.0000	0.3381
48	Lithuania	0.1871	0.4821	0.2500	0.3346
49	Chile	0.1944	0.4532	1.0000	0.3238
50	Suriname	0.1378	0.4950	0.5000	0.3164
51	Panama	0.1117	0.5007	0.5000	0.3062
52	Latvia	0.2216	0.3904	0.5000	0.3060
53	Uruguay	0.1776	0.4312	0.1250	0.3044
54	Brazil	0.1352	0.4706	0.7500	0.3029
55 56	Poland Bulgaria	0.1927 0.2092	0.4129 0.3755	0.8750 0.5000	0.3028 0.2924
56 57	Mauritius	0.2092	0.3755	0.0000	0.2924 0.2804
58	Argentina	0.1382	0.4221	1.0000	0.2804
59	Philippines	0.0555	0.5027	1.0000	0.2791
60	Trinidad and Tobago	0.1432	0.4138	0.2500	0.2785
61	Venezuela	0.1207	0.4346	0.7500	0.2776
62	Armenia	0.0446	0.4959	0.2500	0.2703

2001 Index of ICT Diffusion by ranking

	1				
63	Lebanon	0.0479	0.4856	0.0000	0.2667
64	Honduras	0.0274	0.5036	0.0000	0.2655
65	Fiji	0.0795	0.4493	0.0000	0.2644
66	Peru	0.0565	0.4711	1.0000	0.2638
67	Cuba	0.0224	0.4877	0.2500	0.2551
68	Paraguay	0.0726	0.4368	0.2500	0.2547
69	Botswana	0.0841	0.4252	0.2500	0.2546
70	Maldives	0.0493	0.4531	0.0000	0.2512
71	Saudi Arabia	0.1011	0.3953	0.5000	0.2482
72	Turkey	0.1713	0.3245	0.2500	0.2479
73	Macedonia, FYR	0.1372	0.3527	0.2500	0.2450
74	Bolivia	0.0475	0.4419	0.2500	0.2447
75	Mexico	0.1230	0.3655	0.7500	0.2443
76	El Salvador	0.0671	0.4197	0.7500	0.2434
77	Indonesia	0.0211	0.4592	0.5000	0.2401
78	Sri Lanka	0.0251	0.4494	0.5000	0.2373
79	Jamaica	0.1449	0.3294	0.2500	0.2371
80	Romania	0.1113	0.3609	0.5000	0.2361
81	Oman	0.0711	0.3930	0.0000	0.2321
82	Swaziland				
		0.0379	0.4251	0.2500	0.2315
83	Russia	0.1012	0.3578	0.5000	0.2295
84	South Africa	0.1168	0.3397	0.3333	0.2283
85	Guyana	0.0548	0.3939	0.0000	0.2244
86	Libya	0.0455	0.4027	0.0000	0.2241
87	Seychelles	0.2737	0.1704	0.0000	0.2221
88	Egypt	0.0433	0.3895	0.2500	0.2164
89	French Polynesia	0.1861	0.2460	0.0000	0.2161
90	Congo (Democratic Rep. of the)		0.2460	0.6667	
	• • • • •	0.0159			0.2157
91	Equatorial Guinea	0.0144	0.4164	0.0000	0.2154
92	Thailand	0.0683	0.3619	0.6250	0.2151
93	Myanmar	0.0022	0.4251	0.0000	0.2137
94	Ukraine	0.0810	0.3409	0.0833	0.2110
95	Jordan	0.0883	0.3335	0.2500	0.2109
96	Colombia	0.0847	0.3350	1.0000	0.2098
97	Gabon	0.0096	0.4020	0.3750	0.2058
98	Guatemala	0.0485	0.3616	0.5000	0.2050
99	Moldova	0.0638	0.3391	0.2500	0.2014
100	Cameroon	0.0087	0.3939	0.0000	0.2013
101	Dominican Rep.	0.0889	0.3091	0.7500	0.1990
102	Rwanda	0.0039	0.3909	0.0000	0.1974
103	Ecuador	0.0558	0.3273	0.1250	0.1915
104	China	0.0759	0.3026	0.8750	0.1892
105	Pakistan	0.0097	0.3517	0.8750	0.1807
105					
	Nepal	0.0051	0.3452	0.2500	0.1752
107	Namibia	0.0491	0.3009	0.2500	0.1750
108	Tajikistan	0.0138	0.3315	0.0000	0.1726
109	Cape Verde	0.0782	0.2667	0.2500	0.1725
110	Nicaragua	0.0157	0.3255	0.2500	0.1706
111	Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	0.0701	0.2704	0.0000	0.1702
112	Zimbabwe	0.0174	0.3183	0.7500	0.1679
113	Viet Nam	0.0189	0.3156	0.2500	0.1673
114	Nigeria	0.0047	0.3242	0.7500	0.1645
	-				
115	Tunisia	0.0512	0.2729	0.2500	0.1620
116	Syria	0.0402	0.2564	0.0000	0.1483
117	Lesotho	0.0082	0.2847	0.0000	0.1465
118	Kenya	0.0092	0.2837	0.6250	0.1464
119	Zambia	0.0075	0.2633	0.2500	0.1354
120	Liberia	0.0010	0.2652	0.0000	0.1331
121	Comoros	0.0056	0.2583	0.0000	0.1320
122	Tanzania	0.0056	0.2561	0.2500	0.1308
123	Grenada	0.1715	0.0885	0.0000	0.1300
123		0.0209	0.2248	0.0000	0.1228
	Algeria				
125	Ghana	0.0074	0.2365	0.5000	0.1220
126	Morocco	0.0564	0.1784	0.2500	0.1174
127	Uganda	0.0054	0.2266	0.5000	0.1160
128	Belize	0.1325	0.0975	0.0000	0.1150
	Delize				
		0.0052	0.2173	0.2500	0.1112
129	Mozambique		0.2173 0.2101		
129 130	Mozambique Lao PDR	0.0053	0.2101	0.0000	0.1077
129	Mozambique				

133	Malawi	0.0030	0.2020	0.7500	0.1025
134	Sudan	0.0063	0.1937	0.7500	0.1000
135	Vanuatu	0.0147	0.1765	0.0000	0.0956
136	Djibouti	0.0099	0.1793	0.0000	0.0946
137	Chad	0.0017	0.1816	0.0000	0.0916
138	Côte d'Ivoire	0.0190	0.1633	0.2500	0.0912
139	Belarus	0.1106	0.0714	0.3333	0.0910
140	Yemen	0.0090	0.1575	0.2500	0.0832
141	Senegal	0.0330	0.1319	0.2500	0.0825
142	Burundi	0.0022	0.1613	0.6667	0.0817
143	Bhutan	0.0029	0.1591	0.0000	0.0810
144	Central African Rep.	0.0022	0.1556	0.0000	0.0789
145	Gambia	0.0208	0.1289	0.2500	0.0748
146	Mauritania	0.0080	0.1373	0.3333	0.0726
147	Guinea	0.0042	0.1405	0.2500	0.0723
148	Bangladesh	0.0027	0.1399	0.0833	0.0713
149	Mali	0.0027	0.1362	0.5000	0.0694
150	Benin	0.0083	0.1280	0.0000	0.0682
151	Ethiopia	0.0019	0.1310	0.0000	0.0664
152	Guinea-Bissau	0.0037	0.1264	0.7500	0.0650
153	Sierra Leone	0.0039	0.1219	0.5000	0.0629
154	Georgia	0.0817	0.0102	0.7500	0.0460
155	Marshall Islands	0.0523	0.0345	0.0000	0.0434
156	Burkina Faso	0.0036	0.0791	0.2500	0.0414
157	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0618	0.0207	0.2500	0.0413
158	Samoa	0.0482	0.0310	0.0000	0.0396
159	Azerbaijan	0.0664	0.0094	0.1667	0.0379
160	Sao Tome and Principe	0.0205	0.0503	0.0000	0.0354
161	Albania	0.0500	0.0161	0.5000	0.0331
162	Turkmenistan	0.0456	0.0121	0.3333	0.0288
163	Niger	0.0008	0.0552	0.6667	0.0280
164	Mongolia	0.0385	0.0158	0.5000	0.0272
165	Kiribati	0.0195	0.0233	0.0000	0.0214
166	Uzbekistan	0.0256	0.0107	0.1667	0.0181
167	Solomon Islands	0.0247	0.0103	0.0000	0.0175
168	Angola	0.0038	0.0110	0.6250	0.0074
169	Cambodia	0.0056	0.0034	0.3750	0.0045
170	Madagascar	0.0043	0.0045	0.7500	0.0044
171	Eritrea	0.0031	0.0038	0.2500	0.0035

COUNTRY	2000 CONNECTIVITY	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
Afghanistan	0.0005		0.1667	
Albania	0.0199	0.0148	0.5000	0.0173
Algeria	0.0197	0.2248	0.0000	0.1222
American Samoa	0.1474		0.0000	
Andorra	0.2824	0.4139	0.0000	0.3481
Angola	0.0026	0.0097	0.6250	0.0061
Antigua and Barbuda	0.3631	0.1885	0.1667	0.2758
Argentina	0.1386	0.4210	1.0000	0.2798
Armenia	0.0434	0.3379	0.2500	0.1907
Aruba	0.2039		0.0000	
Australia	0.5653	0.6486	1.0000	0.6069
Austria	0.5493	0.6620	1.0000	0.6056
Azerbaijan	0.0589	0.0084	0.1667	0.0337
Bahamas	0.1804	0.4676	0.0000	0.3240
Bahrain	0.2396	0.5972	0.0000	0.4184
Bangladesh	0.0022	0.1398	0.0833	0.0710
Barbados	0.1964	0.4212	0.0000	0.3088
Belarus	0.1006	0.0562	0.3333	0.0784
Belgium	0.4747	0.6352	0.8750	0.5549
Belize	0.1260	0.0963	0.0000	0.1112
Benin	0.1200	0.1275	0.0000	0.0666
Bermuda	0.6670		0.0000	
Bhutan	0.0028	0.1589	0.0000	0.0809
Bolivia	0.0470	0.3026	0.2500	0.1748
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0505	0.0211	0.2500	0.0358
Botswana	0.0859	0.2924	0.2500	0.1892
Brazil	0.1189	0.4661	0.7500	0.2925
Brunei Darussalam	0.2013	0.6906	0.0000	0.4460
Bulgaria	0.1503	0.3685	0.5000	0.2594
Burkina Faso	0.0025	0.0788	0.2500	0.0407
Burundi	0.0022	0.1613	0.6667	0.0818
Cambodia	0.0043	0.0032	0.3750	0.0038
Cameroon	0.0064	0.3940	0.0000	0.2002
Canada	0.5134	0.7373	1.0000	0.6254
Cape Verde	0.0661	0.2639	0.2500	0.1650
Cayman Islands	0.4682		0.0000	
Central African Rep.	0.0018	0.1556	0.0000	0.0787
Chad	0.0012	0.1816	0.0000	0.0914
Chile	0.1720	0.4488	1.0000	0.3104
China	0.0592	0.2997	0.8750	0.1795
Colombia	0.0802	0.3336	1.0000	0.2069
Comoros	0.0046	0.2579	0.0000	0.1313
Congo	0.0109	0.4154	0.6667	0.2132
Congo (Democratic Rep. of the)	0.0003		0.7500	
Costa Rica	0.1443	0.5173	0.0000	0.3308
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0158	0.1626	0.2500	0.0892
Croatia	0.2081	0.3884	0.5000	0.2983
Cuba	0.0169	0.4845	0.2500	0.2507
Cyprus	0.3341	0.6123	0.5000	0.4732
Czech Republic	0.3033	0.4437	1.0000	0.3735
Denmark	0.6412	0.7726	1.0000	0.7069

Appendix 1. ICT Development Indices (2000)

COUNTRY	2000 CONNECTIVITY	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
Djibouti	0.0088	0.1781	0.0000	0.0935
Dominica	0.1298	0.1155	0.0000	0.1227
Dominican Republic	0.0754	0.3091	0.7500	0.1922
Ecuador	0.0489	0.3230	0.1250	0.1859
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.0336	0.3891	0.2500	0.2114
El Salvador	0.0688	0.2842	0.7500	0.1765
Equatorial Guinea	0.0090	0.4163	0.0000	0.2126
Eritrea	0.0030	0.0031	0.2500	0.0030
Estonia	0.3235	0.5169	0.7500	0.4202
Ethiopia	0.0015	0.1309	0.0000	0.0662
Faeroe Islands	0.3899		0.0000	
Fiji	0.0752	0.4488	0.0000	0.2620
Finland	0.6416	0.7208	1.0000	0.6812
France	0.4608	0.5825	1.0000	0.5216
French Guiana	0.1913		0.0000	
French Polynesia	0.1597	0.2508	0.0000	0.2052
Gabon	0.0437	0.2747	0.3750	0.1592
Gambia	0.0134	0.1275	0.2500	0.0704
Georgia	0.0676	0.0104	0.7500	0.0390
Germany	0.5223	0.6736	1.0000	0.5980
Ghana	0.0068	0.2364	0.5000	0.1216
Gibraltar	0.5830		0.0000	
Greece	0.3619	 0.4580	1.0000	0.4100
Greenland	0.3405	0.5092	0.0000	0.4249
Grenada	0.1625	0.0851	0.0000	0.1238
Guadelope	0.2915		0.0000	
UAM	0.0030	 0.9950	0.0000	 0.4990
Guatemala	0.0450	0.3583	0.5000	0.2017
Guinea	0.0040	0.1402	0.2500	0.0721
Guinea-Bissau	0.0034	0.1262	0.7500	0.0648
Guyana	0.0394	0.3719	0.0000	0.2057
Honduras	0.0251	0.3803	0.0000	0.2027
Hong Kong (China)	0.5869	0.3803	1.0000	0.6832
Hungary	0.2488	0.4676	0.5000	0.3582
Iceland	0.7293	0.9138	1.0000	0.8215
India	0.0119	0.1934	1.0000	0.1027
Indonesia	0.0119	0.1934	0.5000	0.2374
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.0662	0.4564	0.0000	0.2374
•	0.0662		0.0000	
Iraq Ireland	0.5031	 0.6415	1.0000	 0.5723
	0.5079	0.6415	0.5000	
Israel		0.5989		0.5436
Italy	0.4544 0.1201	0.5989	1.0000	0.5267
Jamaica			0.2500	0.2241
Japan	0.4957	0.7830	1.0000	0.6394
Jordan	0.0730	0.3276	0.2500	0.2003
Kazakhstan	0.0470	0.0184	0.6667	0.0327
Kenya	0.0065	0.2796	0.6250	0.1430
Kiribati	0.0207	0.0211	0.0000	0.0209
Korea, Dem. People's Rep.	0.0270		0.0000	
Korea (Rep of)	0.4147	0.6288	0.8750	0.5217
Kuwait	0.2039	0.6501	0.1667	0.4270
Kyrgyztan	0.0296	0.0118	0.3750	0.0207
Lao PDR	0.0040	0.2098	0.0000	0.1069

COUNTRY	2000 CONNECTIVITY	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
Latvia	0.2041	0.3907	0.5000	0.2974
Lebanon	0.1421	0.3713	0.0000	0.2567
Lesotho	0.0085	0.2846	0.0000	0.1465
Liberia	0.0011	0.2651	0.0000	0.1331
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0448	0.4016	0.0000	0.2232
Liechtenstein	0.5580		0.0000	
Lithuania	0.1652	0.4829	0.2500	0.3240
Luxembourg	0.6506	0.7935	1.0000	0.7221
Macao (China)	0.2783	0.5772	0.0000	0.4278
Macedonia, FYR	0.1156	0.3499	0.2500	0.2328
Madagascar	0.0032	0.0045	0.7500	0.0038
Malawi	0.0029	0.2019	0.7500	0.1024
Malaysia	0.1775	0.5410	0.6250	0.3592
Maldives	0.0403	0.4496	0.0000	0.2450
Mali	0.0017	0.1359	0.5000	0.0688
Malta	0.3405	0.5687	0.5000	0.4546
Marshall Islands	0.0517	0.0350	0.0000	0.0433
Martinique	0.2597		0.0000	
Martinique Mauritania	0.2397	 0.1371	0.3333	 0.0720
Mauritius	0.1628	0.3518	0.0000	0.2573
Máunnus México	0.1028	0.3639	0.7500	0.2373
Micronesia (Fed. States of)	0.0331	0.0489 0.3391	0.0000	0.0410
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0542		0.2500	0.1966
Mongolia	0.0371	0.0144	0.5000	0.0258
Morocco	0.0429	0.1758	0.2500	0.1093
Mozambique	0.0036	0.2173	0.2500	0.1104
Myanmar	0.0021	0.4251	0.0000	0.2136
Namibia	0.0485	0.2983	0.2500	0.1734
Nepal	0.0047	0.3452	0.2500	0.1749
Netherlands	0.6481	0.6493	0.7500	0.6487
Netherlands Antilles	0.2039		0.0000	
New Caledonia	0.1846	0.2591	0.0000	0.2218
New Zealand	0.5527	0.6688	0.8750	0.6107
Nicaragua	0.0183	0.2225	0.2500	0.1204
Niger	0.0008	0.0549	0.6667	0.0278
Nigeria	0.0042	0.2171	0.7500	0.1107
Norway	0.7445	0.8672	1.0000	0.8059
Oman	0.0595	0.3895	0.0000	0.2245
Pakistan	0.0086	0.3508	0.8750	0.1797
Panama	0.1071	0.3512	0.5000	0.2292
Paraguay	0.0677	0.4360	0.2500	0.2519
Peru	0.0523	0.4701	1.0000	0.2612
Philippines	0.0467	0.5018	1.0000	0.2743
Poland	0.1706	0.4057	0.8750	0.2881
Portugal	0.3787	0.5260	1.0000	0.4523
Puerto Rico	0.2206	0.5549	0.0000	0.3878
Qatar	0.2182	0.4491	0.0000	0.3336
Romania	0.0981	0.3592	0.5000	0.2287
Russian Federation	0.0883	0.3553	0.5000	0.2218
Rwanda	0.0030	0.3903	0.0000	0.1967
Samoa	0.0376	0.0227	0.0000	0.0301
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0189	0.0432	0.0000	0.0310
Saudi Arabia	0.0893	0.3932	0.5000	0.2413

COUNTRY	2000 CONNECTIVITY	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
Senegal	0.0220	0.1293	0.2500	0.0756
Seychelles	0.2265	0.1527	0.0000	0.1896
Sierra Leone	0.0028	0.1218	0.5000	0.0623
Singapore	0.5985	0.6515	1.0000	0.6250
Slovakia	0.2171	0.4746	0.5000	0.3459
Slovenia	0.4314	0.4884	0.2500	0.4599
Solomon Islands	0.0259	0.0109	0.0000	0.0184
Somalia	0.0022		0.6667	
South Africa	0.1229	0.3368	0.3333	0.2298
Spain	0.3861	0.5101	1.0000	0.4481
Sri Lanka	0.0215	0.4493	0.5000	0.2354
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.2454		0.0000	
St. Lucia	0.1614		0.0000	
St. Vincent & the Grenadines	0.1132	0.0601	0.0000	0.0867
Sudan	0.0050	0.1933	0.7500	0.0992
Suriname	0.1071	0.4933	0.5000	0.3002
Swaziland	0.0289	0.4242	0.2500	0.2265
Sweden	0.7143	0.7879	1.0000	0.7511
Switzerland	0.6560	0.7601	1.0000	0.7081
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0356	0.2556	0.0000	0.1456
Taiwan Province of China			1.0000	
Tajikistan	0.0132	0.3315	0.0000	0.1724
Tanzania (United Rep. of)	0.0042	0.2539	0.2500	0.1291
Thailand	0.0508	0.3555	0.6250	0.2031
Тодо	0.0129	0.1971	0.2500	0.1050
Trinidad and Tobago	0.1272	0.4122	0.2500	0.2697
Tunisia	0.0417	0.2674	0.2500	0.1546
Turkey	0.1689	0.3234	0.2500	0.2462
Turkmenistán	0.0292	0.0119	0.3333	0.0206
Uganda	0.0044	0.2264	0.5000	0.1154
Ukraine	0.0711	0.3390	0.0833	0.2051
United Arab Emirates	0.3614	0.6144	0.2500	0.4879
United Kingdom	0.5662	0.6871	1.0000	0.6266
United States	0.8073	0.8486	1.0000	0.8279
Uruguay	0.1779	0.4354	0.1250	0.3067
Uzbekistán	0.0251	0.0104	0.1667	0.0178
Vanuatu	0.0143	0.1747	0.0000	0.0945
Venezuela	0.1202	0.4319	0.7500	0.2760
Viet Nam	0.0160	0.3146	0.2500	0.1653
Virgin Islands (US)	0.3326		0.0000	
Yemen	0.0066	0.1575	0.2500	0.0820
Yugoslavia	0.1124		0.6667	
Zambia	0.0082	0.2632	0.2500	0.1357
Zimbabwe	0.0189	0.3167	0.7500	0.1678

RANKING	COUNTRY	2000 CONNEC	2000 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	2000 ICT DIFFUSION
RAN					
1	United States	0.8073	0.8486	1.0000	0.8279
2	Iceland	0.7293	0.9138	1.0000	0.8215
3	Norway	0.7445	0.8672	1.0000	0.8059
4 5	Sweden	0.7143	0.7879	1.0000	0.7511
э 6	Luxembourg Switzerland	0.6506 0.6560	0.7935 0.7601	1.0000 1.0000	0.7221 0.7081
7	Denmark	0.6412	0.7726	1.0000	0.7069
8	Hong Kong (China)	0.5869	0.7796	1.0000	0.6832
9	Finland	0.6416	0.7208	1.0000	0.6812
10	Netherlands	0.6481	0.6493	0.7500	0.6487
11	Japan	0.4957	0.7830	1.0000	0.6394
12 13	United Kingdom Canada	0.5662 0.5134	0.6871 0.7373	1.0000 1.0000	0.6266 0.6254
13	Singapore	0.5985	0.6515	1.0000	0.6250
15	New Zealand	0.5527	0.6688	0.8750	0.6107
16	Australia	0.5653	0.6486	1.0000	0.6069
17	Austria	0.5493	0.6620	1.0000	0.6056
18	Germany	0.5223	0.6736	1.0000	0.5980
19 20	Ireland	0.5031	0.6415	1.0000	0.5723
20 21	Belgium Israel	0.4747 0.5079	0.6352 0.5792	0.8750 0.5000	0.5549 0.5436
22	Italy	0.4544	0.5989	1.0000	0.5450
23	Korea, Rep.	0.4147	0.6288	0.8750	0.5217
24	France	0.4608	0.5825	1.0000	0.5216
25	Guam	0.0030	0.9950	0.0000	0.4990
26	United Arab Emirates	0.3614	0.6144	0.2500	0.4879
27 28	Cyprus Slovenia	0.3341 0.4314	0.6123 0.4884	0.5000 0.2500	0.4732 0.4599
20 29	Malta	0.3405	0.5687	0.2300	0.4546
30	Portugal	0.3787	0.5260	1.0000	0.4523
31	Spain	0.3861	0.5101	1.0000	0.4481
32	Brunei Darussalam	0.2013	0.6906	0.0000	0.4460
33	Macao, China	0.2783	0.5772	0.0000	0.4278
34 35	Kuwait Greenland	0.2039	0.6501	0.1667	0.4270
35 36	Estonia	0.3405 0.3235	0.5092 0.5169	0.0000 0.7500	0.4249 0.4202
37	Bahrain	0.2396	0.5972	0.0000	0.4184
38	Greece	0.3619	0.4580	1.0000	0.4100
39	Puerto Rico	0.2206	0.5549	0.0000	0.3878
40	Czech Republic	0.3033	0.4437	1.0000	0.3735
41 42	Malaysia	0.1775 0.2488	0.5410 0.4676	0.6250 0.5000	0.3592 0.3582
42	Hungary Andorra	0.2824	0.4070	0.0000	0.3481
44	Slovak Republic	0.2171	0.4746	0.5000	0.3459
45	Qatar	0.2182	0.4491	0.0000	0.3336
46	Costa Rica	0.1443	0.5173	0.0000	0.3308
47	Lithuania	0.1652	0.4829	0.2500	0.3240
48 40	Bahamas	0.1804	0.4676	0.0000	0.3240
49 50	Chile Barbados	0.1720 0.1964	0.4488 0.4212	1.0000 0.0000	0.3104 0.3088
50	Uruguay	0.1779	0.4354	0.1250	0.3067
52	Suriname	0.1071	0.4933	0.5000	0.3002
53	Croatia	0.2081	0.3884	0.5000	0.2983
54	Latvia	0.2041	0.3907	0.5000	0.2974
55 56	Brazil	0.1189	0.4661	0.7500	0.2925
56 57	Poland Argentina	0.1706 0.1386	0.4057 0.4210	0.8750 1.0000	0.2881 0.2798
57	Venezuela	0.1300	0.4210	0.7500	0.2760
59	Antigua and Barbuda	0.3631	0.1885	0.1667	0.2758
60	Philippines	0.0467	0.5018	1.0000	0.2743
61	Trinidad and Tobago	0.1272	0.4122	0.2500	0.2697
62	Fiji	0.0752	0.4488	0.0000	0.2620
63	Peru	0.0523	0.4701	1.0000	0.2612
64	Bulgaria	0.1503	0.3685	0.5000	0.2594
65 62	Mauritius	0.1628	0.3518	0.0000	0.2573
66	Lebanon	0.1421	0.3713	0.0000	0.2567

2000 Index of ICT Diffusion by ranking

B8 Cuba O.0169 0.4445 0.2500 0.2571 70 Maldives 0.0403 0.4496 0.0000 0.24450 71 Saudi Arabia 0.0893 0.3334 0.5000 0.2374 71 Saudi Arabia 0.0144 0.4664 0.5000 0.2374 74 Svit.arabia 0.1152 0.4493 0.5000 0.2384 74 Suth Africa 0.1221 0.3898 0.3333 0.2289 76 South Africa 0.1221 0.3898 0.3333 0.2286 77 Parama 0.1071 0.3811 0.5000 0.2245 80 Omma 0.0585 0.3896 0.0000 0.2246 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3811 0.5000 0.2248 82 Lilya 0.0448 0.42610 0.0000 0.2218 84 Rysian Federation 0.0483 0.3533 0.5000 0.2218 85 Myanmar 0.0236 0.3891<						
68 Turkey 0.1689 0.3234 0.2500 0.2482 71 Saudi Arabia 0.0693 0.3332 0.5000 0.2481 73 Mexico 0.1092 0.3539 0.7500 0.2374 73 Mexico 0.1092 0.3539 0.7500 0.2386 74 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4493 0.5000 0.2384 75 Macedonia, FVR 0.1156 0.3499 0.2500 0.2387 76 South Arbia 0.0281 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Savaziand 0.0281 0.3281 0.0000 0.2285 80 Oman 0.0484 0.4010 0.0000 0.2284 81 Jamaica 0.1211 0.3281 0.0000 0.2281 82 Lbya Daya 0.4444 0.4010 0.0000 0.2284 82 Lbya Daya 0.4153 0.6500 0.2218 84 Damina 0.0493 0.4553<	67	Paraguay	0.0677	0.4360	0.2500	0.2519
70 Maldrives 0.0403 0.4496 0.0000 0.2450 71 Sudi Arabia 0.0192 0.3633 2.5000 0.2374 73 Mexico 0.1092 0.3638 0.7500 0.2386 74 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4493 0.5000 0.2328 75 South Africa 0.1229 0.3388 0.3333 0.22280 76 South Africa 0.0229 0.3496 0.0000 0.22287 78 Parama 0.0029 0.4242 0.2500 0.22285 78 Swaziland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.22245 81 Jarnaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2241 82 New Caledonia 0.1848 0.2551 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0893 0.3553 0.5000 0.2184 84 Russian Federation 0.0890 0.4154 0.6667 0.2182 85 Coronbla 0.0900	68	Cuba	0.0169	0.4845	0.2500	0.2507
71 Saudi Arabia 0.0893 0.3832 0.5000 0.2413 72 Indonesia 0.0194 0.4634 0.5000 0.2376 73 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4433 0.5000 0.2386 74 Sit Lanka 0.1229 0.3388 0.3333 0.2288 77 Panama 0.1071 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Romania 0.0981 0.3595 0.0000 0.2282 78 Norania 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2284 80 Oman 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2284 81 Jamaica 0.1211 0.3281 0.2500 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.5553 0.5000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0892 0.336 0.0000 0.2136 85 Myanmar 0.0021 0.4163 0.0000 0.2136 86 Coggref 0.0109 0.0336	69	Turkey	0.1689	0.3234	0.2500	0.2462
71 Saudi Arabia 0.0893 0.3832 0.5000 0.2413 72 Indonesia 0.0194 0.4634 0.5000 0.2376 73 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4433 0.5000 0.2386 74 Sit Lanka 0.1229 0.3388 0.3333 0.2288 77 Panama 0.1071 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Romania 0.0981 0.3595 0.0000 0.2282 78 Norania 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2284 80 Oman 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2284 81 Jamaica 0.1211 0.3281 0.2500 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.5553 0.5000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0892 0.336 0.0000 0.2136 85 Myanmar 0.0021 0.4163 0.0000 0.2136 86 Coggref 0.0109 0.0336	70	Maldives	0.0403	0.4496	0.0000	0.2450
172 Indonesia 0.0194 0.4564 0.5000 0.2374 74 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4493 0.5000 0.2386 75 Macedonia, FYR 0.1156 0.3499 0.25500 0.2328 76 South Africa 0.1229 0.3388 0.3333 0.2228 77 Panama 0.0981 0.3592 0.5000 0.2228 78 Sazaland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2285 79 Sazaland 0.0289 0.4241 0.2500 0.2245 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0881 0.3553 0.5000 0.2183 84 Russian Federation 0.0891 0.4154 0.0000 0.2184 84 Russian Federation 0.0893 0.3394 1.0000 0.2182 85 Commia 0.0910 0.4154 0.0600 0.2184 84 Myanmar 0.0260<	71	Saudi Arabia	0.0893	0.3932		0.2413
73 Mexico 0.192 0.3639 0.7500 0.2386 74 Sit Lanka 0.0215 0.4449 0.2500 0.2384 75 South Arica 0.1229 0.3386 0.3333 0.2288 77 Panama 0.1071 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Romania 0.0881 0.3552 0.5000 0.2287 79 Swaziland 0.0289 0.4242 0.5000 0.2284 80 Orman 0.0286 0.3895 0.0000 0.2244 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.5000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 85 Myanmar 0.0019 0.4154 0.6667 0.2132 85 Congo (Rep. ot) 0.0169 0.3336 0.3000 0.2214 86 Corgo (Rep. ot) 0.0366 0.3555 0.6250 0.2114 87 Fench Polynesia 0.1697						
74 Sri Lanka 0.0215 0.4493 0.5000 0.2324 76 Macadonia, FVR 0.1156 0.4499 0.2500 0.2328 77 Panama 0.0911 0.3512 0.5000 0.2228 78 Romania 0.0981 0.3592 0.5000 0.2228 78 Swaziland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2285 80 Orman 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2245 81 Janaca 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2241 81 Janaca 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2241 82 Janaca 0.1201 0.3253 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0893 0.3553 0.6000 0.2182 84 Fequatorial Guinea 0.0090 0.4163 0.0000 0.2182 85 Myanmar 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2262 86 Colombia 0.0290 0.3833	1					
76 Macedonia, FYR 0.1156 0.3499 0.2200 0.3333 0.2288 77 Panama 0.1071 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Romania 0.0881 0.3582 0.5000 0.2287 78 Swaziland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2285 80 Oman 0.0595 0.3885 0.0000 0.2245 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2231 82 Rusian Federation 0.1846 0.4016 0.0000 0.2238 84 Rusian Federation 0.1846 0.2591 0.0000 0.2218 85 Myanmar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2132 85 Comp (Rep. of) 0.0100 0.4153 0.0000 0.2132 85 Comp (Rep. of) 0.0336 0.3336 1.0000 0.22651 85 Comp (Rep. of) 0.0358 0.3330 0.2207 90 Guyana 0.0356	1					
76 South Africa 0.1229 0.3388 0.3333 0.2289 77 Parama 0.0981 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Swaziland 0.0981 0.3592 0.5000 0.2285 80 Oman 0.0595 0.3895 0.0000 0.2241 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2000 0.2241 82 New Caledonia 0.1446 0.4591 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Foderation 0.0833 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 84 Russian Foderation 0.0803 0.3356 1.0000 0.2136 85 Myanmar 0.0304 0.3719 0.0000 0.2136 85 Egypt 0.0304 0.3719 0.0000 0.2067 86 Golombia 0.1097 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 87 Fajand 0.0394 0.3719 0.2000 0.2052 91 Fricnet Polynesia 0.1571						
77 Panama 0.1071 0.3512 0.5000 0.2287 78 Romania 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2285 80 Oman 0.0595 0.3895 0.0000 0.2245 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2243 81 Russian Federation 0.1846 0.4016 0.0000 0.2232 83 New Caledonia 0.1846 0.2551 0.0000 0.2238 84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2138 85 Comp (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4163 0.6067 0.2132 87 Equatorial Guinea 0.0090 0.4163 0.0000 0.2057 86 Comp (Rep. of) 0.0508 0.3356 0.6233 0.2201 0.2134 90 Guyana 0.05197 0.2508 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polymesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2267 91 Gu	1					
78 Romania 0.081 0.3592 0.5000 0.2285 79 Swexiland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2200 0.2285 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3285 0.0000 0.2241 81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2000 0.2241 81 Jamaica 0.1046 0.4016 0.0000 0.2238 84 Russian Federation 0.1083 0.3553 0.5000 0.2138 85 Myannat 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2132 86 Corgo (Rep. 0) 0.0103 0.4163 0.0000 0.2181 86 Corgo (Rep. 0) 0.0326 0.881 0.2300 0.2181 97 Grayana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2267 87 French Polynesia 0.1997 0.2508 0.0000 0.2027 98 Grayana 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 99 Guyana 0.0591 0						
Swaziland 0.0289 0.4242 0.2500 0.2245 B0 Oman 0.0595 0.3865 0.0000 0.2245 B1 Libya 0.0448 0.4016 0.0000 0.2231 B3 New Caledonia 0.1846 0.2511 0.0000 0.2238 B4 Resisain Faderation 0.0883 0.5533 0.5000 0.2218 B4 Resisain Faderation 0.0801 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 B5 Congo (Rep. ol) 0.1019 0.4154 0.6607 0.2132 B4 Resistorial Guinea 0.0034 0.3381 0.2500 0.2148 B5 Gyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2057 B7 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 B4 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 B5 Guitamala 0.4501 0.3533 0.5000 0.2017 B5 Guitamala 0.4544						
80 Oman 0.0595 0.3895 0.000 0.2241 81 Jamaica 0.1410 0.3281 0.2500 0.2241 82 New Caledonia 0.1844 0.4016 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 84 Mayamar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 85 Mayamar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 86 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4153 0.6000 0.2126 87 Equatorial Guinea 0.0038 0.3396 1.0000 0.2057 80 Guyanal 0.1397 0.2508 0.0000 0.2057 91 Grombia 0.0509 0.3385 0.6200 0.2031 92 Utrane 0.0509 0.3583 0.2000 0.2027 93 Guyanal 0.0509 0.3383 0.5000 0.2017 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3	78	Romania		0.3592		
81 Jamaica 0.1201 0.3281 0.2500 0.2241 82 Libya 0.0448 0.016 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.083 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.0821 0.4251 0.0000 0.2132 85 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4154 0.6667 0.2132 85 Equatorial Guinea 0.0336 0.3381 0.2000 0.2148 86 Colombia 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2082 90 Guyana 0.3384 0.3719 0.0000 0.2082 91 French Pelynesia 0.1251 0.3383 0.0000 0.2082 93 Thailand 0.0550 0.8583 0.5000 0.2021 93 Honduras 0.0251 0.3383 0.0000 0.2022 94 Honduras 0.0330 0.3030 0.0000 0.2020 95 Reiamania 0.4444 </td <td></td> <td>Swaziland</td> <td>0.0289</td> <td>0.4242</td> <td>0.2500</td> <td>0.2265</td>		Swaziland	0.0289	0.4242	0.2500	0.2265
B2 Libya 0.0448 0.4016 0.0000 0.2238 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 B4 Russian Federation 0.0810 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 B5 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0190 0.4163 0.0000 0.2128 B4 Egypt 0.0385 0.3381 0.0000 0.2057 B4 Fonch Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2057 B1 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2027 B1 Honduras 0.0251 0.3303 0.0000 0.2027 B2 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 B4 Honduras 0.0251 0.3303 0.0000 0.2027 B5 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 B4 Honduras 0.0262 0.3931	80	Oman	0.0595	0.3895	0.0000	0.2245
84 New Caledonia 0.1846 0.2591 0.0000 0.2218 84 Russian Federation 0.083 0.3553 0.5000 0.2138 85 Myanmar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2138 86 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4154 0.6667 0.2132 87 Equatorial Guinea 0.0336 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 88 Colombia 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2052 90 Gyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2052 91 French Polynesia 0.1577 0.2568 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2052 93 Thailand 0.0560 0.3565 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0261 0.3803 0.0000 0.2022 95 Guidarmala 0.0464 0.3940 0.0000 0.2032 97 Garenzon 0.0642 <td>81</td> <td>Jamaica</td> <td>0.1201</td> <td>0.3281</td> <td>0.2500</td> <td>0.2241</td>	81	Jamaica	0.1201	0.3281	0.2500	0.2241
84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 85 Myammar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 86 Gongo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4163 0.0000 0.2136 87 Equatorial Quinea 0.0393 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 88 Egypt 0.0384 0.3371 0.0000 0.2067 90 Guyana 0.0394 0.33719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polymesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2027 92 Ukraine 0.0750 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 92 Ukraine 0.0450 0.3883 0.5000 0.2027 94 Honduras 0.0257 0.3303 0.0000 0.2027 95 Outdan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Guatemala 0.0460 0.3940 0.0000 0.3962 96 Moldrova 0.0542	82	Libya	0.0448	0.4016	0.0000	0.2232
84 Russian Federation 0.0883 0.3553 0.5000 0.2218 85 Myammar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 86 Gongo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4163 0.0000 0.2136 87 Equatorial Quinea 0.0393 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 88 Egypt 0.0384 0.3371 0.0000 0.2067 90 Guyana 0.0394 0.33719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polymesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2027 92 Ukraine 0.0750 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 92 Ukraine 0.0450 0.3883 0.5000 0.2027 94 Honduras 0.0257 0.3303 0.0000 0.2027 95 Outdan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Guatemala 0.0460 0.3940 0.0000 0.3962 96 Moldrova 0.0542	83		0.1846	0.2591	0.0000	0.2218
88 Myanmar 0.0021 0.4251 0.0000 0.2136 86 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4153 0.0000 0.2126 87 Equatorial Guinea 0.0036 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 88 Colombia 0.0362 0.3336 1.0000 0.2069 90 Guyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 2.0267 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2608 0.0333 0.2061 91 French Polynesia 0.0251 0.3363 0.0000 0.2027 92 Ukraine 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2031 92 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 93 Moldova 0.0542 0.3931 0.2000 0.1997 94 Moldova 0.0522 0.3931 0.2000 0.1992 94 Moldova 0.0252 0.2931 0.2500 0.1992 95 Moldova 0.03230 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
86 Congo (Rep. of) 0.0109 0.4154 0.6667 0.2132 87 Egypt 0.0336 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 88 Egypt 0.0336 0.3891 0.2500 0.2114 89 Guyana 0.0334 0.33719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3330 0.0000 0.2027 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0261 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3853 0.5000 0.2017 95 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2032 96 Rwanda 0.0644 0.3941 0.7500 0.1922 104 Armenia 0.04434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1996 105 Pakitsan 0.0068 0.3568	1					
87 Equitorial Guinea 0.0090 0.4163 0.0000 0.2126 88 Egypt 0.0380 0.3891 0.2600 0.2114 89 Guyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2608 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2608 0.2051 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2017 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2003 97 Careroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2022 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0444 0.3371 0.2500 0.1997 102 Seychelles 0.2225 0.1527 0.0000 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
88 Edypt 0.0336 0.3891 0.2800 0.2114 89 Colombia 0.0602 0.3336 1.0000 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3390 0.0833 0.2031 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.5000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3863 0.5000 0.2003 97 Cameroon 0.0664 0.3940 0.0000 0.2027 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3931 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3991 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0443 0.3330 0.1250 0.1892 101 Armenia 0.0449 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 102 Asychelles 0.2265 0.15	1					
89 Colombia 0.0802 0.3336 1.0000 0.2069 90 Guyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3330 0.0833 0.2051 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6260 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 96 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2600 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3911 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0443 0.3379 0.2500 0.1896 103 Detswana 0.0658 0.32924 0.2500 0.1896 104 Ecador 0.0489 0.3205<						
90 Guyana 0.0394 0.3719 0.0000 0.2057 91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3390 0.0833 0.2051 93 Thatland 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2003 97 Cameroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1997 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7550 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1882 101 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3080 0.4750 0.1785 102 Seychelles 0.2650						
91 French Polynesia 0.1597 0.2508 0.0000 0.2052 92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3390 0.0833 0.2051 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 96 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3031 0.2500 0.1986 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0682 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 104 Ecador 0.0488 0.2924 0.7500 0.1785 104 Ketistan 0.0052 0.29						
92 Ukraine 0.0711 0.3390 0.0833 0.2051 93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2031 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3603 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 95 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Cameroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3031 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1986 101 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1892 103 Boiswana 0.0859 0.2294 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.00592	1					
93 Thailand 0.0508 0.3555 0.6250 0.2021 94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3833 0.5000 0.2017 96 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.2022 99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1996 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1895 105 Pakistan 0.00264 0.3556 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0522 0.2997 0.8750 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452	1					
94 Honduras 0.0251 0.3803 0.0000 0.2027 95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3583 0.5000 0.2017 95 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Cameroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.1967 98 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1986 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0488 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1784 106 Nepal 0.0147 0.3452 0.2500 0.1748 108 Nepal 0.0467 0.2686 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
95 Guatemala 0.0450 0.3883 0.5000 0.2017 96 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Camercon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2003 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1967 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1892 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1892 103 Botswana 0.0865 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0486 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0582 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.0477 0.3452 </td <td>93</td> <td>Thailand</td> <td>0.0508</td> <td>0.3555</td> <td>0.6250</td> <td>0.2031</td>	93	Thailand	0.0508	0.3555	0.6250	0.2031
96 Jordan 0.0730 0.3276 0.2500 0.2003 97 Cameroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1927 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.22924 0.2500 0.1896 104 Ecuador 0.0488 0.3230 0.1250 0.1859 105 Pakistan 0.0052 0.2997 0.8750 0.1797 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.0445 0.2898 0.2500 0.1748 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3	94	Honduras	0.0251	0.3803	0.0000	0.2027
97 Cameroon 0.0064 0.3940 0.0000 0.2002 98 Rwanda 0.0030 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1967 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1892 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0448 0.3330 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3280 0.2500 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1795 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.04470 0.3026 0.2600 0.1744 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.	95	Guatemala	0.0450	0.3583	0.5000	0.2017
98 Rwanda 0.0300 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0448 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0066 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1785 107 El Salvador 0.0647 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.4485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.4167 0.2	96	Jordan	0.0730			0.2003
98 Rwanda 0.0300 0.3903 0.0000 0.1967 99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0448 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0066 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1785 107 El Salvador 0.0647 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.4485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.4167 0.2	97	Cameroon	0.0064	0.3940	0.0000	0.2002
99 Moldova 0.0542 0.3391 0.2500 0.1966 100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0488 0.3230 0.1250 0.1859 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 109 Bolivia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1724 111 Tajikistan 0.0166 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1					
100 Dominican Republic 0.0754 0.3091 0.7500 0.1922 101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0066 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1785 107 El Salvador 0.0647 0.3452 0.2500 0.1749 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1724 111 Tajikistan 0.1132 0.3145 0.2500 0.1678 111 Tajikistan 0.0162 0.2666 0.0000 0.1673 112 Itran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.665	1					
101 Armenia 0.0434 0.3379 0.2500 0.1907 102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1896 103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2224 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0449 0.3230 0.1250 0.1892 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0668 0.2842 0.7500 0.1748 108 Nepal 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1744 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1673 111 Tajikistan 0.01662 0.2696 0.0000 0.1673 112 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.6661 0.2639 0.2500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0160						
102 Seychelles 0.2265 0.1527 0.0000 0.1892 103 Botswana 0.0659 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1859 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0652 0.2997 0.8750 0.1746 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1749 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1724 113 Zimbabwe 0.0162 0.2696 0.0000 0.1673 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1653 115 Cape Verde 0.0661 0.2639 0.2500 0.1653 115 Cape Verde 0.0656 0.						
103 Botswana 0.0859 0.2924 0.2500 0.1892 104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1859 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1795 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1745 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3326 0.2500 0.1749 109 Bolivia 0.0485 0.2883 0.2500 0.1734 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2893 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0189 0.3167 0.7500 0.1678 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0437 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674						
104 Ecuador 0.0489 0.3230 0.1250 0.1859 105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0582 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0477 0.3326 0.2500 0.1744 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1734 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0189 0.3167 0.7500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1650 114 Viet Nam 0.0437 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674 0.2500 0.1646 118 Lesotho 0.0085 0.2546	1					
105 Pakistan 0.0086 0.3508 0.8750 0.1797 106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1744 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1724 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1679 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0161 0.2639 0.2500 0.1650 115 Cape Verde 0.0661 0.2639 0.2500 0.1546 118 Lesotho 0.0085 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674 0.2500 0.1466 119 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0356 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
106 China 0.0592 0.2997 0.8750 0.1795 107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1748 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1744 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1744 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.315 0.0000 0.1724 112 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0662 0.2696 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0189 0.3167 0.7500 0.1678 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1650 115 Cape Verde 0.0661 0.2639 0.2500 0.1652 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674 0.2500 0.1465 118 Lesotho 0.0085 0.2846 0.0000 0.1456 119 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0356 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
107 El Salvador 0.0688 0.2842 0.7500 0.1765 108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1748 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1724 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1673 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1673 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1673 115 Cape Verde 0.0661 0.2639 0.2500 0.1532 116 Gabon 0.0437 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 116 Gabon 0.0085 0.2846 0.0000 0.1465 118 Lesotho 0.0356 0.2550 0.1430 121 Zambia 0.0042 0.2539 0.2500						
108 Nepal 0.0047 0.3452 0.2500 0.1749 109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1724 112 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0662 0.2696 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0189 0.3167 0.7500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1650 116 Gabon 0.0437 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674 0.2500 0.1465 118 Lesotho 0.0085 0.2846 0.0000 0.1465 119 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0356 0.2796 0.6250 0.1430 121 Zambia 0.0042 0.2539 0.2500 0.1313 122 Liberia 0.0046	106	China	0.0592	0.2997	0.8750	0.1795
109 Bolivia 0.0470 0.3026 0.2500 0.1748 110 Namibia 0.0485 0.2983 0.2500 0.1734 111 Tajikistan 0.0132 0.3315 0.0000 0.1724 112 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0662 0.2696 0.0000 0.1679 113 Zimbabwe 0.0189 0.3167 0.7500 0.1653 114 Viet Nam 0.0160 0.3146 0.2500 0.1653 116 Gabon 0.0437 0.2747 0.3750 0.1592 117 Tunisia 0.0417 0.2674 0.2500 0.1645 118 Lesotho 0.0085 0.2846 0.0000 0.1465 119 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0356 0.2556 0.0000 0.1456 120 Kenya 0.0046 0.2579 0.0000 0.1331 123 Comoros 0.0046 0.2579 0.0000 0.1331 124 Tanzania 0.0042	107	El Salvador	0.0688	0.2842	0.7500	0.1765
110Namibia0.04850.29830.25000.1734111Tajikistan0.01320.33150.00000.1724112Iran (Islamic Rep. of)0.06620.26960.00000.1679113Zimbabwe0.01890.31670.75000.1678114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1465118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1456119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1337122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1238124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1227125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1227128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250 <t< td=""><td>108</td><td>Nepal</td><td>0.0047</td><td>0.3452</td><td>0.2500</td><td>0.1749</td></t<>	108	Nepal	0.0047	0.3452	0.2500	0.1749
111Tajikistan0.01320.33150.00000.1724112Iran (Islamic Rep. of)0.06620.26960.00000.1679113Zimbabwe0.01890.31670.75000.1678114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1465118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1446120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1227125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1228126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.0680.26640.50000.1224130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1124131Belize0.12600.96330.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21730.25000.1104133Mozambique0.0360.21730.2500	109	Bolivia	0.0470	0.3026	0.2500	0.1748
111Tajikistan0.01320.33150.00000.1724112Iran (Islamic Rep. of)0.06620.26960.00000.1679113Zimbabwe0.01890.31670.75000.1678114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1465118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1446120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1227125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1228126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.0680.26640.50000.1224130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1124131Belize0.12600.96330.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21730.25000.1104133Mozambique0.0360.21730.2500		Namibia	0.0485	0.2983	0.2500	0.1734
112Iran (Islamic Rep. of)0.06620.26960.00000.1679113Zimbabwe0.01890.31670.75000.1678114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1465118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1456120Kenya0.00660.27560.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1227128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1227139Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1216130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1154132Kigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.0360.21730.25000.1104134Mozaco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.						
113Zimbabwe0.01890.31670.75000.1678114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1465118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1337121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1221125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1222128Ghana0.00440.22640.50000.1104130Uganda0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.0360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1104135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
114Viet Nam0.01600.31460.25000.1653115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1466118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1238124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1227128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1227129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21730.25000.1107133Mozambique0.0360.21730.25000.1107135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1093		· · · ·				
115Cape Verde0.06610.26390.25000.1650116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1546118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1337121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1221125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1228126Dominica0.1980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1227128Ghana0.00440.22640.50000.1154130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21730.25000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
116Gabon0.04370.27470.37500.1592117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1546118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1228126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.0360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1104135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
117Tunisia0.04170.26740.25000.1546118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.11980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00420.21710.75000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21730.25000.1104133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
118Lesotho0.00850.28460.00000.1465119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1313123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1227126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.0360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
119Syrian Arab Republic0.03560.25560.00000.1456120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00880.23640.50000.1216130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.9630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
120Kenya0.00650.27960.62500.1430121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
121Zambia0.00820.26320.25000.1357122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
122Liberia0.00110.26510.00000.1331123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
123Comoros0.00460.25790.00000.1313124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069	122	Liberia	0.0011	0.2651	0.0000	0.1331
124Tanzania0.00420.25390.25000.1291125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069	123	Comoros	0.0046	0.2579		0.1313
125Grenada0.16250.08510.00000.1238126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
126Dominica0.12980.11550.00000.1227127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
127Algeria0.01970.22480.00000.1222128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
128Ghana0.00680.23640.50000.1216129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
129Nicaragua0.01830.22250.25000.1204130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069		•				
130Uganda0.00440.22640.50000.1154131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
131Belize0.12600.09630.00000.1112132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
132Nigeria0.00420.21710.75000.1107133Mozambique0.00360.21730.25000.1104134Morocco0.04290.17580.25000.1093135Lao PDR0.00400.20980.00000.1069						
133 Mozambique 0.0036 0.2173 0.2500 0.1104 134 Morocco 0.0429 0.1758 0.2500 0.1093 135 Lao PDR 0.0040 0.2098 0.0000 0.1069						
134 Morocco 0.0429 0.1758 0.2500 0.1093 135 Lao PDR 0.0040 0.2098 0.0000 0.1069		0				
135 Lao PDR 0.0040 0.2098 0.0000 0.1069		•				
				0.1758		
136 Togo 0.0129 0.1971 0.2500 0.1050		Lao PDR				
	136	Тодо	0.0129	0.1971	0.2500	0.1050

137	India	0.0119	0.1934	1.0000	0.1027
137	Malawi	0.0029	0.2019	0.7500	0.1027
130	Sudan	0.0029	0.1933	0.7500	0.0992
139	Vanuatu	0.0030	0.1933	0.0000	0.0992
140			0.1747	0.0000	0.0945
141	Djibouti Chad	0.0088 0.0012	0.1816	0.0000	0.0935
142	Côte d'Ivoire			0.2500	0.0914
143		0.0158	0.1626	0.2500	
1	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.1132	0.0601		0.0867
145	Yemen	0.0066	0.1575	0.2500	0.0820
146	Burundi	0.0022	0.1613	0.6667	0.0818
147	Bhutan	0.0028	0.1589	0.0000	0.0809
148	Central African Republic	0.0018	0.1556	0.0000	0.0787
149	Belarus	0.1006	0.0562	0.3333	0.0784
150	Senegal	0.0220	0.1293	0.2500	0.0756
151	Guinea	0.0040	0.1402	0.2500	0.0721
152	Mauritania	0.0070	0.1371	0.3333	0.0720
153	Bangladesh	0.0022	0.1398	0.0833	0.0710
154	Gambia, The	0.0134	0.1275	0.2500	0.0704
155	Mali	0.0017	0.1359	0.5000	0.0688
156	Benin	0.0057	0.1275	0.0000	0.0666
157	Ethiopia	0.0015	0.1309	0.0000	0.0662
158	Guinea-Bissau	0.0034	0.1262	0.7500	0.0648
159	Sierra Leone	0.0028	0.1218	0.5000	0.0623
160	Marshall Islands	0.0517	0.0350	0.0000	0.0433
161	Micronesia (Fed. States of)	0.0331	0.0489	0.0000	0.0410
162	Burkina Faso	0.0025	0.0788	0.2500	0.0407
163	Georgia	0.0676	0.0104	0.7500	0.0390
164	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0505	0.0211	0.2500	0.0358
165	Azerbaijan	0.0589	0.0084	0.1667	0.0337
166	Kazakhstan	0.0470	0.0184	0.6667	0.0327
167	Sao Tome and Principe	0.0189	0.0432	0.0000	0.0310
168	Samoa	0.0376	0.0227	0.0000	0.0301
169	Niger	0.0008	0.0549	0.6667	0.0278
170	Mongolia	0.0371	0.0144	0.5000	0.0258
171	Kiribati	0.0207	0.0211	0.0000	0.0209
172	Kyrgyztan	0.0296	0.0118	0.3750	0.0207
173	Turkmenistan	0.0292	0.0119	0.3333	0.0206
174	Solomon Islands	0.0259	0.0109	0.0000	0.0184
175	Uzbekistan	0.0251	0.0104	0.1667	0.0178
176	Albania	0.0199	0.0148	0.5000	0.0173
177	Angola	0.0026	0.0097	0.6250	0.0061
178	Madagascar	0.0032	0.0045	0.7500	0.0038
179	Cambodia	0.0043	0.0032	0.3750	0.0038
180	Eritrea	0.0030	0.0031	0.2500	0.0030

COUNTRY	1999 CONNECTIVITY	1999 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	1999 ICT DIFFUSION
Afghanistan	0.0005		0.1667	
Albania	0.0152	0.4687	0.5000	0.2420
Algeria	0.0191	0.4412	0.0000	0.2301
American Samoa	0.1053		0.0000	
Andorra	0.3142		0.0000	
Angola	0.0027	0.3239	0.6250	0.1633
Antigua and Barbuda	0.2634	0.4164	0.1667	0.3399
Argentina	0.1334	0.5230	1.0000	0.3282
Armenia	0.0495	0.5895	0.2500	0.3195
Aruba	0.2142		0.0000	
Australia	0.5670	0.7067	1.0000	0.6368
Austria	0.5094	0.6295	1.0000	0.5694
Azerbaijan	0.0485	0.2728	0.1667	0.1607
Bahamas	0.1706		0.0000	
Bahrain	0.2302	0.6184	0.0000	0.4243
Bangladesh	0.0019	0.3452	0.0833	0.1736
Barbados	0.2072	0.5943	0.0000	0.4008
Belarus	0.1011	0.5370	0.3333	0.3191
Belgium	0.4652	0.6248	0.8750	0.5450
Belize	0.1139	0.5002	0.0000	0.3070
Benin	0.0015	0.1383	0.0000	0.0699
Bermuda	0.7095		0.0000	
Bhutan	0.0063		0.0000	
Bolivia	0.0441	 0.4338	0.2500	 0.2389
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0453		0.2500	
Botswana	0.0708	 0.4744	0.2500	 0.2726
Brazil	0.0992	0.4974	0.2500	0.2983
Brunei	0.1870	0.6845	0.0000	0.4358
Bulgaria	0.1327	0.5377	0.5000	0.3352
Burkina Faso	0.0019	0.2664	0.2500	0.3352
Burundi	0.0019	0.1610	0.2300	0.0811
Cambodia	0.0038	0.3443	0.3750	0.1741
	0.0038	0.4156	0.0000	0.2099
Cameroon Canada	0.5290	0.7600	1.0000	0.6445
Cape Verde	0.0535	0.4449	0.2500	0.2492
Cayman Islands	0.4434		0.0000	
Central African Republic	0.0019 0.0010	0.1204	0.0000	0.0612
Chad		0.2742	0.0000	0.1376
Chile	0.1547	0.5119	1.0000	0.3333
China	0.0443	0.4779	0.8750	0.2611
Colombia	0.0831	0.5041	1.0000	0.2936
Comoros	0.0043	0.3236	0.0000	0.1639
Congo (Democratic Rep. of the)	0.0002		0.7500	
Congo, Rep.	0.0052	0.2708	0.6667	0.1380
Costa Rica	0.1261	0.5477	0.0000	0.3369
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0133	0.3433	0.2500	0.1783
Croatia	0.1669	0.4114	0.5000	0.2891
Cuba	0.0164	0.5948	0.2500	0.3056
Cyprus	0.3524	0.6504	0.5000	0.5014
Czech Republic	0.2496	0.5294	1.0000	0.3895
Denmark	0.6801	0.7193	1.0000	0.6997

Appendix 1. ICT Development Indices (1999)

COUNTRY	1999 CONNECTIVITY	1999 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	1999 ICT DIFFUSION
Djibouti	0.0092	0.4135	0.0000	0.2114
Dominica	0.1599		0.0000	
Dominican Republic	0.0656	0.3221	0.7500	0.1939
Ecuador	0.0486	0.4930	0.1250	0.2708
Egypt	0.0303	0.3975	0.2500	0.2139
El Salvador	0.0543	0.4429	0.7500	0.2486
Equatorial Guinea	0.0022	0.3111	0.0000	0.1567
Eritrea	0.0028	0.3766	0.2500	0.1897
Estonia	0.3064	0.5831	0.7500	0.4447
Ethiopia	0.0013	0.3368	0.0000	0.1691
Faeroe Islands	0.3653		0.0000	
Fiji	0.0659	0.4826	0.0000	0.2743
Finland	0.7079	0.7164	1.0000	0.7122
France	0.4686	0.6186	1.0000	0.5436
French Guiana	0.1986		0.0000	
French Polynesia	0.1434	0.3184	0.0000	0.2309
Gabon	0.0162		0.3750	
Gambia	0.0123	0.1933	0.2500	0.1028
Georgia	0.0581		0.7500	
Germany	0.4561	0.6626	1.0000	0.5593
Ghana	0.0051	0.4008	0.5000	0.2029
Gibraltar	0.4049		0.0000	
Greece	0.3349	0.5843	1.0000	0.4596
Greenland	0.3709	0.5911	0.0000	0.4810
Grenada	0.1589	0.4030	0.0000	0.2809
Guadelope	0.3114		0.0000	
Guam	0.2520		0.0000	
Guatemala	0.0329	0.4028	0.5000	0.2178
Guinea	0.0046	0.2793	0.2500	0.1420
Guinea-Bissau	0.0000	0.1317	0.7500	0.0659
Guyana	0.0371	0.5174	0.0000	0.2772
Honduras	0.0225	0.4189	0.0000	0.2207
Hong Kong (China)	0.5811	0.7311	1.0000	0.6561
Hungary	0.2235	0.5250	0.5000	0.3743
Iceland	0.7582	0.8554	1.0000	0.8068
India	0.0101	0.4005	1.0000	0.2053
Indonesia	0.0171	0.4740	0.5000	0.2456
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.0668	0.4670	0.0000	0.2669
Iraq	0.0117		0.0000	
Ireland	0.4864	0.6464	1.0000	0.5664
Israel	0.4723	0.6338	0.5000	0.5530
Italy	0.4389	0.6032	1.0000	0.5211
Jamaica	0.0988	0.3302	0.2500	0.2145
Japan	0.5043	0.6032	1.0000	0.5538
Jordan	0.0444	0.4973	0.2500	0.2709
Kazakhstan	0.0444		0.6667	
Kenya	0.0054	 0.4394	0.6250	 0.2224
Kiribati	0.0201		0.0000	
Korea, Dem. People's Rep.	0.0271		0.0000	
Korea, Rep.	0.4259	 0.6783	0.8750	 0.5521
Kuwait	0.4259	0.6397	0.1667	0.5521
	0.0316		0.3750	
Kyrgyztan				
Lao PDR	0.0041	0.3719	0.0000	0.1880

COUNTRY	1999 CONNECTIVITY	1999 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	1999 ICT DIFFUSION
Latvia	0.1817	0.4987	0.5000	0.3402
Lebanon	0.1521	0.6059	0.0000	0.3790
Lesotho	0.0001	0.4631	0.0000	0.2316
Liberia	0.0009		0.0000	
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0586		0.0000	
Liechtenstein	0.5712		0.0000	
Lithuania	0.1599	0.5193	0.2500	0.3396
Luxembourg	0.6224	0.7626	1.0000	0.6925
Macao (China)	0.2646	0.5214	0.0000	0.3930
Macedonia, FYR	0.1050	0.3726	0.2500	0.2388
Madagascar	0.0026	0.3827	0.7500	0.1926
Malawi	0.0023	0.3862	0.7500	0.1943
Malaysia	0.1496	0.5580	0.6250	0.3538
Maldives	0.0371	0.6189	0.0000	0.3280
Mali	0.0010	0.1391	0.5000	0.0700
Malta	0.2966	0.6036	0.5000	0.4501
Marshall Islands	0.0512		0.0000	
Martinique	0.2903		0.0000	
Mauritania	0.2903	 0.3240	0.3333	 0.1697
Mauritius	0.1463	0.5201	0.0000	0.3332
Mexico	0.0906	0.4672	0.7500	0.2789
	0.0065		0.0000	
Micronesia (Fed. States of)				
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0430	0.5061	0.2500	0.2746
Mongolia	0.0216	0.4127	0.5000	0.2171
Morocco	0.0254	0.3491	0.2500	0.1873
Mozambique	0.0028	0.3165	0.2500	0.1597
Myanmar	0.0022	0.2883	0.0000	0.1453
Namibia	0.0413	0.4610	0.2500	0.2511
Nepal	0.0047	0.3537	0.2500	0.1792
Netherlands	0.6004	0.6616	0.7500	0.6310
Netherlands Antilles	0.2158		0.0000	
New Caledonia	0.1567	0.3108	0.0000	0.2338
New Zealand	0.5486	0.6979	0.8750	0.6233
Nicaragua	0.0166	0.3940	0.2500	0.2053
Níger	0.0004	0.0570	0.6667	0.0287
Nigeria	0.0037	0.2159	0.7500	0.1098
Norway	0.7972	0.8321	1.0000	0.8147
Oman	0.0590		0.0000	
Pakistan	0.0094	0.3631	0.8750	0.1862
Panama	0.0962	0.3613	0.5000	0.2287
Paraguay	0.0956	0.4734	0.2500	0.2845
Peru	0.0524	0.4719	1.0000	0.2622
Philippines	0.0347	0.5182	1.0000	0.2765
Poland	0.1526	0.5373	0.8750	0.3450
Portugal	0.3592	0.5591	1.0000	0.4592
Puerto Rico	0.2374		0.0000	
Qatar	0.2067	0.6293	0.0000	0.4180
Romania	0.0872	0.3902	0.5000	0.2387
Russian Federation	0.0844	0.5420	0.5000	0.3132
Rwanda	0.0015	0.3992	0.0000	0.2003
Samoa	0.0244	0.4599	0.0000	0.2422
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0180		0.0000	
Saudi Arabia	0.0846	0.5053	0.5000	0.2950
Senegal	0.0165	0.2883	0.2500	0.1524

COUNTRY	1999 CONNECTIVITY	1999 ACCESS	2001-2002 POLICY	1999 ICT DIFFUSION
Seychelles	0.2127		0.0000	
Sierra Leone	0.0015	0.3163	0.5000	0.1589
Singapore	0.5659	0.7032	1.0000	0.6345
Slovakia	0.2164	0.5508	0.5000	0.3836
Slovenia	0.3690	0.5338	0.2500	0.4514
Solomon Islands	0.0278	0.2891	0.0000	0.1584
Somalia	0.0006		0.6667	
South Africa	0.1169	0.4975	0.3333	0.3072
Spain	0.3132	0.5856	1.0000	0.4494
Sri Lanka	0.0186	0.4784	0.5000	0.2485
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.2351		0.0000	
St. Lucia	0.2079		0.0000	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.1143	0.3286	0.0000	0.2215
Sudan	0.0041	0.3634	0.7500	0.1837
Suriname	0.0879		0.5000	
Swaziland	0.0213	0.4471	0.2500	0.2342
Sweden	0.7204	0.5736	1.0000	0.6470
Switzerland	0.6487	0.7045	1.0000	0.6766
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0363	0.4573	0.0000	0.2468
Taiwán Province of China	0.6359		1.0000	
Tajikistan	0.0136	0.6605	0.0000	0.3371
Tanzania (United Rep. of)	0.0031	0.3983	0.2500	0.2007
Thailand	0.0514	0.4936	0.6250	0.2725
Тодо	0.0130	0.3537	0.2500	0.1834
Trinidad and Tobago	0.1064	0.3899	0.2500	0.2481
Tunisia	0.0362	0.4506	0.2500	0.2434
Turkey	0.1469	0.4625	0.2500	0.3047
Turkmenistan	0.0324		0.3333	
Uganda	0.0029	0.3445	0.5000	0.1737
Ukraine	0.0684	0.5185	0.0833	0.2934
United Arab Emirates	0.3119	0.6799	0.2500	0.4959
United Kingdom	0.5311	0.6276	1.0000	0.5793
United States	0.8140	0.8083	1.0000	0.8112
Uruguay	0.1752	0.5036	0.1250	0.3394
Uzbekistán	0.0264	0.3126	0.1667	0.1695
Vanuatu	0.0136	0.2630	0.0000	0.1383
Venezuela, RB	0.1144	0.4814	0.7500	0.2979
Viet Nam	0.0139	0.4578	0.2500	0.2359
Virgin Islands (US)	0.3410		0.0000	
Yemen, Rep.	0.0063	0.3628	0.2500	0.1845
Yugoslavia, Former	0.0962		0.6667	
Zambia	0.0070	0.4221	0.2500	0.2145
Zimbabwe	0.0177	0.3167	0.7500	0.1672

RANKING	COUNTRY	1999 CONNEC	1999	2001-2002	1999 ICT
ANI		CONNEC	ACCESS	POLICY	DIFFUSION
R					
1	Norway	0.7972	0.8321	1.0000	0.8147
2	United States	0.8140	0.8083	1.0000	0.8112
3	Iceland	0.7582	0.8554	1.0000	0.8068
4	Finland	0.7079	0.7164	1.0000	0.7122
5	Denmark	0.6801	0.7193	1.0000	0.6997
6 7	Luxembourg Switzerland	0.6224 0.6487	0.7626 0.7045	1.0000 1.0000	0.6925 0.6766
8	Hong Kong (China)	0.5811	0.7311	1.0000	0.6561
9	Sweden	0.7204	0.5736	1.0000	0.6470
10	Canada	0.5290	0.7600	1.0000	0.6445
11	Australia	0.5670	0.7067	1.0000	0.6368
12	Singapore	0.5659	0.7032	1.0000	0.6345
13	Netherlands	0.6004	0.6616	0.7500	0.6310
14	New Zealand	0.5486	0.6979	0.8750	0.6233
15	United Kingdom	0.5311	0.6276	1.0000	0.5793
16	Austria	0.5094	0.6295	1.0000	0.5694
17 18	Ireland Germany	0.4864 0.4561	0.6464 0.6626	1.0000 1.0000	0.5664 0.5593
10	Japan	0.5043	0.6032	1.0000	0.5538
20	Israel	0.4723	0.6338	0.5000	0.5530
21	Korea, Rep.	0.4259	0.6783	0.8750	0.5521
22	Belgium	0.4652	0.6248	0.8750	0.5450
23	France	0.4686	0.6186	1.0000	0.5436
24	Italy	0.4389	0.6032	1.0000	0.5211
25	Cyprus	0.3524	0.6504	0.5000	0.5014
26	United Arab Emirates	0.3119	0.6799	0.2500	0.4959
27	Greenland	0.3709	0.5911	0.0000	0.4810
28 29	Greece Portugal	0.3349 0.3592	0.5843 0.5591	1.0000 1.0000	0.4596 0.4592
30	Slovenia	0.3690	0.5338	0.2500	0.4592
31	Malta	0.2966	0.6036	0.5000	0.4501
32	Spain	0.3132	0.5856	1.0000	0.4494
33	Estonia	0.3064	0.5831	0.7500	0.4447
34	Brunei	0.1870	0.6845	0.0000	0.4358
35	Bahrain	0.2302	0.6184	0.0000	0.4243
36	Kuwait	0.1972	0.6397	0.1667	0.4184
37	Qatar	0.2067	0.6293	0.0000	0.4180
38 39	Barbados	0.2072 0.2646	0.5943 0.5214	0.0000	0.4008
39 40	Macao (China) Czech Republic	0.2646	0.5214	0.0000 1.0000	0.3930 0.3895
40	Slovak Republic	0.2490	0.5508	0.5000	0.3836
42	Lebanon	0.1521	0.6059	0.0000	0.3790
43	Hungary	0.2235	0.5250	0.5000	0.3743
44	Malaysia	0.1496	0.5580	0.6250	0.3538
45	Poland	0.1526	0.5373	0.8750	0.3450
46	Latvia	0.1817	0.4987	0.5000	0.3402
47	Antigua and Barbuda	0.2634	0.4164	0.1667	0.3399
48 49	Lithuania Uruguay	0.1599 0.1752	0.5193 0.5036	0.2500 0.1250	0.3396 0.3394
49 50	Tajikistan	0.0136	0.6605	0.1250	0.3394 0.3371
51	Costa Rica	0.1261	0.5477	0.0000	0.3369
52	Bulgaria	0.1327	0.5377	0.5000	0.3352
53	Chile	0.1547	0.5119	1.0000	0.3333
54	Mauritius	0.1463	0.5201	0.0000	0.3332
55	Argentina	0.1334	0.5230	1.0000	0.3282
56	Maldives	0.0371	0.6189	0.0000	0.3280
57	Armenia	0.0495	0.5895	0.2500	0.3195
58	Belarus Bussian Enderstion	0.1011	0.5370	0.3333	0.3191
59 60	Russian Federation South Africa	0.0844 0.1169	0.5420 0.4975	0.5000 0.3333	0.3132 0.3072
60	Belize	0.1189	0.4975	0.0000	0.3072
62	Cuba	0.0164	0.5948	0.2500	0.3056
02		0.0104	0.00-0	0.2000	0.0000

1999 Index of ICT Diffusion by ranking

63 Turkey 0.1469 0.4625 0.2800 0.3047 64 Brazil 0.0992 0.4874 0.7500 0.2838 65 Venezuela, RB 0.11144 0.4814 0.7500 0.2836 65 Colombia 0.0841 0.0000 0.2836 66 Caratia 0.1669 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Grenada 0.1689 0.4734 0.2000 0.2845 71 Grenada 0.1689 0.4734 0.2000 0.2789 72 Mexico 0.0307 0.5174 0.0000 0.2776 74 Philppines 0.0347 0.5181 1.0000 0.2776 75 Meldova 0.0430 0.4648 0.0000 0.2743 77 Botswana 0.07708 0.4744 0.2000 0.2745 76 Thiland 0.0444 0.4973 0.2020 0.2725 78 Thailand 0.0453 0.4419 0.2600 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>						
66 Sudi Arabia 0.1144 0.4814 0.7500 0.2279 67 Colombia 0.0831 0.5041 1.0000 0.2366 68 Ukrane 0.0664 0.5185 0.0833 0.2384 69 Croatia 0.1669 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Grenada 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2869 72 Maxico 0.0371 0.5174 0.0000 0.2772 73 Guyana 0.0371 0.5182 1.0000 0.2776 74 Philippines 0.0374 0.5182 1.0000 0.2776 74 Philippines 0.0474 0.4280 0.0000 0.2744 75 Thailand 0.0614 0.4930 0.1250 0.2726 75 Muldova 0.6260 0.4743 0.2500 0.2718 76 Trailand 0.0648 0.4930 0.1250 0.2726 76 Trailand 0.6648 0.4930 <	63	Turkey	0.1469	0.4625	0.2500	0.3047
66 Saudi Arabia 0.0846 0.5053 0.5000 0.2850 67 Colombia 0.0681 0.5185 0.0833 0.2384 68 Ukraine 0.0684 0.5185 0.0833 0.2384 70 Granda 0.1689 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Granda 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2289 72 Mexico 0.0906 0.4472 0.7500 0.2786 74 Philippines 0.0317 0.5162 1.0000 0.2726 75 Moldova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2743 77 Boiswana 0.0768 0.4744 0.2500 0.2726 77 Thailand 0.0444 0.4930 0.12260 0.2703 78 Thailand 0.0446 0.4830 0.12260 0.2713 79 Jordan 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2624 79 Jordan 0.0441 0.4330	64	Brazil	0.0992	0.4974	0.7500	0.2983
67 Colombia 0.0831 0.5041 1.0000 0.2986 68 Ukraine 0.0664 0.5185 0.0833 0.2984 70 Paraguay 0.0956 0.4734 0.2500 0.2845 71 Granada 0.1589 0.4030 0.2000 0.2849 73 Gayana 0.0371 0.5174 0.0000 0.2775 74 Philippines 0.0371 0.5182 1.0000 0.2756 75 Moldova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2726 77 Trailand 0.0678 0.4744 0.2500 0.2727 76 Tiji 0.0579 0.4426 0.0000 0.2734 77 Trailand 0.0444 0.4930 0.1250 0.2726 77 Trailand 0.0444 0.4930 0.1250 0.2726 78 Trailand 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2826 27 Proilippines 0.0443 0.44719 <t< td=""><td>65</td><td>Venezuela, RB</td><td>0.1144</td><td>0.4814</td><td>0.7500</td><td>0.2979</td></t<>	65	Venezuela, RB	0.1144	0.4814	0.7500	0.2979
68 Ukraine 0.0684 0.5185 0.0833 0.2334 69 Croatia 0.1669 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Granada 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2895 73 Guyana 0.0311 0.5174 0.0000 0.2729 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2727 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2726 75 Midicova 0.0430 0.5661 0.2500 0.2746 75 Midicova 0.04340 0.5661 0.2500 0.2743 76 Thailand 0.0514 0.4895 0.6250 0.2725 78 Thailand 0.0544 0.4719 1.0000 0.2828 79 Jordan 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2821 78 Traidan 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2824 80 China 0.0441 0.4339 0	66	Saudi Arabia	0.0846	0.5053	0.5000	0.2950
68 Ukraine 0.0684 0.5185 0.0833 0.2334 69 Croatia 0.1669 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Granada 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2895 73 Guyana 0.0311 0.5174 0.0000 0.2729 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2727 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2726 75 Midicova 0.0430 0.5661 0.2500 0.2746 75 Midicova 0.04340 0.5661 0.2500 0.2743 76 Thailand 0.0514 0.4895 0.6250 0.2725 78 Thailand 0.0544 0.4719 1.0000 0.2828 79 Jordan 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2821 78 Traidan 0.0443 0.4719 1.0000 0.2824 80 China 0.0441 0.4339 0	67	Colombia	0.0831	0.5041	1.0000	0.2936
60 Craatia 0.1669 0.4114 0.5000 0.2845 71 Paraguay 0.0696 0.4734 0.2500 0.2845 71 Grenada 0.1599 0.4030 0.0000 0.2799 72 Mexico 0.0906 0.4672 0.7550 0.2785 73 Motidova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2746 75 Motidova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2776 74 Diotswana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2500 0.2779 76 Motidova 0.0444 0.4976 0.2500 0.2779 77 Diotswana 0.0444 0.4976 0.2500 0.2779 80 Fran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2689 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0654 0.4779 0.7500 0.2414 85 St Lanka 0.0143 0.4479 0.5000 0.2428 85 Tiniala 0.0543						
70 Paraguay 0.0966 0.4734 0.2500 0.2869 71 Granda 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2809 73 Giyana 0.0311 0.5174 0.0000 0.2772 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2772 74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2778 75 Moktova 0.0430 0.5061 0.25500 0.2778 77 Bistevana 0.0746 0.4774 0.25500 0.2778 76 Distevana 0.0444 0.4933 0.26500 0.2778 78 Eistevana 0.0446 0.4930 0.1250 0.2708 77 Distevana 0.0443 0.44719 0.0000 0.2682 28 Fisiuna 0.0443 0.44719 0.8750 0.2611 78 Distevana 0.0443 0.4479 0.5500 0.2448 79 Vitra O.0543 0.4429						
71 Gremáná 0.1589 0.4030 0.0000 0.2899 72 Mexico 0.0371 0.5174 0.0000 0.2789 73 Guyana 0.0371 0.5174 0.0000 0.2785 74 Philippines 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2786 76 Fiji 0.0659 0.4826 0.0000 0.2778 77 Botsvana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2500 0.2778 71 Tinaland 0.0164 0.4393 0.2500 0.2779 78 Jordan 0.0444 0.4373 0.2500 0.2799 80 Ecuador 0.0468 0.4793 0.2500 0.2811 781 Isani (Isanic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2689 81 Fran (Isanic Rep. of) 0.0653 0.4479 0.5000 0.2485 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4779 0.5000 0.2486 85 Tinalad 0.0543 0.45						
72 Mexico 0.0906 0.4672 0.7500 0.2772 73 Guyana 0.0347 0.5174 0.0000 0.2772 74 Philippines 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2774 74 Thailand 0.0559 0.4226 0.0000 0.2773 77 Thailand 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2725 78 Thailand 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2725 79 Jardan 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2708 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2622 82 Peru 0.0555 0.4449 0.2500 0.2411 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2486 84 St Lanka 0.0166 0.4749 0.5000 0.2486 85 Tiniciad and Tobago 0.1074 0.5000 0.2486 81 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.250		• •				
73 Guyana 0.0371 0.5174 0.0000 0.2775 74 Philippines 0.0430 0.5081 0.2500 0.2746 75 Moldova 0.0430 0.5081 0.2500 0.2746 77 Botswana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2600 0.2776 78 Thailand 0.0614 0.4936 0.6250 0.2776 79 Jordan 0.0444 0.4930 0.2500 0.2709 80 Ecuador 0.0486 0.4973 0.2500 0.2709 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. ol) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2669 82 China 0.0443 0.4779 0.8750 0.2811 84 Isanibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2486 85 Tiniciad and Tobago 0.1664 0.3999 0.2500 0.2486 86 Triniciad and Tobago 0.1650 0.3776 0.2500 0.2486 87 Tunisia 0.0362						
74 Philippines 0.0347 0.5182 1.0000 0.2746 75 Moldova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2746 76 Fiji 0.0659 0.4826 0.0000 0.2743 78 Thaland 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2725 79 Jardan 0.0444 0.4933 0.2500 0.2709 90 Ecuador 0.0446 0.4930 0.1250 0.2708 91 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2682 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.06643 0.4479 0.2500 0.2486 82 Peru 0.0553 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 83 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1664 0.4733 0.0000 0.2486 84 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0383 0.4573 0.0000 0.2442 94 Turisia 0.0452 0.4687 0.5000 0.2442 94 Sarroa 0.0242						
Moléova 0.0430 0.5061 0.2500 0.2743 76 Fiji 0.0569 0.4826 0.0000 0.2713 77 Botswana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2500 0.2726 78 Thaland 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2729 78 Ecuador 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2709 80 Eran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2689 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0653 0.4479 1.0000 0.2621 86 Fri. (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0653 0.4449 0.2500 0.2481 87 Syria Arab Republic 0.0535 0.4449 0.5000 0.2486 87 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1086 0.4774 0.5000 0.2486 89 Irindexia 0.0362 0.4569 0.2500 0.2444 89 Irindexia 0.0171 0.47740 0.5000 0.2424 80 Indonesia 0.01						
Fig 0.0659 0.4226 0.0000 0.2733 77 Botswana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2500 0.2726 78 Thaland 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2725 79 Jardan 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2708 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2622 82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 0.8750 0.2811 84 Namibia 0.0443 0.4719 0.8750 0.2418 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.2500 0.2485 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2485 88 Tinida and Tobago 0.1094 0.3899 0.2500 0.2444 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4744 0.5000 0.2485 81 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0382 0.4506 0.2390 0.2480 91 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4764						
77 Binswana 0.0708 0.4744 0.2500 0.2725 78 Thailand 0.0514 0.4936 0.6250 0.2725 79 Jordan 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2725 80 Erau (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2689 223 China 0.0413 0.4719 1.0000 0.2225 233 China 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2511 184 Namibia 0.0413 0.4479 0.500 0.2488 57 Jardada 0.0533 0.44429 0.7500 0.2486 587 Trinided and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2448 80 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4744 0.5000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4569 0.5000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0474 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.46						
78 Thailand 0.6144 0.4936 0.6250 0.2726 79 Jordan 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2708 80 Ecuador 0.0486 0.4930 0.1250 0.2708 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2682 82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 0.8750 0.2611 84 Namibia 0.0443 0.4719 0.8750 0.2486 85 Trinidad and Tobago 0.0664 0.3899 0.2500 0.2486 86 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2486 87 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2486 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4764 0.5000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2800 0.2389 91 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2424 93 Mbania 0.0175						
79 Jordan 0.0444 0.4973 0.2500 0.2709 80 Ecuador 0.0486 0.4930 0.1250 0.2708 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4970 0.0000 0.2622 82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 1.0000 0.2622 82 China 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2411 84 Namibia 0.0535 0.4449 0.2500 0.2448 85 Tinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2486 85 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2486 80 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4733 0.4000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2600 0.2444 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2426 92 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2386 93 Macedonia 0.1557						
80 Ecuador 0.0466 0.4330 0.1250 0.2708 81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0668 0.4670 0.0000 0.2689 82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 1.0000 0.2628 83 China 0.0413 0.4779 0.8750 0.2511 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4779 0.8750 0.2418 85 Si Lanka 0.0553 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 86 Si Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2486 87 Si Lanka 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2486 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2442 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.02440 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Macedonia, FYR 0.1550 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 94 Macedonia, FYR 0.1567 0.3108						
81 Iran (Islamic Rep. of) 0.0658 0.4770 0.0000 0.2669 82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 1.0000 0.2622 83 China 0.0443 0.4779 0.8750 0.2611 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2442 86 El Salvador 0.0535 0.4449 0.5000 0.2448 87 Si Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2448 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2448 89 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4773 0.5000 0.2448 80 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4733 0.4000 0.2429 31 Abania 0.052 0.4887 0.5000 0.2428 91 Tunisia 0.0457 0.3902 0.2500 0.2388 92 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 93 Swaziland 0.0213						
82 Peru 0.0524 0.4719 1.0000 0.2622 83 China 0.0443 0.4779 0.8750 0.2611 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2422 85 Trinidad and Tobago 0.0535 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 87 Si Lanka 0.0166 0.4784 0.5000 0.2486 89 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2484 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2448 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2500 0.2444 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4500 0.2389 94 Bolivia 0.0411 0.4338 0.2500 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.5000 0.2379 97 Vict Nam 0.0139 0.4577 0.3108	•					
83 China 0.0443 0.4779 0.8750 0.2811 84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2411 85 Cape Verde 0.0535 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 86 El Salvador 0.0543 0.4429 0.7500 0.2486 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.3899 0.2500 0.2418 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2456 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2424 91 Turisia 0.0362 0.4667 0.5000 0.2422 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5500 0.2389 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FVR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2389 95 Swaziland 0.0213 0.447	81	Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	0.0668	0.4670	0.0000	0.2669
84 Namibia 0.0413 0.4610 0.2500 0.2511 85 Cape Verde 0.0535 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 86 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.4784 0.5000 0.2485 87 Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2481 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2486 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2481 91 Turisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Macedonia, FVR 0.1050 0.3726 0.5000 0.2387 94 Bolivia 0.0173 0.4471 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0150 0.3726 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.0213	-					
85 Cape Verde 0.0535 0.4449 0.2500 0.2486 86 El Salvador 0.0543 0.4429 0.7500 0.2486 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2485 88 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2485 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4606 0.2500 0.2432 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4506 0.2500 0.24238 92 Samoa 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2422 93 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2388 96 Nazelind 0.0139 0.4471 0.2500 0.2388 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4471 0.2500 0.2388 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4	83	China	0.0443	0.4779	0.8750	0.2611
86 Fi Salvador 0.0543 0.4429 0.7500 0.2486 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2485 88 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1084 0.4899 0.2500 0.2481 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0362 0.4573 0.0000 0.2485 91 Tunisia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2484 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2429 93 Macedonia, FYR 0.1650 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2388 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4681 0.0000 0.2338 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2339 102 Algeria 0.0054	84	Namibia	0.0413	0.4610	0.2500	0.2511
86 Fi Salvador 0.0543 0.4429 0.7500 0.2486 87 Sri Lanka 0.0186 0.4784 0.5000 0.2485 88 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1084 0.4899 0.2500 0.2481 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0362 0.4573 0.0000 0.2485 91 Tunisia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2484 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2429 93 Macedonia, FYR 0.1650 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2388 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4681 0.0000 0.2338 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2339 102 Algeria 0.0054	85	Cape Verde	0.0535	0.4449	0.2500	0.2492
88 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2481 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0333 0.4573 0.0000 0.2486 91 Tunisia 0.0382 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4667 0.5000 0.2420 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2389 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4576 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.1657 0.3108 0.0000 0.2339 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2339 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2339 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2247 103 Panama 0.0962	86		0.0543	0.4429	0.7500	0.2486
88 Trinidad and Tobago 0.1064 0.3899 0.2500 0.2481 89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2486 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2481 91 Tunisia 0.0382 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2389 94 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2389 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4471 0.2500 0.2389 90 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2339 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2339 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2309 102 Algeria 0.01964	•		0.0186			
89 Syrian Arab Republic 0.0363 0.4573 0.0000 0.2468 90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2436 91 Tunisia 0.0322 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2439 94 Bolivia 0.0414 0.4338 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2342 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2339 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2339 90 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2309 102 Algeria 0.1143 0.3286 0.0200 0.2217 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2171 103 Panama 0.0225 0.4189						
90 Indonesia 0.0171 0.4740 0.5000 0.2456 91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2420 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2389 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2338 90 Lesotho 0.0011 0.4631 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0191 0.4447 0.2500 0.2338 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2287 102 Algeria 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2244 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143						
91 Tunisia 0.0362 0.4506 0.2500 0.2434 92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2420 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4388 0.2500 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2381 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2382 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2331 100 Lesotho 0.0011 0.4631 0.0000 0.2301 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0052 0.3613 0.5000 0.2215 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2207 107 Gautemala 0.0025<						
92 Samoa 0.0244 0.4599 0.0000 0.2422 93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2239 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2389 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.23239 90 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2309 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2316 103 Algeria 0.0194 0.4334 0.6250 0.2224 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2244 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
93 Albania 0.0152 0.4687 0.5000 0.2420 94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4471 0.2500 0.2342 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2310 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2311 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2217 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3302 0.2500 0.2178 105 Monogolia 0.0226	•					
94 Bolivia 0.0441 0.4338 0.2500 0.2389 95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2387 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2000 0.2338 90 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2338 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.22287 104 Kenya 0.0025 0.4189 0.0000 0.2217 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3226 0.0200 0.2171 105 Guatemala 0.00						
95 Macedonia, FYR 0.1050 0.3726 0.2500 0.2388 96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2389 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2342 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2339 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2309 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2215 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2247 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2171 107 Guatemala 0.0226 0.4189 0.5000 0.2171 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0070						
96 Romania 0.0872 0.3902 0.5000 0.2387 97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2389 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2342 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2247 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2171 107 Guatemala 0.0226 0.4188 0.0000 0.2171 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0077 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
97 Viet Nam 0.0139 0.4578 0.2500 0.2359 98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2342 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2309 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2178 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2114 109 Zambia 0.0070 0.4221 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.00303	•					
98 Swaziland 0.0213 0.4471 0.2500 0.2342 99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.22241 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2207 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.5000 0.2178 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.21145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3302 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2139 112 Djibouti 0.0982<						
99 New Caledonia 0.1567 0.3108 0.0000 0.2338 100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2217 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2217 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2171 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3302 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.3033 0.3975 0.2500 0.2139 112 Dibouti 0.0992 0.4135 0.0000 0.2093 114 Idgaguad 0.0166 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
100 Lesotho 0.0001 0.4631 0.0000 0.2316 101 French Polynesia 0.1434 0.3184 0.0000 0.2309 102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2217 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2178 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3302 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3940 0.2500 0.2053 112 Djibouti 0.0021						
101French Polynesia0.14340.31840.00000.2309102Algeria0.01910.44120.00000.2301103Panama0.09620.36130.50000.2287104Kenya0.00540.43940.62500.2224105St. Vincent and the Grenadines0.11430.32860.00000.2215106Honduras0.02250.41890.00000.2207107Guatemala0.02160.41270.50000.2171108Mongolia0.02160.41270.50000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2145112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2093115India0.01010.40051.00000.2093116Ghana0.00510.39830.25000.2093117Tanzania0.00510.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2033119Malawi0.00260.38270.75000.1934120Dominican Republic0.66660.32210.75000.1937123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1837125Pakistan0.00260.38270.75000.1837123Lao PDR0.00410.3631						
102 Algeria 0.0191 0.4412 0.0000 0.2301 103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2207 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2178 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3302 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2144 113 Cameroon 0.0043 0.4156 0.0000 0.2099 114 India 0.0110 0.4005 1.0000 0.2053 115 India 0.0101 0.40						
103 Panama 0.0962 0.3613 0.5000 0.2287 104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2207 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2171 109 Zambia 0.0070 0.4221 0.2500 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3022 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.3033 0.33975 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2139 112 Djibouti 0.0092 0.4135 0.0000 0.2144 113 Cameroon 0.0043 0.4156 0.0000 0.2099 114 Nicaragua 0.0166 0.3940 0.2500 0.2053 116 Ghana 0.0051						
104 Kenya 0.0054 0.4394 0.6250 0.2224 105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2207 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2178 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0988 0.3302 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2033 112 Djibouti 0.0092 0.4135 0.0000 0.2093 114 113 Cameroon 0.0043 0.4156 0.0000 0.2053 115 India 0.0101<		5				
105 St. Vincent and the Grenadines 0.1143 0.3286 0.0000 0.2215 106 Honduras 0.0225 0.4189 0.0000 0.2207 107 Guatemala 0.0329 0.4028 0.5000 0.2178 108 Mongolia 0.0216 0.4127 0.5000 0.2145 110 Jamaica 0.0070 0.4221 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2145 111 Egypt 0.0303 0.3975 0.2500 0.2145 112 Djibouti 0.0092 0.4135 0.0000 0.2099 114 Nicaragua 0.0166 0.3940 0.2500 0.2053 115 India 0.011 0.4008 0.5000 0.2029 117 Tanzania 0.0051 0.4008 0.5000 0.2029 117 Tanzania 0.0015 0.3992 0.0000 0.2007 118 Rwanda 0.0026 <						
106Honduras0.02250.41890.00000.2207107Guatemala0.03290.40280.50000.2178108Mongolia0.02160.41270.50000.2141109Zambia0.00700.42210.25000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2149112Djibouti0.09920.41350.00000.2149113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2099117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1939120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00240.37660.25000.1873124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837			0.0054	0.4394		
107Guatemala0.03290.40280.50000.2178108Mongolia0.02160.41270.50000.2171109Zambia0.00700.42210.25000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053116Ghana0.01010.40051.00000.2099117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00260.38270.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1877123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yernen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837			0.1143	0.3286	0.0000	
108Mongolia0.02160.41270.50000.2171109Zambia0.00700.42210.25000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2029117Tanzania0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00150.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00280.38620.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1862125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		Honduras			0.0000	0.2207
109Zambia0.00700.42210.25000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2099116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003120Dominican Republic0.06660.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1939122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837	107	Guatemala	0.0329	0.4028	0.5000	0.2178
109Zambia0.00700.42210.25000.2145110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2099116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003120Dominican Republic0.06660.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1939122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837	108	Mongolia	0.0216	0.4127	0.5000	0.2171
110Jamaica0.09880.33020.25000.2145111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2029117Tanzania0.00510.40080.50000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1939120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1837127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		Zambia	0.0070	0.4221	0.2500	0.2145
111Egypt0.03030.39750.25000.2139112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2092117Tanzania0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00150.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00280.37660.25000.1877123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		Jamaica	0.0988	0.3302	0.2500	0.2145
112Djibouti0.00920.41350.00000.2114113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2093116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00280.37660.25000.1897122Eritrea0.00240.34910.25000.1873123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837					0.2500	
113Cameroon0.00430.41560.00000.2099114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2053116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1837127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837			0.0092			0.2114
114Nicaragua0.01660.39400.25000.2053115India0.01010.40051.00000.2053116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
115India0.01010.40051.00000.2053116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
116Ghana0.00510.40080.50000.2029117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		5				
117Tanzania0.00310.39830.25000.2007118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
118Rwanda0.00150.39920.00000.2003119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1837127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
119Malawi0.00230.38620.75000.1943120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1837127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
120Dominican Republic0.06560.32210.75000.1939121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
121Madagascar0.00260.38270.75000.1926122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
122Eritrea0.00280.37660.25000.1897123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		•				
123Lao PDR0.00410.37190.00000.1880124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837		÷				
124Morocco0.02540.34910.25000.1873125Pakistan0.00940.36310.87500.1862126Yemen, Rep.0.00630.36280.25000.1845127Sudan0.00410.36340.75000.1837						
125 Pakistan 0.0094 0.3631 0.8750 0.1862 126 Yemen, Rep. 0.0063 0.3628 0.2500 0.1845 127 Sudan 0.0041 0.3634 0.7500 0.1837						
126 Yemen, Rep. 0.0063 0.3628 0.2500 0.1845 127 Sudan 0.0041 0.3634 0.7500 0.1837						
127 Sudan 0.0041 0.3634 0.7500 0.1837						
128 logo 0.0130 0.3537 0.2500 0.1834						
129 Nepal 0.0047 0.3537 0.2500 0.1792		•				
130 Cote d'Ivoire 0.0133 0.3433 0.2500 0.1783						
131 Cambodia 0.0038 0.3443 0.3750 0.1741						
132 Uganda 0.0029 0.3445 0.5000 0.1737	132	Uganda	0.0029	0.3445	0.5000	0.1737

133	Bangladesh	0.0019	0.3452	0.0833	0.1736
134	Mauritania	0.0154	0.3240	0.3333	0.1697
135	Uzbekistan	0.0264	0.3126	0.1667	0.1695
136	Ethiopia	0.0013	0.3368	0.0000	0.1691
137	Zimbabwe	0.0177	0.3167	0.7500	0.1672
138	Comoros	0.0043	0.3236	0.0000	0.1639
139	Angola	0.0027	0.3239	0.6250	0.1633
140	Azerbaijan	0.0485	0.2728	0.1667	0.1607
141	Mozambique	0.0028	0.3165	0.2500	0.1597
142	Sierra Leone	0.0015	0.3163	0.5000	0.1589
143	Solomon Islands	0.0278	0.2891	0.0000	0.1584
144	Equatorial Guinea	0.0022	0.3111	0.0000	0.1567
145	Senegal	0.0165	0.2883	0.2500	0.1524
146	Myanmar	0.0022	0.2883	0.0000	0.1453
147	Guinea	0.0046	0.2793	0.2500	0.1420
148	Vanuatu	0.0136	0.2630	0.0000	0.1383
149	Congo, Rep.	0.0052	0.2708	0.6667	0.1380
150	Chad	0.0010	0.2742	0.0000	0.1376
151	Burkina Faso	0.0019	0.2664	0.2500	0.1342
152	Nigeria	0.0037	0.2159	0.7500	0.1098
153	Gambia	0.0123	0.1933	0.2500	0.1028
154	Burundi	0.0012	0.1610	0.6667	0.0811
155	Mali	0.0010	0.1391	0.5000	0.0700
156	Benin	0.0015	0.1383	0.0000	0.0699
157	Guinea-Bissau	0.0000	0.1317	0.7500	0.0659
158	Central African Republic	0.0019	0.1204	0.0000	0.0612
159	Niger	0.0004	0.0570	0.6667	0.0287

2001	Connectivity	Access	Policy
Connectivity	1	0.7846	0.5163
Access		1	0.4247
Policy			1
2000	Connectivity	Access	Policy
Connectivity	1	0.7639	0.4297
Access		1	0.4426
Policy			1
1999	Connectivity	Access	Policy
Connectivity	1	0.7757	0.4297
Access		1	0.3971
Policy			1
1998	Connectivity	Access	Policy
Connectivity	1	0.83326	0.4258
Access		1	0.4558
Policy			1
1995	Connectivity	Access	Policy
Connectivity	1	0.6863	0.4031
Access		1	0.3744
Policy			1

Appendix 2. Correlations of component Indices

Appendix 3. Comparison of Connectivity/Access Indices

FB CON – GA ACC	KU CON – GA ACC	GA CON – GA ACC
(3)	(9)	(45)
Honduras, Cuba, Indonesia	Suriname, Brazil, Panama, Brunei, Barbados, Peru, Philippines, Lebanon, Armenia	US, Iceland, Luxembourg, Sweden, Norway, Netherlands, Finland, Denmark, Switzerland, Australia, Hong Kong (China), Singapore, UK, New Zealand, Ireland, Germany, Japan, Austria, Israel, Belgium, France, Canada, Italy, Slovenia, Korea, Portugal, Greece, UAE, Spain, Malta, Cyprus, Estonia, Greenland, Macao (China), Hungary, Bahrain, Slovakia, Croatia, Puerto Rico, Bahamas, Malaysia, Lithuania, Kuwait, Costa Rica
FB CON – KU ACC	KU CON – KU ACC	GA CON – KU ACC
(16)	(31)	(10)
Sri Lanka, Viet Nam, Zimbabwe, Congo, Nicaragua, Eq. Guinea, Tajikistan, Pakistan, Gabon, Kenya, Cameroon, Lesotho, Nepal, Nigeria, Rwanda, Myanmar	Trinidad & Tobago, Argentina, Macedonia, Mexico, Venezuela, S. Africa, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Dominican Rep., Jordan, Colombia, Botswana, Ukraine, Fiji, China, Paraguay, Oman, Thailand, El Salvador, Republic of Moldova, Ecuador, Guyana, Tunisia, Maldives, Namibia, Guatemala, Bolivia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Egypt, Swaziland	Czech Republic, Qatar, Latvia, Bulgaria, Chile, Poland, Mauritius, Uruguay, Turkey, Jamaica Brazil, Brunei, New Caledonia, Liberia, Honduras, Panama, Gabon, Armenia, Nigeria
FB CON – FB ACC	KU CON – FB ACC	GA CON – FB ACC
(40)	(15)	(3)
Uzbekistan, Solomon Isls, Algeria, Gambia, Sao Tome & Principe, Kiribati, Côte d'Ivoire, Togo, Vanuatu, India, Djibouti, Yemen, Benin, Mauritania, Zambia, Ghana, Sudan, Comoros, Cambodia, United Rep. of Tanzania, Uganda, Laos PDR, Mozambique, Madagascar, Guinea, Sierra Leone, Angola, Guinea-Bissau, Burkina Faso, Eritrea, Malawi, Bhutan, Bangladesh, Mali, Central African Republic, Burundi, Ethiopia, Chad, Liberia, Niger	Belize, Belarus, Georgia, Cape Verde, Islamic Rep. of Iran, Azerbaijan, Bosnia, Morocco, Marshall Islands, Albania, Samoa, Turkmenistan, Syrian Arab Republic, Mongolia, Senegal	Seychelles, French Polynesia, Grenada

2001 CONNECTIVITY VERSUS ACCESS PLOT OF RANKINGS

Appendix 4. Comparison of Policy/Connectivity Indices

FB CON – GA POL	KU CON – GA POL	GA CON – GA POL	
(16)	(13)	(31)	
Kazakhstan, Zimbabwe, Congo, India, Pakistan, Kenya, Sudan, Nigeria, Madagascar, Angola, Guinea-Bissau, Malawi, Burundi, Congo DR, Niger, Somalia	Argentina, Brazil, Yugoslavia, Mexico, Venezuela, Dominican Rep., Colombia, Georgia, China, Thailand, El Salvador, Peru, Philippines	United States, Iceland, Luxembourg, Sweden, Norway, Netherlands, Finland, Denmark, Switzerland, Australia, Hong Kong (China), Singapore, United Kingdom, New Zealand, Ireland, Germany, Japan, Austria, Belgium, France, Canada, Italy, Korea, Portugal, Czech Republic, Greece, Spain, Estonia, Malaysia, Chile, Poland	
FB CON – KU POL	KU CON – KU POL	GA CON – KU POL	
(27)	(30)	(16)	
Uzbekistan, Sri Lanka, Cuba, Indonesia, Gambia, Côte d'Ivoire, Viet Nam, Togo, Nicaragua, Gabon, Yemen, Mauritania, Zambia, Ghana, Cambodia, United Rep. of Tanzania, Uganda, Mozambique, Nepal, Guinea, Kyrgyztan, Sierra Leone, Burkina Faso, Eritrea, Bangladesh, Mali, Afghanistan	Trinidad and Tobago, Suriname, Macedonia, FYR, South Africa, Panama, Romania, Belarus, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Botswana, Ukraine, Cape Verde, Paraguay, Azerbaijan, Rep. of Moldova, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Morocco, Ecuador, Tunisia, Albania, Namibia, Guatemala, Bolivia, Turkmenistan, Armenia, Egypt, Mongolia, Swaziland, Senegal	Israel, Slovenia, UAE, Antigua and Barbuda, Malta, Cyprus, Hungary, Slovakia, Croatia, Latvia, Bulgaria, Lithuania, Kuwait, Uruguay, Turkey, Jamaica	
FB CON – FB POL	KU CON – FB POL	GA CON – FB POL	
(23)	(20)	(18)	
Democratic People's Rep. of Korea, Honduras, Solomon Islands, Algeria, Sao Tome & Principe, Kiribati, Vanuatu, Eq. Guinea, Tajikistan, Iraq, Djibouti, Cameroon, Benin, Lesotho, Comoros, Lao PDR, Rwanda, Bhutan, Central African Rep., Myanmar, Ethiopia, Chad, Liberia	Belize, Saint Lucia, Brunei, Faeroe Islands, Saint Vincent & Grenadines, Fiji, Barbados, Oman, Iran, New Caldeonia, Guyana, Virgin Islands, Marshall Islands, Maldives, Samoa, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Syrian Arab Rep. , Cayman Islands, American Samoa	Bermuda, Greenland, Aruba, Macao (China), Lichtenstein, Seychelles, Andorra, Bahrain, Qatar, Puerto Rico, Bahamas, Netherlands Antilles, French Polynesia, Mauritius, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts & Nevis, Costa Rica.	

2001 CONNECTIVITY VERSUS POLICY PLOT OF RANKINGS

Appendix 5. Descriptive statistics

This appendix provides descriptive statistics for the populations of variables. According to Bridges.org (2001), the international digital divide has typically been assessed by counts of hardware and connectivity (hosts, PCs, telephones, mobiles). The distribution of these variables can be analysed to investigate their evolution over time, to see whether "uneven diffusion" (UNDP) is in fact increasing or decreasing over time.

Measure	Hosts	PCs	Telephones	Mobiles
1995 values				
Maximum	422	328	737	227
Minimum	0	0	0.7	0
Median	0.042	17.24	93.8	1.34
Average	15.80	59.92	178.45	20.25
Med/av %	0.26%	28.8%	0.75%	6.6%
Std. deviation	50.17	85.27	194.79	39.90
SD/av	3.17	1.42	1.09	1.97
Skewness	4.949	1.629	1.006	2.873
Kurtosis	29.627	1.509	-0.211	9.453
1998 values	1,098	447	840	572
Maximum	1,090	/	0+0	512
Minimum	0	0	0.4	0
Median	1.79	26.85	108.5	15.28
Average	57.48	83.23	204.61	70.36
Med/av %	3.1%	32.2%	53%	21.7%
Std. deviation	153.54	116.48	213.09	110.99
SD/av	2.68	1.398	1.04	1.58
Skewness	4.220	1.659	0.9346	2.068
Kurtosis	20.466	1.663	-0.2823	4.180
Kurtosis	201100	1.000	0.2023	
1999 values				
Maximum	1,896	503	857	651
Minimum	0	0.38	0.3	0
Median	3.24	33.82	128.1	35.27
Average	83.37	93.41	218.44	116.96
Med/av %	3.88%	36.2%	58.6%	30.2%
Std. deviation	224.64	126.52	219.69	162.35
SD/av	2.69	1.35	1.01	1.39
Skewness	4.541	1.644	0.904	1.602
Kurtosis	26.036	1.689	-0.280	1.706
2000 values				
Maximum	2,845	568	915.5	794
Minimum	0	0.95	0.4	0
Median	4.57	39.9	114.6	53.6
Average	111.35	106.54	213.4	166.56
Med/av %	4.1%	37.5%	57.7%	32.2%
Std. deviation	301.27	144.37	224.91	222.88

Table A. Summary statistics

SD/av	2.71	1.36	1.05	1.338
Skewness	5.2393	1.6363	1.0764	1.4358
Kurtosis	37.19	1.6697	0.2524	0.8855
2001 values				
Maximum	3,714	623	888.6	977.8
Minimum	0	0.53	0.4	0
Median	5.48	34.7	112.4	75.7
Average	146.95	99.36	206.5	210.7
Med/av %	3.73%	34.9%	54.4%	35.9%
Std. deviation	396.61	142.91	216.15	265.96
SD/av	2.70	1.44	1.05	1.26
Skewness	5.3373	1.9281	1.0337	1.2645
Kurtosis	37.7662	2.9673	0.1004	0.2833

Table B. Evolution over time of statistics on average per capita variable distributions

Maximum	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
Hosts	3,714	2,845	1,896	1,098	422
PCs	623	568	503	447	328
Telephones	888.6	915.5	857	840	737
Mobiles	977.77	794	651	572	227
Median/av%					
Hosts	3.73%	4.1%	3.88%	3.1%	0.26%
PCs	34.9%	37.5%	36.2%	32.2%	28.8%
Telephones	54.4%	53.7%	58.6%	53%	0.75%
Mobiles	35.9%	32.2%	30.2%	21.7%	6.6%
SD/average					
Hosts	2.7	2.71	2.69	2.68	3.17
PCs	1.44	1.36	1.35	1.398	1.42
Telephones	1.05	1.05	1.01	1.04	1.09
Mobiles	1.26	1.338	1.39	1.58	1.97
Skewness					
Hosts	5.3373	5.2393	4.541	4.220	4.929
PCs	1.9281	1.6363	1.644	1.659	1.629
Telephones	1.0337	1.0764	0.904	0.9346	1.006
Mobiles	1.2645	1.4358	1.602	2.068	2.873

Measure	2001	2000	1999	1998	1995
Maximum	0.8005 (U.S.)	0.8073 (U.S.)	0.814 (U.S.)	0.8131 (Fin)	0.8368(Fin)
Minimum	1.18x10-6	0.00027	3.37x10-5	0.00028	0.000362
	(Somalia)	(Congo DR)	(Guinea-Bissau)	(Congo DR)	(Chad)
Median	0.0683	0.0699	0.0668	0.0570	0.0472
Average	0.1532	0.1630	0.1599	0.1465	0.1245
Med/av %	44.6%	42.9%	41.8%	38.9%	37.9%
Std. deviation	0.1888	0.199	0.1996	0.1896	0.1741
SD/average	1.23	1.22	1.25	1.29	1.40
Skewness	1.484	1.412	1.488	1.675	2.003
Kurtosis	1.276	0.979	1.335	2.236	3.841

Appendix 6. Connectivity Index (2001)

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Afghanistan	0.0000		0.0015	0.0000	0.0005
Albania	0.0002	0.0153	0.0707	0.1138	0.0500
Algeria	0.0001	0.0115	0.0686	0.0033	0.0209
American Samoa	0.0321				0.0321
Andorra	0.0960		0.4390		0.2675
Angola	0.0000	0.0020	0.0067	0.0065	0.0038
Antigua and Barbuda	0.0325		0.6447	0.3930	0.3567
Argentina	0.0334	0.0857	0.2434	0.1903	0.1382
Armenia	0.0017	0.0127	0.1572	0.0067	0.0446
Aruba	0.0239		0.4014	0.5207	0.3153
Australia	0.3186	0.8306	0.5854	0.5907	0.5814
Austria	0.1087		0.5310	0.8316	0.4904
Azerbaijan	0.0004		0.1203	0.0783	0.0664
Bahamas	0.0002		0.4502	0.2009	0.2171
Bahrain	0.0071	0.2465	0.3002	0.4701	0.2560
Bangladesh	0.0000	0.0029	0.0041	0.0038	0.0027
Barbados	0.0013	0.1496			0.0754
Belarus	0.0009		0.3170	0.0139	0.1106
Belgium	0.0923		0.5564	0.7663	0.4717
Belize	0.0039	0.2295	0.1717	0.1248	0.1325
Benin	0.0002	0.0027	0.0104	0.0198	0.0083
Bermuda	0.2193	0.8112	1.0000	0.2152	0.5614
Bhutan	0.0014	0.0030	0.0074	0.0000	0.0029
Bolivia	0.0005	0.0321	0.0680	0.0893	0.0475
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0022		0.1246	0.0587	0.0618
Botswana	0.0022	0.0672		0.1829	0.0841
Brazil	0.0257	0.1005	0.2441	0.1704	0.1352
Brunei Darussalam	0.0700	0.1200			0.0950
Bulgaria	0.0092		0.4169	0.2015	0.2092
Burkina Faso	0.0002	0.0023	0.0055	0.0065	0.0036
Burundi	0.0000		0.0035	0.0031	0.0022
Cambodia	0.0001	0.0024	0.0028	0.0170	0.0056
Cameroon	0.0001	0.0063	0.0075	0.0209	0.0087
Canada	0.2509		0.7373	0.3272	0.4385
Cape Verde	0.0002		0.1607	0.0738	0.0782
Cayman Islands	0.0362				0.0362
Central African Rep.	0.0000	0.0030	0.0030	0.0030	0.0022
Chad	0.0000	0.0024	0.0015	0.0028	0.0017
Chile	0.0215	0.1356	0.2706	0.3501	0.1944
China	0.0002	0.0313	0.1568	0.1153	0.0759
Colombia	0.0036	0.0676	0.1919	0.0755	0.0847
Comoros	0.0000	0.0088	0.0137	0.0000	0.0056
Congo	0.0000	0.0062	0.0080	0.0493	0.0159
Congo (Democratic Republic of the)	0.0000		0.0004	0.0029	0.0011
Costa Rica	0.0056	0.2734	0.2586	0.0774	0.1538
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0005	0.0098	0.0202	0.0456	0.0190
Croatia	0.0003	0.1380	0.0202	0.3856	0.2368
Cuba	0.0002	0.0314	0.0573	0.0007	0.0224
Cyprus	0.0002	0.3455	0.6193	0.4067	0.3447
Czech Republic	0.0566	0.0400	0.4218	0.4007	0.3844
Denmark	0.0366		0.4218	0.7583	0.6203

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Dominica	0.0085	0.1367	0.3711		0.1721
Dominican Rep.	0.0132		0.1243	0.1290	0.0889
Ecuador	0.0007	0.0374	0.1167	0.0682	0.0558
Egypt	0.0001	0.0233	0.1083	0.0414	0.0433
El Salvador	0.0002	0.0351	0.1052	0.1279	0.0671
Equatorial Guinea	0.0000	0.0086	0.0165	0.0327	0.0144
Eritrea	0.0000	0.0029	0.0094	0.0000	0.0031
Estonia	0.0998	0.2917	0.4116	0.4838	0.3217
Ethiopia	0.0000	0.0019	0.0054	0.0004	0.0019
Faeroe Islands	0.0929				0.0929
Fiji	0.0022	0.0976	0.1237	0.0945	0.0795
Finland	0.4612	0.6824	0.6183	0.7987	0.6402
France	0.0357	0.5404	0.6442	0.6179	0.4596
French Polynesia	0.0196		0.2497	0.2890	0.1861
Gabon	0.0001	0.0191			0.0096
Gambia	0.0002	0.0204	 0.0295	0.0329	0.0208
Georgia	0.0011		0.1864	0.0576	0.0817
Germany	0.0797		0.7175	0.7014	0.4995
Ghana	0.0000	 0.0057	0.0138	0.0100	0.4995
Greece	0.0000	0.1300	0.5941	0.7665	0.3817
Greenland	0.0383		0.5941	0.7665	0.3017
Grenada	0.0003	0.2227	0.3931	0.0700	0.1715
Guatemala	0.0015	0.0206	0.0728	0.0992	0.0485
	0.0001	0.0062	0.0035	0.0069	0.0042
Guinea-Bissau	0.0002		0.0110	0.0000	0.0037
Guyana	0.0001	0.0484	0.1179	0.0529	0.0548
Honduras	0.0001	0.0195	0.0530	0.0370	0.0274
Hong Kong (China)	0.1500	0.6000	0.6347	0.8378	0.5556
Hungary	0.0455	0.1620	0.4233	0.5124	0.2858
Iceland	0.5231	0.6850	0.7621	0.8556	0.7065
India	0.0002	0.0094	0.0381	0.0057	0.0134
Indonesia	0.0006	0.0171	0.0415	0.0252	0.0211
Iran (Islamic Rep. of)	0.0001	0.1013	0.1578	0.0213	0.0701
Iraq	0.0000		0.0322	0.0000	0.0107
Ireland	0.0898	0.6272	0.5449	0.7455	0.5018
Israel	0.0627	0.4164	0.5652	0.8716	0.4790
Italy	0.0319	0.3157	0.5344	0.8661	0.4370
Jamaica	0.0015	0.0804	0.2220	0.2755	0.1449
Japan	0.1505	0.5601	0.6717	0.5847	0.4918
Jordan	0.0012	0.0541	0.1471	0.1510	0.0883
Kazakhstan	0.0018			0.0370	0.0194
Kenya	0.0002	0.0090	0.0113	0.0163	0.0092
Kiribati	0.0007	0.0382			0.0195
Korea, Dem. Rep.			0.0552	0.0000	0.0276
Korea (Rep. of)	0.0252	0.4095	0.5433	0.6311	0.4023
Kuwait	0.0047	0.2119	0.2697	0.2538	0.1850
Kyrgyzstan	0.0025			0.0055	0.0040
Lao PDR	0.0001	 0.0048	0.0110	0.0056	0.0053
Latvia	0.0280	0.2404	0.3390	0.2792	0.2216
Lebanon	0.0250	0.2404			0.0479
Lesotho	0.0001			 0.0164	0.0082
Liberia	0.0001		 0.0025	0.0164	0.0082
Liberia Libyan Arab Jamahiriya			0.0025		
LINVALI ALAN JAHIAHIIIVA	0.0000		0.1269	0.0095	0.0455

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Lithuania	0.0257	0.1132	0.3513	0.2584	0.1871
Luxembourg	0.0850	0.8355	0.8907	1.0000	0.7028
Macao (China)	0.0011	0.2864	0.4426	0.4434	0.2934
Macedonia, FYR	0.0034		0.2966	0.1117	0.1372
Madagascar	0.0000	0.0039	0.0040	0.0092	0.0043
Malawi	0.0000	0.0018	0.0053	0.0049	0.0030
Malaysia	0.0088	0.2129	0.2356	0.3221	0.1949
Maldives	0.0000	0.0321	0.1022	0.0629	0.0493
Mali	0.0000	0.0019	0.0048	0.0040	0.0027
Malta	0.0600	0.3690	0.5968	0.3623	0.3470
Marshall Islands	0.0002	0.1085	0.0909	0.0097	0.0523
Mauritania	0.0001	0.0158			0.0080
Mauritius	0.0072	0.1784	0.2949	0.2621	0.1856
Mexico	0.0246	0.1104	0.1517	0.2052	0.1230
Micronesia (Fed. States of)	0.0140		0.0894	0.0000	0.0345
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0011	0.0262	0.1776	0.0501	0.0638
Mongolia	0.0002	0.0220	0.0541	0.0779	0.0385
Morocco	0.0002	0.0211	0.0441	0.1604	0.0564
Mozambique	0.0000	0.0060	0.0054	0.0093	0.0052
Myanmar	0.0000	0.0018	0.0065	0.0003	0.0022
Namibia	0.0070	0.0584	0.0739	0.0572	0.0491
Nepal	0.0002	0.0054	0.0142	0.0007	0.0051
Netherlands	0.4449	0.6958	0.7065	0.7640	0.6528
Netherlands Antilles	0.0015		0.4198		0.2106
New Caledonia	0.0577				0.0577
New Zealand	0.2887	0.6327	0.5419	0.6491	0.5281
Nicaragua	0.0011	0.0154		0.0306	0.0157
Niger	0.0000	0.0009	0.0022	0.0002	0.0008
Nigeria	0.0000	0.0110	0.0048	0.0029	0.0047
Norway	0.1831	0.8232	0.8180	0.8516	0.6690
Oman	0.0048	0.0521	0.1010	0.1266	0.0711
Pakistan	0.0002	0.0066	0.0264	0.0056	0.0097
Panama	0.0073	0.0609	0.1669	0.2117	0.1117
Paraguay	0.0013	0.0228	0.0577	0.2087	0.0726
Peru	0.0014	0.0770	0.0872	0.0606	0.0565
Philippines	0.0011	0.0354	0.0452	0.1401	0.0555
Poland	0.0342	0.1374	0.3326	0.2664	0.1927
Portugal	0.0662	0.1937	0.4901	0.8132	0.3908
Puerto Rico	0.0011		0.3786	0.3134	0.2310
Qatar	0.0006	0.2794	0.3278	0.3181	0.2315
Romania	0.0056	0.0574	0.2058	0.1763	0.1113
Russian Federation	0.0066	0.0811	0.2777	0.0393	0.1012
Rwanda	0.0004		0.0030	0.0084	0.0039
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0002	0.3364			0.1683
Saint Lucia	0.0003	0.2472			0.1238
Samoa	0.0906	0.0121	0.0708	0.0193	0.0482
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0178		0.0437	0.0000	0.0205
Saudi Arabia	0.0015	0.1069	0.1730	0.1230	0.1011
Senegal		0.0299	0.0276	0.0414	0.0330
Seychelles	0.0087	0.2367	0.2954	0.5539	0.2737
Sierra Leone	0.0002		0.0056	0.0060	0.0039
Singapore	0.1298	0.8212	0.5338	0.7117	0.5491
Slovak Republic	0.0362	0.2378	0.3242	0.4065	0.2511

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Solomon Islands	0.0023	0.0764	0.0180	0.0021	0.0247
Somalia	0.0000				0.0000
South Africa	0.0147	0.1100	0.1277	0.2148	0.1168
Spain	0.0363	0.2736	0.4913	0.6788	0.3700
Sri Lanka	0.0003	0.0126	0.0488	0.0385	0.0251
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.0001	0.1832			0.0916
Sudan	0.0000	0.0058	0.0160	0.0034	0.0063
Suriname	0.0004		0.2078	0.2052	0.1378
Swaziland	0.0033		0.0384	0.0720	0.0379
Sweden	0.2241	0.9092	0.8390	0.7951	0.6918
Switzerland	0.1981		0.8136	0.7455	0.5857
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0000	0.0261	0.1225	0.0123	0.0402
Taiwan Province of China					
Tajikistan	0.0001		0.0409	0.0003	0.0138
Tanzania (United Rep.)	0.0001	0.0054	0.0046	0.0121	0.0056
Thailand	0.0030	0.0429	0.1057	0.1214	0.0683
Тодо	0.0001	0.0345	0.0116	0.0209	0.0168
Trinidad and Tobago	0.0142	0.1112	0.2699	0.1773	0.1432
Tunisia	0.0001	0.0386	0.1243	0.0416	0.0512
Turkey	0.0042	0.0641	0.3145	0.3024	0.1713
Turkmenistan	0.0009		0.0902		0.0456
Uganda	0.0000	0.0047	0.0030	0.0137	0.0054
Ukraine	0.0032	0.0301	0.2445	0.0463	0.0810
United Arab Emirates	0.0777	0.2543	0.4466	0.7359	0.3786
United Kingdom	0.1009	0.5935	0.6561	0.8078	0.5396
United States	1.0000	1.0000	0.7478	0.4543	0.8005
Uruguay	0.0568	0.1768	0.3184	0.1582	0.1776
Uzbekistan	0.0000		0.0741	0.0025	0.0256
Vanuatu	0.0048		0.0377	0.0018	0.0147
Venezuela	0.0025	0.0848	0.1260	0.2695	0.1207
Viet Nam	0.0000	0.0162	0.0434	0.0162	0.0189
Virgin Islands (US)	0.0543				0.0543
Yemen	0.0000	0.0031	0.0249	0.0081	0.0090
Yugoslavia	0.0040	0.0381	0.2610	0.1939	0.1243
Zambia	0.0003	0.0113	0.0090	0.0094	0.0075
Zimbabwe	0.0007	0.0206	0.0222	0.0262	0.0174

Appendix 6. Connectivity Index (2000)

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Afghanistan	0.0000		0.0015	0.0000	0.0005
Albania	0.0002	0.0140	0.0532	0.0120	0.0199
Algeria	0.0000	0.0116	0.0635	0.0036	0.0197
American Samoa	0.0639		0.2309		0.1474
Andorra	0.0675		0.4348	0.3449	0.2824
Angola	0.0000	0.0020	0.0058	0.0025	0.0026
Antigua and Barbuda	0.0170		0.6451	0.4272	0.3631
Argentina	0.0257	0.0903	0.2329	0.2057	0.1386
Armenia	0.0025	0.0116	0.1538	0.0058	0.0434
Aruba	0.0101		0.4138	0.1878	0.2039
Australia	0.2968	0.8273	0.5736	0.5634	0.5653
Austria	0.2102	0.4942	0.5182	0.9745	0.5493
Azerbaijan	0.0007		0.1088	0.0673	0.0589
Bahamas	0.0003		0.4106	0.1305	0.1804
Bahrain	0.0003	0.2612	0.2919	0.4050	0.2396
Bangladesh	0.0000	0.0026	0.0037	0.0026	0.0022
Barbados	0.0013	0.1447	0.5057	0.1340	0.1964
Belarus	0.0007		0.2951	0.0061	0.1006
Belgium	0.1030	0.6007	0.5394	0.6556	0.4747
Belize	0.0046	0.2332	0.1726	0.0935	0.1260
Benin	0.0000	0.0028	0.0090	0.0111	0.0057
Bermuda	0.1898	0.8382	0.9728		0.6670
Bhutan	0.0013	0.0030	0.0070	0.0000	0.0028
Bolivia	0.0006	0.0296	0.0661	0.0917	0.0470
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0020		0.1123	0.0373	0.0505
Botswana	0.0054	0.0685	0.1065	0.1634	0.0859
Brazil	0.0181	0.0877	0.1982	0.1714	0.1189
Brunei Darussalam	0.0496	0.1232	0.2678	0.3644	0.2013
Bulgaria	0.0081	0.0800	0.3960	0.1169	0.1503
Burkina Faso	0.0001	0.0023	0.0050	0.0028	0.0025
Burundi	0.0000		0.0034	0.0032	0.0022
Cambodia	0.0001	0.0020	0.0026	0.0125	0.0043
Cameroon	0.0001	0.0059	0.0070	0.0125	0.0064
Canada	0.2702	0.6864	0.7388	0.3583	0.5134
Cape Verde	0.0002		0.1398	0.0582	0.0661
Cayman Islands	0.0520		1.0000	0.3524	0.4682
Central African Rep.	0.0000	 0.0028	0.0028	0.0017	0.0018
Chad	0.0000	0.0025	0.0020	0.0009	0.0012
Chile	0.0173	0.1457	0.2433	0.2816	0.1720
China	0.0002	0.0284	0.1240	0.0842	0.0592
Colombia	0.0039	0.0627	0.1241	0.0675	0.0802
Comoros	0.0002	0.0075	0.0108	0.0000	0.0046
Congo	0.0002	0.0073	0.0080	0.0292	0.0109
Congo (Democratic Republic of the)	0.0000	0.0004	0.0004	0.0292	0.0003
Costa Rica	0.0064	 0.2623	0.2440	0.0642	0.1443
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0004	0.2023	0.2440	0.0354	0.0158
Croatia	0.0001	0.1365	0.4040	0.0354	0.2081
Cuba	0.002	0.0188	0.4040	0.2795	0.2081
Cyprus	0.0002	0.3367	0.6133	0.3508	0.3341
Czech Republic	0.0545	0.2141	0.4117	0.5328	0.3033
Denmark	0.2207	0.7605	0.7874	0.7962	0.6412

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Djibouti	0.0000	0.0181	0.0168	0.0005	0.0088
Dominica	0.0093	0.1371	0.3514	0.0214	0.1298
Dominican Republic	0.0033		0.1167	0.1061	0.0754
Ecuador	0.0001	0.0383	0.1093	0.0480	0.0489
Egypt	0.0001	0.0207	0.0882	0.0252	0.0336
El Salvador	0.0003	0.0336	0.0992	0.1419	0.0688
Equatorial Guinea	0.0000	0.0077	0.0146	0.0138	0.0090
Eritrea	0.0000	0.0030	0.0091	0.0000	0.0030
Estonia	0.1032	0.2777	0.4098	0.5034	0.3235
Ethiopia	0.0000	0.0017	0.0040	0.0004	0.0015
Faeroe Islands	0.1179		0.5895	0.4623	0.3899
Fiji	0.0024	0.0973	0.1160	0.0851	0.0752
Finland	0.3598	0.6973	0.6015	0.9079	0.6416
France	0.0666	0.5322	0.6267	0.6176	0.4608
French Guiana	0.0028	0.2669		0.3044	0.1913
French Polynesia	0.0227		0.2411	0.2153	0.1597
Gabon	0.0001	0.0172	0.0346	0.1228	0.0437
Gambia	0.0000	0.0203	0.0279	0.0054	0.0134
Georgia	0.0012		0.1572	0.0444	0.0676
Germany	0.0875	0.5929	0.6688	0.7401	0.5223
Ghana	0.0000	0.0055	0.0134	0.0085	0.0068
Gibraltar	0.1383	0.9921	0.9384	0.2632	0.5830
Greece	0.0366	0.1244	0.5826	0.7041	0.3619
Greenland	0.1551		0.5087	0.3579	0.3405
Grenada	0.0001	0.2258	0.3663	0.0579	0.1625
Guadelope	0.0047	0.3700		0.4999	0.2915
Guam	0.0030				0.0030
Guatemala	0.0017	0.0201	0.0651	0.0933	0.0450
Guinea	0.0001	0.0063	0.0033	0.0065	0.0040
Guinea-Bissau	0.0001		0.0101	0.0000	0.0034
Guyana	0.0003	0.0509	0.0982	0.0083	0.0394
Honduras	0.0001	0.0192	0.0509	0.0305	0.0251
Hong Kong (China)	0.1173	0.6052	0.6251	1.0000	0.5869
Hungary	0.0368	0.1535	0.4162	0.3887	0.2488
Iceland	0.5022	0.6929	0.7504	0.9717	0.7293
India	0.0001	0.0080	0.0351	0.0045	0.0119
Indonesia	0.0004	0.0174	0.0342	0.0217	0.0184
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.0001	0.1001	0.1473	0.0172	0.0662
Iraq	0.0000		0.0321	0.0000	0.0107
Ireland	0.1022	0.6291	0.4567	0.8245	0.5031
Israel	0.1049	0.4631	0.5463	0.9173	0.5079
Italy	0.0623	0.3150	0.5156	0.9247	0.4544
Jamaica	0.0020	0.0819	0.2170	0.1794	0.1201
Japan	0.1284	0.5537	0.6389	0.6617	0.4957
Jordan	0.0006	0.0537	0.1378	0.0997	0.0730
Kazakhstan	0.0016		0.1239	0.0154	0.0470
Kenya	0.0005	0.0086	0.0115	0.0052	0.0065
Kiribati	0.0010	0.0318	0.0442	0.0060	0.0207
Dem. People's Rep. of Korea			0.0540	0.0000	0.0270
Korea, Rep.	0.0000	0.4236	0.5125	0.7225	0.4147
Kuwait	0.0062	0.2297	0.2665	0.3131	0.2039
Kyrgyztan	0.0029		0.0835	0.0023	0.0296
Lao PDR	0.0000	 0.0047	0.0085	0.0030	0.0040
Latvia	0.0289	0.2471	0.3315	0.2088	0.2041

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Lebanon	0.0000	0.0880	0.2129	0.2676	0.1421
Lesotho	0.0002		0.0119	0.0134	0.0085
Liberia	0.0000		0.0025	0.0006	0.0011
Libya	0.0000		0.1249	0.0095	0.0448
Liechtenstein	0.4334		0.6717	0.5688	0.5580
Lithuania	0.0169	0.1142	0.3510	0.1785	0.1652
Luxembourg	0.0951	0.8055	0.8277	0.8743	0.6506
Macao (China)	0.0014	0.2772	0.4347	0.3998	0.2783
Macedonia, FYR	0.0027		0.2724	0.0717	0.1156
Madagascar	0.0001	0.0039	0.0038	0.0050	0.0032
Malawi	0.0000	0.0019	0.0043	0.0055	0.0029
Malaysia	0.0108	0.1900	0.2278	0.2812	0.1775
Maldives	0.0032	0.0333	0.0917	0.0331	0.0403
Mali	0.0000	0.0020	0.0038	0.0012	0.0017
Malta	0.0595	0.3609	0.5720	0.3696	0.3405
Marshall Islands	0.0001	0.1101	0.0854	0.0110	0.0517
Martinique	0.0032	0.2294	0.2738	0.5324	0.2597
Mauritania	0.0002	0.0165	0.0078	0.0034	0.0070
Mauritius	0.0099	0.1818	0.2642	0.1952	0.1628
Mexico	0.0199	0.1014	0.1362	0.1793	0.1092
Micronesia (Fed. States of)	0.0135		0.0859	0.0000	0.0331
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0014	0.0260	0.1485	0.0408	0.0542
Mongolia	0.0002	0.0208	0.0507	0.0769	0.0371
Могоссо	0.0002	0.0206	0.0521	0.0987	0.0429
Mozambique	0.0000	0.0058	0.0051	0.0035	0.0036
Myanmar	0.0000	0.0019	0.0061	0.0004	0.0021
Namibia	0.0065	0.0601	0.0685	0.0588	0.0485
Nepal	0.0002	0.0053	0.0127	0.0006	0.0047
Netherlands	0.3598	0.6986	0.6802	0.8538	0.6481
Netherlands Antilles	0.0018		0.4059		0.2039
New Caledonia	0.0029		0.2588	0.2922	0.1846
New Zealand	0.3211	0.6426	0.5277	0.7193	0.5527
Nicaragua	0.0010	0.0156	0.0342	0.0224	0.0183
Niger	0.0001	0.0008	0.0020	0.0002	0.0008
Nigeria	0.0000	0.0116	0.0047	0.0003	0.0042
Norway	0.3561	0.8660	0.8070	0.9490	0.7445
Oman	0.0040	0.0554	0.0970	0.0815	0.0595
Pakistan	0.0002	0.0073	0.0236	0.0031	0.0086
Panama	0.0186	0.0647	0.1641	0.1810	0.1071
Paraguay	0.0008	0.0224	0.0595	0.1881	0.0677
Peru	0.0015	0.0720	0.0731	0.0625	0.0523
Philippines	0.0009	0.0344	0.0442	0.1074	0.0467
Poland	0.0309	0.1217	0.3097	0.2201	0.1706
Portugal	0.0218	0.1844	0.4704	0.8380	0.3787
Puerto Rico	0.0014		0.3625	0.2980	0.2206
Qatar	0.0140	0.2800	0.3095	0.2692	0.2182
Romania	0.0065	0.0559	0.1898	0.1403	0.0981
Russian Federation	0.0079	0.0762	0.2408	0.0282	0.0883
Rwanda	0.0002		0.0025	0.0065	0.0030
Samoa	0.0557	0.0122	0.0587	0.0238	0.0376
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0200		0.0366	0.0000	0.0189
Saudi Arabia	0.0006	0.1124	0.1592	0.0852	0.0893
Senegal	0.0007	0.0299	0.0239	0.0335	0.0220
Seychelles	0.0004	0.2407	0.2585	0.4066	0.2265

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Sierra Leone	0.0002		0.0047	0.0034	0.0028
Singapore	0.1538	0.8498	0.5292	0.8610	0.5985
Slovak Republic	0.0247	0.2411	0.3436	0.2589	0.2171
Slovenia	0.0387	0.4850	0.4316	0.7701	0.4314
Solomon Islands	0.0029	0.0786	0.0188	0.0032	0.0259
Somalia	0.0000		0.0044		0.0022
South Africa	0.0152	0.1097	0.1251	0.2416	0.1229
Spain	0.0401	0.2557	0.4681	0.7805	0.3861
Sri Lanka	0.0004	0.0125	0.0443	0.0286	0.0215
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0004	0.3201	0.6221	0.0393	0.2454
Saintt Lucia	0.0008	0.2619	0.3614	0.0213	0.1614
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.0001	0.1864	0.2402	0.0262	0.1132
Sudan	0.0000	0.0057	0.0136	0.0009	0.0050
Suriname	0.0001		0.1972	0.1239	0.1071
Swaziland	0.0037		0.0380	0.0449	0.0289
Sweden	0.2368	0.8953	0.8179	0.9071	0.7143
Switzerland	0.1287	0.8832	0.7975	0.8146	0.6560
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0000	0.0272	0.1130	0.0023	0.0356
Taiwan Province of China					
Tajikistan	0.0002		0.0392	0.0002	0.0132
Tanzania (United Rep. of)	0.0001	0.0050	0.0054	0.0065	0.0042
Thailand	0.0036	0.0412	0.0972	0.0613	0.0508
Togo	0.0001	0.0272	0.0103	0.0139	0.0129
Trinidad and Tobago	0.0179	0.1087	0.2524	0.1296	0.1272
Tunisia	0.0000	0.0407	0.1103	0.0159	0.0417
Turkey	0.0037	0.0660	0.3014	0.3047	0.1689
Turkmenistan	0.0009		0.0840	0.0025	0.0292
Uganda	0.0000	0.0045	0.0029	0.0102	0.0044
Ukraine	0.0025	0.0316	0.2296	0.0208	0.0711
United Arab Emirates	0.0580	0.2700	0.4276	0.6901	0.3614
United Kingdom	0.0993	0.5978	0.6467	0.9210	0.5662
United States	1.0000	1.0000	0.7425	0.4868	0.8073
Uruguay	0.0570	0.1845	0.3041	0.1661	0.1779
Uzbekistan	0.0000		0.0727	0.0027	0.0251
Vanuatu	0.0037		0.0369	0.0023	0.0143
Venezuela	0.0023	0.0801	0.1146	0.2838	0.1202
Viet Nam	0.0000	0.0158	0.0355	0.0127	0.0160
Virgin Islands (US)	0.0099		0.6233	0.3645	0.3326
Yemen	0.0000	0.0034	0.0206	0.0022	0.0066
Yugoslavia	0.0050	0.0400	0.2491	0.1556	0.1124
Zambia	0.0003	0.0118	0.0087	0.0119	0.0082
Zimbabwe	0.0008	0.0223	0.0216	0.0308	0.0189

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Afghanistan	0.0000		0.0015	0.0000	0.0005
Albania	0.0004	0.0127	0.0425	0.0054	0.0152
Algeria	0.0000	0.0120	0.0605	0.0037	0.0191
American Samoa	0.0134		0.2467	0.0558	0.1053
Andorra	0.0364		0.5219	0.3844	0.3142
Angola	0.0000	0.0019	0.0062	0.0029	0.0027
Antigua and Barbuda	0.0184		0.5700	0.2019	0.2634
Argentina	0.0205	0.0924	0.2346	0.1861	0.1334
Armenia	0.0032	0.0105	0.1811	0.0033	0.0495
Aruba	0.0192		0.4339	0.1896	0.2142
Australia	0.3037	0.8299	0.6072	0.5271	0.5670
Austria	0.1713	0.5166	0.5510	0.7986	0.5094
Azerbaijan	0.0004		0.1106	0.0346	0.0485
Bahamas	0.0001		0.4304	0.0813	0.1706
Bahrain	0.0094	0.2948	0.2900	0.3266	0.2302
Bangladesh	0.0001	0.0019	0.0040	0.0017	0.0019
Barbados	0.0013	0.1567	0.4981	0.1728	0.2072
Belarus	0.0005		0.2995	0.0035	0.1011
Belgium	0.1749	0.6220	0.5860	0.4781	0.4652
Belize	0.0066	0.2244	0.1815	0.0429	0.1139
Benin	0.0000	0.0029			0.0015
Bermuda	0.2382	0.8902	1.0000		0.7095
Bhutan	0.0014	0.0029	0.0209	0.0000	0.0063
Bolivia	0.0006	0.0244	0.0720	0.0793	0.0441
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0031		0.1118	0.0210	0.0453
Botswana	0.0077	0.0652	0.0896	0.1208	0.0708
Brazil	0.0140	0.0721	0.1735	0.1372	0.0992
Brunei	0.0229	0.1236	0.2867	0.3149	0.1870
Bulgaria	0.0105	0.0544	0.3992	0.0668	0.1327
Burkina Faso	0.0001	0.0021	0.0047	0.0007	0.0019
Burundi	0.0000		0.0033	0.0002	0.0012
Cambodia	0.0001	0.0020	0.0026	0.0107	0.0038
Cameroon	0.0000	0.0055	0.0075		0.0043
Canada	0.2888	0.7175	0.7634	0.3462	0.5290
Cape Verde	0.0000		0.1308	0.0297	0.0535
Cayman Islands	0.0620		0.9189	0.3495	0.4434
Central African Republic	0.0000	0.0027	0.0031	0.0018	0.0019
Chad	0.0000	0.0026	0.0015	0.0000	0.0010
Chile	0.0141	0.1324	0.2414	0.2311	0.1547
China	0.0003	0.0244	0.1001	0.0526	0.0443
Colombia	0.0052	0.0673	0.1869	0.0729	0.0831
Comoros	0.0003	0.0058	0.0112	0.0000	0.0043
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.0000		0.0003		0.0002
Congo, Rep.	0.0000	0.0068	0.0089		0.0052
Costa Rica	0.0100	0.2023	0.2380	0.0541	0.1261
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0002	0.0101	0.0175	0.0252	0.0133
Croatia	0.0165	0.1282	0.4256	0.0973	0.1669
Cuba	0.0001	0.0196	0.0453	0.0007	0.0164
Cyprus	0.0422	0.3327	0.7351	0.2996	0.3524
Czech Republic	0.0627	0.2127	0.4326	0.2902	0.2496
Denmark	0.3363	0.8248	0.7986	0.7607	0.6801
Denmark	0.0000	0.0240	0.7900	0.7007	0.0001

Appendix 6. Connectivity Index (1999)

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Djibouti	0.0003	0.0194	0.0163	0.0007	0.0092
Dominica	0.0135	0.1408	0.3252		0.1599
Dominican Republic	0.0042		0.1144	0.0783	0.0656
Ecuador	0.0008	0.0401	0.1062	0.0474	0.0486
Egypt	0.0002	0.0224	0.0875	0.0111	0.0303
El Salvador	0.0008	0.0323	0.0887	0.0954	0.0543
Equatorial Guinea	0.0000	0.0045			0.0022
Eritrea	0.0000		0.0085	0.0000	0.0028
Estonia	0.1125	0.2750	0.4168	0.4212	0.3064
Ethiopia	0.0000	0.0015	0.0036	0.0002	0.0013
Faeroe Islands	0.0835		0.6499	0.3624	0.3653
Fiji	0.0024	0.0989	0.1179	0.0446	0.0659
Finland	0.4716	0.7164	0.6437	1.0000	0.7079
France	0.1102	0.5283	0.6786	0.5574	0.4686
French Guiana	0.0041	0.2872	0.3297	0.1735	0.1986
French Polynesia	0.0199		0.2638	0.1466	0.1434
Gabon	0.0000	0.0166	0.0370	0.0114	0.0162
Gambia	0.0000	0.0157	0.0268	0.0064	0.0123
Georgia	0.0009		0.1435	0.0298	0.0581
Germany	0.1051	0.5916	0.6885	0.4392	0.4561
Ghana	0.0000	0.0053	0.0093	0.0057	0.0051
Gibraltar	0.1000	0.3735	0.9355	0.2104	0.4049
Greece	0.0374	0.1202	0.6160	0.5659	0.3349
Greenland	0.2100		0.5329	0.3699	0.3709
Grenada	0.0002	0.2347	0.3676	0.0331	0.1589
Guadelope	0.0068	0.3986	0.5213	0.3188	0.3114
Guam	0.0041		0.5505	0.2012	0.2520
Guatemala	0.0008	0.0197	0.0642	0.0468	0.0329
Guinea	0.0000	0.0066	0.0069	0.0048	0.0046
Guinea-Bissau	0.0001			0.0000	0.0000
Guyana	0.0001	0.0551	0.0873	0.0057	0.0371
Honduras	0.0001	0.0191	0.0516	0.0193	0.0225
Hong Kong (China)	0.0899	0.5899	0.6715	0.9733	0.5811
Hungary	0.0630	0.1489	0.4327	0.2495	0.2235
Iceland	0.5686	0.7178	0.7901	0.9564	0.7582
India	0.0001	0.0066	0.0309	0.0029	0.0101
Indonesia	0.0005	0.0180	0.0338	0.0162	0.0171
Iran, Islamic Rep.	0.0000	0.1005	0.1556	0.0109	0.0668
Iraq	0.0000		0.0350	0.0000	0.0117
Ireland	0.0896	0.6237	0.5573	0.6752	0.4864
Israel	0.1334	0.4577	0.5498	0.7481	0.4723
Italy	0.0276	0.3803	0.5392	0.8084	0.4389
Jamaica	0.0008	0.0856	0.2220	0.0868	0.0988
Japan	0.1096	0.5693	0.6504	0.6881	0.5043
Jordan	0.0007	0.0374	0.1017	0.0380	0.0444
Kazakhstan	0.0012		0.1261	0.0047	0.0440
Kenya	0.0001	0.0083	0.0120	0.0012	0.0054
Kiribati	0.0027	0.0243	0.0497	0.0038	0.0201
Korea, Dem. Rep.	0.0000		0.0541		0.0271
Korea, Rep.	0.0524	0.3651	0.5107	0.7755	0.4259
Kuwait	0.0116	0.2476	0.2802	0.2492	0.1972
Kyrgyztan	0.0016		0.0925	0.0008	0.0316
Lao PDR	0.0000	 0.0046	0.0077		0.0041
Latvia	0.0409	0.1633	0.3497	 0.1729	0.1817

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Lebanon	0.0073	0.0868	0.2343	0.2800	0.1521
Lesotho	0.0001				0.0001
Liberia	0.0000		0.0026	0.0000	0.0009
Libya	0.0000		0.1172		0.0586
Liechtenstein	0.5508		0.7107	0.4521	0.5712
Lithuania	0.0202	0.1182	0.3634	0.1377	0.1599
Luxembourg	0.1175	0.7836	0.8450	0.7436	0.6224
Macao (China)	0.0019	0.2714	0.4759	0.3092	0.2646
Macedonia, FYR	0.0058		0.2729	0.0362	0.1050
Madagascar	0.0001	0.0038	0.0037		0.0026
Malawi	0.0000	0.0018	0.0044	0.0031	0.0023
Malaysia	0.0143	0.1369	0.2367	0.2106	0.1496
Maldives	0.0043	0.0352	0.0929	0.0159	0.0371
Mali	0.0000	0.0020			0.0010
Malta	0.0816	0.3589	0.5975	0.1486	0.2966
Marshall Islands	0.0002	0.1183	0.0727	0.0135	0.0512
Martinique	0.0046	0.2348	0.5112	0.4108	0.2903
Mauritania	0.0001	0.0539	0.0075	0.0000	0.0154
Mauritius	0.0038	0.1899	0.2554	0.1361	0.1463
Mexico	0.0219	0.0878	0.1309	0.1219	0.0906
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	0.0130			0.0000	0.0065
Moldova (Rep. of)	0.0016	0.0162	0.1478	0.0064	0.0430
Mongolia	0.0001	0.0190	0.0460	0.0211	0.0216
Morocco	0.0004	0.0203	0.0615	0.0196	0.0254
Mozambique	0.0000	0.0055	0.0047	0.0010	0.0028
Myanmar	0.0000	0.0021	0.0064	0.0004	0.0022
Namibia	0.0062	0.0577	0.0744	0.0267	0.0413
Nepal	0.0001	0.0053	0.0132	0.0004	0.0047
Netherlands	0.3203	0.7178	0.7078	0.6557	0.6004
Netherlands Antilles	0.0024		0.4292		0.2158
New Caledonia	0.0039		0.2810	0.1853	0.1567
New Zealand	0.3814	0.6634	0.5783	0.5715	0.5486
Nicaragua	0.0011	0.0161	0.0355	0.0137	0.0166
Niger	0.0000	0.0008			0.0004
Nigeria	0.0000	0.0126	0.0019	0.0003	0.0037
Norway	0.5203	0.8941	0.8272	0.9471	0.7972
Oman	0.0015	0.0526	0.1044	0.0775	0.0590
Pakistan	0.0002	0.0084	0.0258	0.0031	0.0094
Panama	0.0023	0.0637	0.1916	0.1272	0.0962
Paraguay	0.0016	0.0223	0.0583	0.3001	0.0956
Peru	0.0019	0.0709	0.0752	0.0617	0.0524
Philippines	0.0009	0.0338	0.0453	0.0590	0.0347
Poland	0.0234	0.1236	0.3063	0.1572	0.1526
Portugal	0.0410	0.1850	0.4935	0.7174	0.3592
Puerto Rico	0.0018		0.3882	0.3223	0.2374
Qatar	0.0003	0.2865	0.3067	0.2332	0.2067
Romania	0.0085	0.0531	0.1947	0.0926	0.0872
Russian Federation	0.0033	0.0748	0.2452	0.0144	0.0844
Rwanda	0.0002		0.0020	0.0024	0.0015
Samoa	0.0002	0.0126	0.0559	0.0291	0.0244
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0174		0.0366	0.0000	0.0180
Saudi Arabia	0.0008	0.1215	0.1510	0.0654	0.0846
Senegal	0.0002	0.0303	0.0208	0.0147	0.0165
Seychelles	0.0001	0.2505	0.2848		

COUNTRY	Hosts	PC	Tel	Mobile	Connectivity
Sierra Leone	0.0001		0.0044	0.0000	0.0015
Singapore	0.1995	0.8629	0.5622	0.6389	0.5659
Slovakia	0.0291	0.2175	0.3577	0.2613	0.2164
Slovenia	0.0624	0.4995	0.4409	0.4733	0.3690
Solomon Islands	0.0026	0.0828	0.0219	0.0039	0.0278
Somalia	0.0000		0.0017	0.0000	0.0006
South Africa	0.0207	0.1116	0.1461	0.1892	0.1169
Spain	0.0621	0.2393	0.4782	0.4733	0.3132
Sri Lanka	0.0003	0.0111	0.0420	0.0210	0.0186
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0011	0.3079	0.6038	0.0277	0.2351
Saint Lucia	0.0005	0.2858	0.3374		0.2079
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	0.0000	0.1944	0.2436	0.0194	0.1143
Sudan	0.0001	0.0056	0.0101	0.0007	0.0041
Suriname	0.0000		0.1989	0.0647	0.0879
Swaziland	0.0038		0.0364	0.0236	0.0213
Sweden	0.3116	0.8989	0.7752	0.8959	0.7204
Switzerland	0.1984	0.9153	0.8264	0.6546	0.6487
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0000	0.0290	0.1158	0.0004	0.0363
Taiwan Province of China			0.6359		0.6359
Tajikistan	0.0002		0.0406	0.0002	0.0136
Tanzania (United Rep. of)	0.0000	0.0046	0.0052	0.0023	0.0031
Thailand	0.0034	0.0443	0.1000	0.0579	0.0514
Togo	0.0001	0.0363	0.0098	0.0059	0.0130
Trinidad and Tobago	0.0199	0.1080	0.2516	0.0461	0.1064
Tunisia	0.0000	0.0308	0.1048	0.0091	0.0362
Turkey	0.0063	0.0666	0.3248	0.1898	0.1469
Turkmenistan	0.0005		0.0954	0.0013	0.0324
Uganda	0.0000	0.0048	0.0030	0.0038	0.0029
Ukraine	0.0031	0.0318	0.2319	0.0066	0.0684
United Arab Emirates	0.0406	0.2332	0.4743	0.4994	0.3119
United Kingdom	0.1547	0.6040	0.6617	0.7040	0.5311
United States	1.0000	1.0000	0.7850	0.4710	0.8140
Uruguay	0.0404	0.1981	0.3157	0.1465	0.1752
Uzbekistán	0.0000		0.0766	0.0025	0.0264
Vanuatu	0.0041		0.0344	0.0024	0.0136
Venezuela, RB	0.0032	0.0839	0.1254	0.2451	0.1144
Viet Nam	0.0000	0.0181	0.0311	0.0065	0.0139
Virgin Islands (US)	0.0263		0.6556		0.3410
Yemen, Rep.	0.0000	0.0034	0.0194	0.0024	0.0063
Yugoslavia, Former	0.0053	0.0414	0.2501	0.0880	0.0962
Zambia	0.0003	0.0127	0.0107	0.0042	0.0070
Zimbabwe	0.0009	0.0241	0.0241	0.0215	0.0177

Appendix 7. Data definitions and sources

- 1. **Internet hosts**: Internet hosts are the number of computers with active Internet Protocol addresses connected to the Internet, per 10,000 people. Source: ITU.
- 2. **Personal computers**: Personal computers are the estimated number of computers designed to be used by a single individual, per 1,000 people. Source: ITU.
- 3. **Telephone mainlines**: Telephone mainlines are the estimated number of telephone mainlines, per 1,000 people. Source: ITU.
- 4. **Mobile phones**: Mobile phones are the number of mobile phone subscribers, per 1,000 people. Source: ITU and UNSD population estimates.
- 5. **Internet users**: Number of Internet users per 1,000 people. Source: ITU.
- 6. **Literacy**: Literacy rate calculated as the inverse of the illiteracy rate. Source: World Bank and UNCTAD.
- 7. **GDP per capita**: Gross domestic product per capita. Source: World Bank.
- 8. **Cost of a local call**: Estimated cost of a 3-minute local call in US dollars. Source: ITU.
- 9. **Telecom traffic outgoing**: Estimated number of minutes outgoing traffic. Source: ITU.
- 10. **Telecom traffic incoming**: Estimated number of minutes incoming traffic. Source: ITU.
- 11. **Internet Exchange**: Presence of an Internet Exchange (IX) point, defined by Telegeography as "services created to facilitate on-site interconnections between independent or third-party Internet networks". Source: Telegeography.
- 12. **Competition in local loop**: Full/partial competition, duopoly, monopoly. Source: ITU T-Reg Unit.
- 13. **Competition in long-distance**: Full/partial competition, duopoly or monopoly. Source: ITU T-Reg Unit.
- 14. **Competition in ISP market**: Whether the ISP market may be described by full/partial competition, duopoly or monopoly. Source: ITU T-Reg Unit.

REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abramson, B. (2000). "Internet Globalization Indicators", *Telecommunications Policy* (24), 2000, pp. 69–74.
- Bridges.org (2001). "Comparison of E-Readiness Assessment Models" (http://www.bridges.org/ereadiness/report.html; current April 26, 2001).
- Caselli,F., and W.J. Coleman (2001) Cross-country technology diffusion: the case of computers". NBER Working Paper No. 8130, (Cambridge, MA: NBER).
- Center for Democracy and Technology (2002). "Bridging the Digital Divide report". Available at http://www.cdt.org/international/ceeaccess.
- Cukier, K. N. (1998a). "The Global Internet: A Primer", Washington, DC, Telegeography 1999, pp. 112–145.
- Cukier, K. N. (1998) "Peering and Fearing: ISP Interconnection and Regulatory Issues", available from http://ksgwww.Harvard.edu/iip/iicompol/Papers/Cukier.html/.
- Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) (2001). "Are ICT Policies Addressing Gender Equality?" http://www.unescap.org/wid/04widresources/11widactivities/01ictegm/backgroundpa per.pdf.
- Edgeworth, F.Y."The Plurality of Index Numbers", Econ. Journal, 1925.
- EIU (2001) "E-readiness rankings" available from: http://www.ebusinessforum.com.
- Fisher (1922). "The Making of Index Numbers" quoted in R.G.D. Allen, 'Statistics for Economists', eight edition, 1957 Hutchinson & Co.
- Georgia Institute of Technology (GIT), High Tech Indicators and associated articles, available from (http://www.gatech.edu/).
- Goodman, S., Burkhart, G., Foster, W., Press, L., Tan, Z. and Woodard, J. (1998). "The Global Diffusion of the Internet Project: An Initial Inductive Study", Fairfax, VA: SAIC 1998b, available from http://mosaic.unomaha.edu/GDI1998/GDI1998.html.
- Gorman, S. P. and Malecki, E. J. (2000). "The Networks of the Internet: an Analysis of Provider Networks in the USA", *Telecommunications Policy* (24), pp. 113–134.
- Hargittai, E. (1999). "Weaving the Western Web: Explaining Differences in Internet Connectivity Among OECD Countries", *Telecommunications Policy* (23), 1999, pp. 701–718.
- Harvard University Information Technologies Group (2000). "Readiness for the Networked World: A Guide for Developing Countries", Center for International Development, Harvard University (http://www.readinessguide.org/).

Harvard University Guidelines(2000). Available from the http://www.readinessguide.org/.

- International Telecommunication Union (ITU) case studies, Geneva: ITU, available from http://itu.int/ti/casestudies/.
- ITU (2001) "Counting the Net: Internet Access Indicators" available from www.isoc.org/isoc/conferences/inet/00/cdproceedings/8e/8e_1.htm.
- Jensen M. (2000). "The Internet in Africa", paper presented to the 2000 Conference of the International Federation for Information Processing WG9.4, "Social Implications of Computers in Developing Countries".
- Kedzie, C. (1997). "Communication and Democracy: Coincident Revolutions and the Emergent Dictator's Dilemma, RGSD-127, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica CA, abstract at http://www.rand.org/cgi-bin/Abstracts/ordi/).
- Kiiski S. and Pohjola M. (2001). Cross-country diffusion of the Internet, available at http://www.wider.unu.edu/publications/dps/DP2001-11.pdf.
- Lall S. (2001). "Competitiveness Indices and Developing Countries: An Economic Evaluation of the Global Competitiveness Report", *World Development*, Vol. 29, Issue 9, September 2001, pp. 1501-1525.
- Lebre La Rovere, Renata (1996). "Diffusion of IT and the Competitiveness of Brazilian Banking", in Roche, Edward Mozley and Michael James Blaine, eds. *Information Technology, Development and Policy,* (Brookfield, Vermont: Avebury Publishing, 1996).
- Marcelle G. (2000). Gender, Justice and ICTs http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/csw/marcelle.htm.
- McConnell International (2000,2001). E-readiness Report. Available from: www.mcconnellinternational.com.
- Mosaic Group (1996). "The Information Technology Capability of Nations: A Framework for Analysis". Available from http://mosaic.unomaha.edu/gdi.htm.
- Mosaic Group (1998). "An Internet Diffusion Framework", Communications of the ACM, October 1998, vol.41, no.10, pp. 21-26.
- Mosaic Group "Global Diffusion of the Internet Project Webpage" (2000). Homepage at http://mosaic.unomaha.edu/gdi.html.
- Netcraft (2000). "The Netcraft Web Server Survey", available from http://www.netcraft.com/.

Nua Internet Surveys (2000). "Methodology", available from http://www.nua.ie/surveys/.

Nua Internet Surveys (2001). "How Many Online?", available from http://www. nua.ie/surveys.

- Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) (2001). "The New Economy: Beyond the Hype", Final Report on the OECD Growth Project, 2001.
- OECD website (2002). Available at http://www.oecd.org.
- Porter, Michael E. and Stern, Scott (1999). "The New Challenge to America's Prosperity: Findings from the Innovation Index" (Washington, DC: Council on Competitiveness), available at http://www.compete.org/pdf/index.pdf.
- Press, L. (1997). "Tracking the Global Diffusion of the Internet", Communications of the ACM (40:11), pp. 11–17.
- Press, L. (1999). "The State of the Internet: Growth and Gaps", available from www.isoc.org/inet2000/cdproceedings/8e/8e_4".
- Press, L., Burkhart, G., Foster, W., Goodman, S., Wolcott, P. and Woodard, J. (1997). "An Internet Diffusion Framework", Communications of the ACM (41:10), pp. 21–26.
- Rao M., Bhandhari S., Iqbal S., Sinha A. and Wahaj us Siraj (1999). "Struggling with the Digital Divide: Internet Infrastructure, Policies and Regulations in South Asia", available at http://216.152.71.161/digitaldivide.html.
- Robinson, K.K., and Crenshaw, E.M. (1999). "Cyber-Space and Post-Industrial Transformations: A Cross-National Analysis of Internet Development", Working Paper, Department of Sociology, Ohio State University, December 1999.
- Rodriguez F. and Wilson, E. (2000). "Are Poor Countries Losing the Information Revolution?", *infoDev Working Paper*, (Washington DC:World Bank).

The Telegeography (2001) survey, quoted in the UNDP Human Development Report (2001).

UNCTAD (1991). "Technology Indicators", UNCTAD, Geneva.

- UNCTAD (2002). ICT Development Indices.
- UNDP (2001). Human Development Report: Making New Technologies Work for Human Development, New York.
- UNIDO (2002). Industrial Development Report, published by UNIDO, Vienna, June/July 2002.
- U.S. Internet Council (2000). "State of the Internet Report 2000". Available at www.usinternetcouncil.org.
- Van Ryckeghem, Dominique (1996). "Computers and Culture: cases from Kenya" in Roche, Edward Mozley and Michael James Blaine, eds. *Information Technology*, *Development and Policy*, (Brookfield, Vermont: Avebury Publishing, 1996).

- World Economic Forum (WEF) (2001). *The Global Competitiveness Report 2000*. WEF, Oxford University Press.
- Wolcott, P., Goodman, S. and Burkhart, G., (1996). "The Information Technology Capability of Nations: A Framework for Analysis", available at http://mosaic.unomaha.edu/.

SELECTED UNCTAD PUBLICATIONS ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(For more information, please visit www.unctad.org/stdev on the web)

A. Individual Studies

Science and Technology Diplomacy: Concepts and Elements of a Work Programme. 33 p. UNCTAD/ITE/TEB/Misc.5. Free-of-charge.

Transfer of Technology for Successful Integration into the Global Economy: A case Study of Embraer in Brazil. 61 p. UNCTAD/ITE/IPC/Misc.20. Free-of-charge.

Transfer of Technology for Successful Integration into the Global Economy: A Case Study of the South African Automotive Industry. 34 p. UNCTAD/ITE/IPC/Misc.21. Free-of-charge.

Transfer of Technology for the Successful Integration into the Global Economy: A Case Study of the Pharmaceutical Industry in India. 52 p. UNCTAD/ITE/IPC/Misc.22. Free-of-charge.

Coalition of Resources for Information and Communication Technologies. 92 p. UNCTAD/ITE/TEB/13. Free-of-charge.

Key Issues in Biotechnology. 20 p. UNCTAD/ITE/TEB/10. Free-of-charge.

An Assault on Poverty: Basic Human Needs, Science and Technology. 327 p. ISBN 0-88936-800-7. (Joint publication with IDRC).

Compendium of International Arrangements on Transfer of Technology: Selected Instruments. Sales No. E.01.II.D.28. \$45.

Foreign Direct Investment and Transfer of Technology in India. 150 p. Sales No. E.92.II.A.3. \$20.

Information Technology and International Competitiveness: The Case of the Construction Services Industry. Sales No. E.93.II.D.6. \$25.

Investment and Innovation Policy Review of Ethiopia. 115 p. Sales No. E.01.II.D.35. \$25.

Knowledge Societies: Information Technology for Sustainable Development. 323 p. Sales No. GV.E.98.0.11. \$19.

Making North-South Research Networks Work. 48 p. UNCTAD/ITE/EDS/7.

Missing Links: Gender Equity in Science and Technology for Development. 371 p. ISBN 0-88936-765-5. (Joint publication with IDRC).

On Solid Ground: Science, Technology and Integrated Land Management. 66 p. ISBN 0-88936-820-1. (Joint publication with IDRC).

Technological Capacity-Building and Technology Partnership: Field Findings, Country Experiences and Programmes. Sales No. 95.II.D.6. \$22.

The Science, Technology and Innovation Policy Review: Colombia. 161 p. Sales No. E.99.II.D.13. \$42.

The Science, Technology and Innovation Policy Review: Jamaica. 156 p. Sales No. E.98.II.D.7. \$23.

Transfer and Development of Technology in Developing Countries: A Compendium of Policy Issues. Sales No. E.89.11.D.17. \$19.

Report of the Workshop on the Transfer and Development of Environmentally Sound Technologies (ESTs). Sales No. E.94.11.D. 1. \$10. (Joint publication with the Government of Norway. Oslo, Norway.)

B. ATAS Issue Paper Series

ATAS Issue 12: The Role of Publicly Funded Research and Publicly Owned Technologies in the Transfer and Diffusion of Environmentally Sound Technologies. 405 p. Sales No. E.00.II.D.37. \$45.

ATAS Issue 11: New Approaches to Science and Technology Cooperation and Capacity Building. 417 p. Sales No. E.99.II.D.4. \$40.

ATAS Issue 10: Information Technology for Development. 558 p. Sales No. E.95.11.D.20. \$75.

C. Technology for Development Series

Electronic Commerce and Music Business Development in Jamaica: A Portal to the New Economy? 45p. Sales No. E.02.II.D.17.

Changing Dynamics of Global Computer Software and Services Industry: Implications for Developing Countries. 51 p. Sales No. E.02.II.D.3. \$15.

Partnerships and Networking in Science and Technology for Development. 46 p. Sales No. E.02.II.D.5. \$15.

Do Environmental Imperatives Present Novel Problems and Opportunities for the International Transfer of Technology? 21 p. Sales No. E.95.II.D.11. \$10.

Emerging Forms of Technological Cooperation: The Case for Technology Partnership. Sales No. E.96.II.D.1. \$19.

New Technologies and Technological Capability-Building at the Enterprise Level: Some Policy Implications. 39 p. Sales No.E.95.II.D.24. \$10.

Promoting the Transfer and Use of Environmentally Sound Technology: A review of **Policies.** 53 p. UNCTAD/DST/12. \$19.

Science and Technology in the New Global Environment: Implications for Developing Countries. 56 p. Sales No. E. 95.II.D.14. \$19.

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Please consult your bookstore or write to:

United Nations Publications

Sales Section United Nations Office at Geneva Palais des Nations CH-1211 Geneva 10 Switzerland Tel: (41-22) 917-2614 Fax: (41-22) 917-0027 E-mail: unpubli@unog.ch

OR

Sales Section Room DC2-0853 United Nations Secretariat New York, NY 10017 U.S.A. Tel: (1-212) 963-8302 or (800) 253-9646 Fax: (1-212) 963-3489 E-mail: publications@un.org

All prices are quoted in United States dollars.

For further information on the work of the Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development, UNCTAD, please address inquiries to:

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development Palais des Nations, Room E-10054 CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland Telephone: (41-22) 907-5651 Telefax: (41-22) 907-0194 E-mail: natalia.guerra@unctad.org

QUESTIONNAIRE

Information and Communication Technology Development Indices

In order to improve the quality and relevance of the work of the UNCTAD Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development, it would be useful to receive the views of readers on this and similar publications. It would therefore be greatly appreciated if you could complete the following questionnaire and return it to:

Readership Survey UNCTAD Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development United Nations Office at Geneva Palais des Nations Room E-10054 CH-1211, Geneva 10 Switzerland

1. Name and address of respondent (optional):

2	W/h. ale	of the	fallowing	1	deservites			af manle?
1	wnich	orme	following	Dest	describes	vour	area	DI WORK /
		or the	10110	0000	acoulous	J 0 01	area	010111.

Government	?	Public enterprise	?
Private enterprise institution	?	Academic or research	?
International organization	?	Media	?
Not-for-profit organization	?	Other (specify)	

3. In which country do you work? _____

4. What is your assessment of the contents of this publication?

Excellent	?	Adequate	?
Good	?	Poor	?

5. How useful is this publication to your work?

Very useful ? Of some use ? Irrelevant ?

- 6. Please indicate the three things you liked best about this publication:
- 7. Please indicate the three things you liked least about this publication:
- 8. If you have read more than the present publication of the UNCTAD Division on Investment, Enterprise Development and Technology, what is your overall assessment of them?

Consistently good	?	Usually good, but with some exceptions	?
Generally mediocre	?	Poor ?	

9. On average, how useful are these publications to you in your work?

9		9
Very useful ?	Of some use ?	Irrelevant?